

Document	ADAMS Accession No.
AREVA Calculation No. 11042-0204, Revision 3, Allowable Flaw Size Evaluation in the Inner Top Cover Weld for DSC #16, September 29, 2015.	ML15275A024
Structural Integrity Associates Calculation Package No. 1301415.301, Revision 0, Development of an Analysis Based Stress Allowable Reduction Factor (SARF), Dry Shielded Canister (DSC) Top Closure Weldments, October 2014.	ML15275A025
Structural Integrity Associates report, No. 1301415.405, Expectations for Field Closure Welds on the AREVA-TN NUHOMS® 61BTH Type 1 & 2 Transportable Canister for BWR Dry Fuel Storage, November 3, 2014.	ML14309A194

#### IV. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing considerations, the NRC staff has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 72.7, the exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the NRC grants the applicant an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(3), 72.212(b)(5)(i), 72.214, and 72.212(b)(11), only with regard to meeting Technical Specification (TS) 1.2.5 of Attachment A of CoC No. 1004 for DSC 16.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day June, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Bernie White,

*Acting Branch Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14188 Filed 6-14-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2016-194]

#### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* June 17, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

#### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service has filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and

39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

#### II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* CP2016-194; *Filing Title:* Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 1C Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* June 9, 2016; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*; *Public Representative:* Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due:* June 17, 2016.

This notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14172 Filed 6-14-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

### OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

#### Request for Information on the Development of the 2017 National Plan for Civil Earth Observations; Correction

**ACTION:** Notice of Request for Information (RFI); correction.

**SUMMARY:** On June 2, 2016, the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) published a document in the **Federal Register** (81 FR 35398) requesting information on development of the 2017 *National Plan for Civil Earth Observations*. That document contained one error in an OSTP email address, and in one of the listed phone numbers. OSTP is therefore reissuing this document with the corrected information.

On behalf of the U.S. Group on Earth Observations (USGEO), a Subcommittee of the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) Committee on Environment, Natural Resources, and Sustainability (CENRS), OSTP requests input from all interested parties regarding recommendations for the development of the 2017 *National Plan for Civil Earth Observations* ("National Plan", or "Plan"). An electronic

template with questions will be posted at <https://www.usgeo.gov>. Comments of up to approximately 2,000 characters per question are requested and must be received by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time), July 15, 2016 to be considered. The public input provided in response to this Notice will inform OSTP as it works with Federal agencies and other stakeholders to develop this Plan.

**DATES:** Responses must be received by 11:59 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time), July 15, 2016, to be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods. The first method is preferred by OSTP.

- **Downloadable form:** To aid in information collection and analysis, the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) encourages responses to be provided by filling out the downloadable form located at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ostp/library/shareyourinput> and emailing that form, as an attachment, to [env\\_energy@ostp.eop.gov](mailto:env_energy@ostp.eop.gov). Please include “National Plan for Civil Earth Observations” in the subject line of the message.

- **Fax:** (202) 456–6071. On the cover page, please state “National Plan for Civil Earth Observations, attn: Timothy Stryker”.

- **Mail:** Office of Science and Technology Policy, 1650 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20504. Information submitted by postal mail should be postmarked by July 15, 2016.

**Instructions:** Response to this RFI is voluntary. Respondents need not reply to all questions listed. Each individual or institution is requested to submit only one response. OSTP may post responses to this RFI without change, online. OSTP therefore requests that no business proprietary information, copyrighted information, or personally identifiable information be submitted in response to this RFI. Please note that the U.S. Government will not pay for response preparation, or for the use of any information contained in the response.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Timothy Stryker, Director, U.S. Group on Earth Observations Program, OSTP; 202–419–5487; [tstryker@ostp.eop.gov](mailto:tstryker@ostp.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The U.S. Government is the world’s largest single provider of civil environmental and Earth-system data. These data are derived from Earth observations collected by numerous Federal agencies and partners in support of their missions and are critical

to the protection of human life and property, economic growth, national and homeland security, and scientific research.

