

V. Schedule for Submittal of Pre-Filed Documents

No later than July 7, 2016, unless the Commission directs otherwise, the staff and the applicant shall submit a list of its anticipated witnesses for the hearing.

No later than July 7, 2016, unless the Commission directs otherwise, the applicant shall submit its pre-filed written testimony. The staff previously submitted its testimony on June 10, 2016.

The Commission may issue written questions to the applicant or the staff before the hearing. If such questions are issued, an order containing such questions will be issued no later than June 24, 2016. Responses to such questions are due July 7, 2016, unless the Commission directs otherwise.

VI. Interested Government Participants

No later than June 27, 2016, any interested State, local government body, or affected, Federally-recognized Indian tribe may file with the Commission a statement of any issues or questions to which the State, local government body, or Indian tribe wishes the Commission to give particular attention as part of the uncontested hearing process. Such statement may be accompanied by any supporting documentation that the State, local government body, or Indian tribe sees fit to provide. Any statements and supporting documentation (if any) received by the Commission using the agency's E-filing system² by the deadline indicated above will be made part of the record of the proceeding. The Commission will use such statements and documents as appropriate to inform its pre-hearing questions to the Staff and applicant, its inquiries at the oral hearing and its decision following the hearing. The Commission may also request, prior to July 14, 2016, that one or more particular States, local government bodies, or Indian tribes send one representative each to the evidentiary hearing to answer Commission questions and/or make a statement for the purpose of assisting the Commission's exploration of one or more of the issues raised by the State, local government body, or Indian tribe

² The process for accessing and using the agency's E-filing system is described in the December 8, 2008, notice of hearing that was issued by the Commission for this proceeding. See Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; Application for the Levy County Nuclear Power Plant Units 1 and 2; Notice of Order, Hearing, and Opportunity To Petition for Leave To Intervene 73 FR 74532. Participants who are unable to use the electronic information exchange (EIE), or who will have difficulty complying with EIE requirements in the time frame provided for submission of written statements, may provide their statements by electronic mail to hearingdocket@nrc.gov.

in the pre-hearing filings described above. The decision of whether to request the presence of a representative of a State, local government body, or Indian tribe at the evidentiary hearing to make a statement and/or answer Commission questions is solely at the Commission's discretion. The Commission's request will specify the issue or issues that the representative should be prepared to address.

States, local governments, or Indian tribes should be aware that this evidentiary hearing is separate and distinct from the NRC's contested hearing process. Issues within the scope of contentions that have been admitted or contested issues pending before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board or the Commission in a contested proceeding for a COL application are outside the scope of the uncontested proceeding for that COL application. In addition, although States, local governments, or Indian tribes participating as described above may take any position they wish, or no position at all, with respect to issues regarding the COL application or the NRC staff's associated environmental review that do fall within the scope of the uncontested proceeding (*i.e.*, issues that are not within the scope of admitted contentions or pending contested issues), they should be aware that many of the procedures and rights applicable to the NRC's contested hearing process due to the inherently adversarial nature of such proceedings are not available with respect to this uncontested hearing. Participation in the NRC's contested hearing process is governed by 10 CFR 2.309 (for persons or entities, including States, local governments, or Indian tribes, seeking to file contentions of their own) and 10 CFR 2.315(c) (for interested States, local governments, and Indian tribes seeking to participate with respect to contentions filed by others). Participation in this uncontested hearing does not affect the right of a State, local government, or Indian tribe to participate in the separate contested hearing process.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of June, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Rochelle C. Baval,

Acting, Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016-14383 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 55-71371-SP; ASLBP No. 16-947-01-SP-BD01]

Alexander Abrahams; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission, *see* 37 FR 28,710 (Dec. 29, 1972), and the Commission's regulations, *see, e.g.*, 10 CFR 2.103(b), 2.300, 2.309, 2.313(a), 2.318, 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Alexander Abrahams (Denial of Reactor Operator License)

This proceeding concerns a demand for hearing pursuant to 10 CFR 2.103(b)(2) from Alexander Abrahams challenging a May 5, 2016 letter from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission that denied his application for a reactor operator license for the Reed College Reactor Facility.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Paul S. Ryerson, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001

E. Roy Hawkens, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dr. Gary S. Arnold, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule. *See* 10 CFR 2.302.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of June 2016.

E. Roy Hawkens,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 2016-14363 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

International Product Change—GEPS 6 Contracts

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add Global Expedited Package Services 6 Contracts to the Competitive Products List.

