

income of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s) (include both U.S. and export commercial sales, internal consumption, and company transfers) for your most recently completed fiscal year (identify the date on which your fiscal year ends).

(10) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2015 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of *Subject Merchandise* imported from the *Subject Country*.

(11) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2015 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm(s) to produce the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* (i.e., the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and

cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix); and

(c) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the *Domestic Like Product* that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Country* after 2009, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in the *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** This proceeding is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 23, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-12435 Filed 5-31-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-933]

### Certain Stainless Steel Products, Certain Processes for Manufacturing or Relating to Same, and Certain Products Containing Same Commission's Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation and has issued a limited exclusion order prohibiting importation of certain stainless steel products manufactured by or on behalf of respondent Viraj Profiles Limited ("Viraj Profiles") using the complainant's misappropriated trade secrets. The Commission has also issued a cease and desist order directed to Viraj Profiles. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lucy Grace D. Noyola, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3438. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. of Fort Wayne, Indiana; Valbruna Stainless Inc., of Fort Wayne, Indiana; and Acciaierie Valbruna S.p.A. of Italy (collectively, "Valbruna"). 79 FR 61339 (Oct. 10, 2014). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain stainless steel products, certain processes for manufacturing or relating to same, and certain products containing same by reason of the misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. *Id.* The notice of investigation names as respondents (1) Viraj Profiles of Mumbai, India; Viraj Holdings P. Ltd. of Mumbai, India; Viraj—U.S.A., Inc. of Garden City, New York; (2) Flanschenwerk Bebitz GmbH of Könnern, Germany; Bebitz Flanges Works Pvt. Ltd. of Maharashtra, India; Bebitz U.S.A. of Garden City, New York; and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. of Tainan, Taiwan and Ta Chen International, Inc. of Long Beach, California. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations also was named as a party to the investigation. *Id.*

On December 8, 2015, the administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 17) granting in part Valbruna’s motion for default and other relief. The ALJ found that Viraj Profiles acted in bad faith in spoliating evidence and that a sanction of default against Viraj Profiles was warranted. On February 8, 2016, the Commission determined to review Order No. 17, and, in that notice of review, determined to affirm the default finding against Viraj Profiles, noting that supplemental reasoning would be provided in a forthcoming opinion. 81 FR 7584 (Feb. 12, 2016). The Commission also requested briefing from the parties on certain issues on review, and requested briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On February 18, 2016, the parties filed initial written submissions addressing the Commission’s questions and remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Also, on February 18, 2016, several non-parties filed responses to the Commission’s February 8, 2016 notice, including Forging Industry Association, Central Wire Inc., Sumiden Wire Products Corporation, Tree Island Steel, Tri Star Metals, LLC, Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy (G.O. Carlson Inc., Co.), North American Stainless, Outokumpu Stainless USA, LLC, and Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. On February 24, 2016, U.S. Representatives Tim Murphy and Peter J. Visclosky, the respective

Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Congressional Steel Caucus, also filed a response to the Commission’s February 8, 2016 notice. On February 25, 2016, the parties filed reply submissions. Also, on February 25, 2016, several non-parties filed reply submissions, including American Wire Producers Association, Alloy Screen Works, Inc., Cincinnati Metals Inc., Kerkau Mfg., Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy (G.O. Carlson Inc., Co.), North American Stainless, Outokumpu Stainless USA, LLC, and Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc. On February 25, 2016, U.S. Senator Joe Donnelly of Indiana also filed a response to the Commission’s February 8, 2016 notice.

On March 3, 2016, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 19) granting Valbruna’s motion for partial termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint against all respondents except Viraj Profiles. On April 4, 2016, the Commission determined not to review Order No. 19. Notice (Apr. 4, 2016).

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the various IDs and the parties’ submissions, the Commission has determined to vacate the portions of Order No. 17 with respect to (1) disgorgement and (2) denial of Valbruna’s request for leave to assert additional operating practices.

The Commission has determined the appropriate remedy is a limited exclusion order prohibiting, for 16.7 years from the date of the order, the entry of stainless steel products manufactured by or on behalf of Viraj Profiles using any of the misappropriated trade secrets identified in Valbruna’s complaint (*see* Compl. ¶¶ 27–33, 51 and accompanying exhibits). The Commission has also determined to issue a cease and desist order prohibiting Viraj Profiles from, *inter alia*, importing or selling the subject products. The Commission has determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) and (f), 19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), do not preclude the issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order. The Commission has determined to apply a bond in the amount of 13.4 percent of the entered value of excluded products imported or sold during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)).

The Commission’s order and opinion were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 25, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–12814 Filed 5–31–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–669 (Fourth Review)]

### Cased Pencils From China; Institution of a Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on cased pencils from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;<sup>1</sup> to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is July 1, 2016. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by August 15, 2016.

**DATES:** Effective June 1, 2016.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

<sup>1</sup> No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 16–5–356, expiration date June 30, 2017. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.