Federal investments in Earth-observation activities ensure that decision makers, businesses, first responders, farmers, and a wide array of other stakeholders have the information they need about climate and weather; natural hazards; land-use change; ecosystem health; water; natural resources; and other characteristics of the Earth system. Taken together, Earth observations provide the indispensable foundation for meeting the Federal Government’s long-term sustainability objectives and advancing the Nation’s societal, environmental, and economic well-being.

As the Nation’s capacity to observe the Earth system has grown, however, so has the operating complexity of sustaining and coordinating civil Earth-observation research, operations, and related activities. To address these growing complexities, in October 2010, Congress charged the Director of OSTP with establishing a mechanism to ensure greater coordination of the research, operations, and activities relating to civil Earth observations, including the development of a triennial strategic implementation plan and a process for external independent advisory input (see the National Aeronautics and Space Authorization Act of 2010, Pub. L. 111–267, Section 702). In response, OSTP coordinated the first-ever Earth Observation Assessment (EOA 2012), a snapshot of the current portfolio of Earth-observing systems and surveys used to meet key Federal civil objectives across thirteen thematic Societal Benefit Areas (SBAs), and released the National Strategy for Civil Earth Observations in April 2013 (“the National Strategy”, see [http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/nstc\\_2013\\_earthobsstrategy.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/nstc_2013_earthobsstrategy.pdf)).

OSTP subsequently developed and released the first National Plan for Civil Earth Observations with support of the U.S. Group on Earth Observations (USGEO) Subcommittee in July 2014 (“the 2014 National Plan”, see [https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/NSTC/2014\\_national\\_plan\\_for\\_civil\\_earth\\_observations.pdf](https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/NSTC/2014_national_plan_for_civil_earth_observations.pdf)). Based in large part on the results of EOA 2012, the 2014 National Plan established priorities and supporting actions for advancing our civil Earth-observations capabilities and ensuring stable, continuous, and coordinated Earth-observation capabilities for the benefit of society.

The 2016 Earth Observation Assessment (EOA 2016), the second iteration of the assessment process, is nearing completion. Conducted by the Assessment Working Group of the USGEO Subcommittee, EOA 2016 will provide foundational input for OSTP to use when developing the second National Plan for Civil Earth Observations (“Plan”). In addition, other USGEO Subcommittee activities, including an interagency satellite needs-collection process, U.S. engagement in the intergovernmental Group on Earth Observations (GEO) and efforts to advance the discoverability, accessibility, and usability of Earth-observation data products across the Federal Government, will inform the development of the Plan.

As EOA 2016 nears completion, OSTP has commenced the development of the Plan and is seeking public advisory input on this process through this RFI. The public input provided in response to this RFI will inform OSTP and USGEO as they work with Federal agencies and other stakeholders to develop the Plan. Following the receipt and review of responses to this RFI, OSTP also intends to host a public meeting as an additional way to collect individual, actionable feedback. This meeting will feature Federal and non-Federal participants and allow for focused discussions on specific questions related to the priorities and supporting actions outlined in the first National Plan.

**Questions To Inform Development of the National Plan**

Through this RFI, OSTP seeks responses to the following questions:

1. What services do you provide or research do you do using Federal Earth observation data and information products? Please provide specific examples.

2. What decisions do you make or support using Federal Earth observation data and information products? Please provide specific examples.

3. In the areas listed below, where has the Federal Government been the most, or least, successful and why? Please provide specific examples. You do not need to provide responses to all listed areas—please focus on those most relevant to your work.

- a. Improving spatial and temporal resolution, sample density, and geographic coverage of measurements from Earth observation systems.

- b. Developing and deploying new Earth observation systems that address user needs.

- c. Improving the discoverability, accessibility, and usability of Earth

observation data, model output, and derived information products.