DATES: *Effective date:* June 17, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle Coppin, (202) 268-2368.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642, on May 31, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a Request of The United States Postal Service to add Global Expedited Package Services 6 Contracts to the Competitive Products List. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2016-149 and CP2016-188.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-14375 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78057; File No. SR-NYSE-2016-31]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Amending NYSE Rule 6A To Exclude the Physical Area Within Fully Enclosed Telephone Booths Located in 18 Broad Street From the Definition of Trading Floor

June 13, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2016, New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Rule 6A (“Trading Floor”) to exclude an area in 18 Broad Street that has fully enclosed telephone booths from the definition of Trading Floor. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend NYSE Rule 6A (“Trading Floor”) to exclude from the definition of “Trading Floor” the area within fully enclosed telephone booths located in 18 Broad Street.

The Exchange currently defines “Trading Floor”³ in Rule 6A to mean the restricted-access physical areas designated by the Exchange for the trading of securities, commonly known as the “Main Room,” the “Blue Room” and the “Garage.”⁴ Rule 6A also specifies that the Exchange’s Trading Floor does not include areas designated by the Exchange where NYSE Amex-listed options are traded, commonly known as the “Extended Blue Room,” which, for the purposes of the Exchange’s Rules, are referred to as the “NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor.”⁵ The Exchange proposes to add subparagraph numbering to Rule 6A, so that the first paragraph of the rule would be subparagraph (a) and the second paragraph would be subparagraph (b). As proposed, Rule 6A(a) would define the term “Trading Floor,” and proposed

³ Access to the Trading Floor is restricted at each entrance by turnstiles and only authorized visitors, members or member firm employees are permitted to enter.

⁴ See NYSE Rule 6A; see also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59479 (Mar. 2, 2009), 74 FR 10325 (Mar. 10, 2009) (SR-NYSE-2009-23) (Notice of filing adopting NYSE Rule 6A and explaining that the proposed definition of “Trading Floor” will provide a more accurate description of the physical areas of the Floor where trading is actually conducted).

⁵ *Id.* The term “Trading Floor” is distinct from the term “Floor.” The term “Floor” means the trading Floor of the Exchange and the premises immediately adjacent thereto, such as the various entrances and lobbies of the 11 Wall Street, 18 New Street, 8 Broad Street, 12 Broad Street and 18 Broad Street Buildings, and also means the telephone facilities available in these locations. See NYSE Rule 6.

Rule 6A(b) would define which physical areas are excluded from the definition of “Trading Floor.”

The Exchange first proposes to amend Rule 6A to reflect the renaming of the physical area formerly known as the “Garage.” That area has been renamed the “Buttonwood Room” and the Exchange proposes to reflect this change in Rule 6A. Rule 6A also currently defines Trading Floor to include areas commonly known as the “Blue Room” and also refers to an area commonly referred to as the “Extended Blue Room.”⁶ The Exchange recently closed those areas and moved all member organizations, member organization employees and NYSE Amex Options trading activities that were previously housed in these areas to the Buttonwood Room. To reflect this change, the Exchange proposes to delete references to the Blue Room and Extended Blue Room from Rule 6A and replace them with a reference to the Buttonwood Room.

With respect to proposed Rule 6A(b), the current rule already excludes the NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor from the definition of “Trading Floor.” To reflect the change to the names of the trading rooms and the relocation of the NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor to the Buttonwood Room, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6A(b) to refer to the Buttonwood Room when referring to the NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor. Accordingly, the proposed rule would exclude from the definition of Trading Floor the designated areas in the Buttonwood Room where NYSE Amex-listed options are traded which, for the purposes of the Exchange’s Rules, would continue to be referred to as the “NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor.”⁷ This proposed change does not make any substantive changes and reflects only the location change for NYSE Amex Options. This proposal would have no impact on the physical location of NYSE Amex Options personnel as they would remain in their current location in the Buttonwood Room.

The Exchange next proposes to amend Rule 6A(b) to exclude an additional area from the definition of Trading Floor. As proposed, the Exchange proposes to exclude from the definition of Trading

⁶ The Blue Room and Extended Blue Room are references to trading spaces previously utilized by member firm employees and NYSE Amex Options at 20 Broad Street.

⁷ As when the NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor was located in the Extended Blue Room, in the Buttonwood Room, the Exchange has erected physical barriers between the NYSE Amex Options Trading Floor and any Exchange member organizations or Exchange personnel that are also located in the Buttonwood Room.