

Copper River, near the village of Tazlina, Alaska. This notice is intended to notify the public of the Archdiocese's application and its supporting rationale.

**DATES:** All comments to this action should be received on or before September 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the Archdiocese's application or the BLM Draft Summary Report for the Corporation of Archbishop of Anchorage, Inc. (Archdiocese of Anchorage) application for RDI must be filed with the RDI Program Manager (AK-942), Division of Lands and Cadastral, BLM Alaska State Office, 222 West Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, AK 99513.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Angie Nichols, RDI Program Manager, at 222 West 7th Avenue, #13, Anchorage, AK 99513; 907-271-3359; or [anichols@blm.gov](mailto:anichols@blm.gov); or visit the BLM RDI Web site at <http://www.blm.gov/ak/st/en/prog/rdi.html>. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay System (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours of a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Archdiocese has filed an application for an RDI pursuant to Section 315 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1745), as amended, and the regulations contained in 43 CFR subpart 1864 for the surface estate of the following lands:

**Copper River Meridian, Alaska**

T. 3 N., R. 1 W.,

Sec. 10, lots 6 and 7, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;

Sec. 15, lots 2, 3, 4, 7, and 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described aggregate 461.67 acres. In the application, the Archdiocese asserts that the United States has no interest in the property.

The lands were patented under Private Law 151. Both the law and patent have language stating that the land is for use as a mission school. The Archdiocese believes that the clause for use as a mission school casts a cloud on the title and believes that cloud serves as an impediment to any future use or sale of the land. If the BLM approves the application and issues an RDI, it would confirm that the United States has no valid interest in the subject lands.

By this notice the BLM is informing the public of the Archdiocese's

application and its supporting rationale. A final decision on the merits of the Archdiocese's application will not be made before September 8, 2016. During the 90-day period, interested parties may comment on the Archdiocese's application, AA-094010, and supporting evidence. Interested parties may comment during this time on the BLM's Draft Summary Report for the Corporation of Archbishop of Anchorage, Inc. (Archdiocese of Anchorage) Application for Recordable Disclaimer of Interest.

Comments, including names and street addresses, will be available for public review at the Alaska State Office (see **ADDRESSES** above), during regular business hours, 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If the evidence is sufficient to find a favorable determination and neither the records nor a valid objection disclose a reason not to disclaim, then the application may be approved.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 1864.

**Erika L. Reed,**

*Acting Deputy State Director, Division of Lands and Cadastral.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13763 Filed 6-9-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-956]

### Certain Recombinant Factor VIII Products

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) has issued a final initial determination on May 27, 2016, and a recommended determination on remedy and bonding on June 3, 2016.

The ALJ found no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337). Should the Commission, however, find a violation of Section 337, the ALJ recommends

that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order that excludes from importation into the United States certain recombinant factor VIII products manufactured by processes that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,100,061 and 8,084,252. The respondents are Novo Nordisk A/S of Bagsvaerd, Denmark, and Novo Nordisk Inc. of Plainsboro, N.J. Upon a finding of a violation, the ALJ further recommends that cease and desist orders issue to respondents and be directed to respondents' domestic inventories.

This notice is soliciting public interest comments only from the public. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4) within 30 days from service of the recommended determination.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, (202) 205-3427. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on EDIS at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.

19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

Therefore, the Commission is interested in further developing the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the

public interest in light of the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding issued in this investigation on June 3, 2016. Comments should address whether the issuance of a limited exclusion order and cease and desist order would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the limited exclusion order and cease and desist order would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on June 29, 2016.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (Inv. No. 337-TA-956) in a prominent place on the cover page, the first page, or both. See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary at (202) 205-2000.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents

for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10, 210.46, and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.46, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 6, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13688 Filed 6-9-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Executive Office for Immigration Review

[OMB 1125-0002]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Notice of Appeal From a Decision of an Immigration Judge (EOIR-26)

**AGENCY:** Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed collection was previously published in **Federal Register** at 81 FR 19639, on April 5, 2016, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until July 11, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jean King, General Counsel, Executive Office for Immigration Review, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia

22041; telephone: (703) 305-0470. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to [OIRA\\_submissions@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Executive Office for Immigration Review, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Notice of Appeal from a Decision of an Immigration Judge.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is EOIR-26, Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* A party (either the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement of the Department of Homeland Security or the respondent/applicant) who appeals a decision of an Immigration Judge to the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board). A party affected by a decision of an Immigration Judge may appeal that decision to the Board, provided that the Board has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 CFR 1003.1(b). An appeal