4. One important policy goal for Federal agencies has been to improve external users' ability to find, access, and use Earth observation data and information products. In which of these three areas (finding, accessing, or using) have you witnessed improvements, if any? Please provide specific examples.

5. In the areas listed below, what could the Federal Government do to improve the Earth observations that you rely on? Please provide specific examples. You do not need to provide responses to all listed areas—please focus on those most relevant to your work.

a. Maintain current observing systems.

b. Incrementally improve or upgrade current observing systems.

c. Develop new observing systems with significantly enhanced measurement capabilities.

d. Develop new agency practices to improve the discoverability, accessibility, and usability of Earth observation data.

6. On what emerging technologies, techniques, and management practices should the Federal Government focus attention in the next few years to enhance public services, research in the public interest, and fundamental scientific inquiry?

7. What types of partnerships with Federal agencies, such as those listed below, show the most promise to address current gaps in Earth observation coverage and related service provision? Please provide specific examples. You do not need to provide responses to all listed areas—please focus on those most relevant to your work. You are also free to discuss other types of partnerships that are not listed below.

a. Cooperative research and development agreements.

b. Challenges and prizes.

c. Joint ventures for Earth observation system development and operations.

d. Citizen science and crowdsourced observations.

8. Is your organization concerned about a potential shortage of workers in the United States who are trained to develop, understand, or use Earth observation data and geospatial information? Please provide specific concerns.

9. What, if any, do you believe were the key accomplishments of the first National Plan and what impact did the National Plan have, if any, on your organization? Please provide specific examples.

10. The first National Plan identified eight Supporting Actions (pp. 20–27) required to maximize the benefits derived from the Nation's Earth observations. In priority order, they are:

- Action 1: Coordinate and Integrate Observations
- Action 2: Improve Data Access, Management, and Interoperability
- Action 3: Increase Efficiency and Cost Savings
- Action 4: Improve Observation Density and Sampling
- Action 5: Maintain and Support Infrastructure
- Action 6: Explore Commercial Solutions
- Action 7: Maintain and Strengthen International Collaboration
- Action 8: Engage in Stakeholder-Driven Data Innovation

Of the actions listed above most relevant to your work, where has the Federal Government been the most, or least, successful, and why? Please provide specific examples.

**Ted Wackler,**

*Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant Director.*

[FR Doc. 2016–14186 Filed 6–14–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3270–F6–P**

---



---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–78027; File No. SR–Phlx–2016–64]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule Under Section VIII

June 9, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule under Section VIII, entitled “NASDAQ OMX

PSX FEES,” with respect to execution and routing of orders in securities priced at \$1 or more per share.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend certain charges and credits for the use of the order execution and routing services of the NASDAQ OMX PSX System (“PSX”) by member organizations for all securities traded at \$1 or more per share. The Exchange is proposing to: (1) Add an additional Consolidated Volume<sup>3</sup> requirement to the existing fee tiers assessed a member organization that enters an order that executes in PSX; (2) add a new default fee assessed a member organization that enters an order that executes in PSX in the security of any Tape<sup>4</sup> of \$0.0030 per share executed; and (3) delete text from the preamble of paragraph (a)(1) of Section VIII, Order Execution and

<sup>3</sup> Consolidated Volume is defined as the total consolidated volume reported to all consolidated transaction reporting plans by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities during a month in equity securities, excluding executed orders with a size of less than one round lot. For purposes of calculating Consolidated Volume and the extent of a member's trading activity, expressed as a percentage of, or ratio to, Consolidated Volume, the date of the annual reconstitution of the Russell Investments Indexes shall be excluded from both total Consolidated Volume and the member's trading activity. See Section VIII, Order Execution and Routing, paragraph (a)(1).

<sup>4</sup> There are three Tapes, which are based on the listing venue of the security: Tape C securities are Nasdaq-listed; Tape A securities are New York Stock Exchange-listed securities; and Tape B securities are listed on exchanges other than Nasdaq and NYSE.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.