

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
HUD-52675 .....	3937	Monthly .....	47,244	0.0833 Hours or 5 minutes per family.	26,177	\$21.03	\$550.502

## B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: April 14, 2016.

**Merrie Nichols-Dixon,**

*Deputy Director, Office of Policy, Programs and Legislative Initiatives.*

[FR Doc. 2016-09605 Filed 4-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Air Mattress Bed Systems and Components Thereof DN 3143*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing under section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.8(b)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at EDIS<sup>1</sup>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at USITC<sup>2</sup>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at EDIS<sup>3</sup>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Select Comfort Corporation and Select Comfort SC Corporation on April 20, 2016. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain air mattress bed systems and components thereof. The complaint names as respondents American National Manufacturing Inc. of Corona, CA; Elements of Rest Inc. of Atlanta, GA; Responsive Surface Technology LLC of Atlanta, GA; and Dires LLC d/b/a Personal Comfort Bed of Orlando, FL. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders and impose a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing articles during the 60-

day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. §1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section

<sup>1</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

<sup>2</sup> United States International Trade Commission (USITC): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

<sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3143") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures<sup>4</sup>). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS<sup>5</sup>.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR §§ 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: April 20, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-09611 Filed 4-25-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **United States v. Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc. and St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.: Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia in *United States of America v. Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc. and St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-03664. On April 14, 2016, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc.

and St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. unlawfully agreed to allocate territories for the marketing of competing healthcare services and unlawfully limited competition. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, enjoins Defendants from limiting competition in this manner and requires Defendants to institute comprehensive antitrust compliance programs to ensure that Defendants do not establish similar unlawful agreements and similar limitations on competition in the future.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection on the Antitrust Division's Web site at <http://www.justice.gov/atr> and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, including the name of the submitter, and responses thereto, will be posted on the Antitrust Division's Web site, filed with the Court, and, under certain circumstances, published in the **Federal Register**. Comments should be directed to Peter Mucchetti, Chief, Litigation I, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 4100, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-307-0001).

**Patricia A. Brink**

*Director of Civil Enforcement.*

#### **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

#### **CHARLESTON DIVISION**

*UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. CHARLESTON AREA MEDICAL CENTER, INC. and ST. MARY'S MEDICAL CENTER, INC., Defendants.*

CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-03664

JUDGE: John T. Copenhagen, Jr.

FILED: 04/14/2016

#### **COMPLAINT**

The United States of America brings this civil antitrust action to enjoin an agreement by Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc. ("CAMC") and St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. ("St. Mary's") (collectively, "Defendants") that unlawfully allocated territories for the marketing of competing healthcare services and limited competition between the Defendants.

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Defendants CAMC and St. Mary's are healthcare providers that operate general acute-care hospitals in Charleston, Kanawha County, West Virginia, and Huntington, Cabell County, West Virginia, respectively. CAMC and St. Mary's compete with each other to provide healthcare services. Marketing is a key component of this competition and includes both print and outdoor advertising, such as newspaper advertisements and billboards.

2. CAMC and St. Mary's agreed to limit marketing of competing healthcare services. According to St. Mary's Director of Marketing, St. Mary's "had an agreement with CAMC that St. Mary's would not advertise on billboards or in print in Kanawha County and that CAMC would not advertise on billboards or in print in Cabell County." He also testified that "the agreement between St. Mary's and CAMC is still in place today."

3. Defendants' agreement has disrupted the competitive process and harmed patients and physicians. Among other things, the agreement has deprived patients of information they otherwise would have had when making important healthcare decisions and has denied physicians working for the Defendants the opportunity to advertise their services to potential patients.

4. Defendants' agreement is a naked restraint of trade that is *per se* unlawful under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1.

#### **JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTERSTATE COMMERCE**

5. The United States brings this action pursuant to Section 4 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 4, to prevent and restrain Defendants' violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1.

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under Section 4 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 4, and 28 U.S.C. 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and 1367.

7. Venue is proper in the Southern District of West Virginia, Charleston Division, under 28 U.S.C. 1391 and Section 12 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 22. Each Defendant transacts business within the Southern District of West Virginia, and all Defendants reside in the Southern District of West Virginia.

8. Defendants engage in interstate commerce and in activities substantially affecting interstate commerce. Defendants provide healthcare services to patients for which employers, health plans, and individual patients remit payments across state lines. Defendants

<sup>4</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: [http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed\\_reg\\_notices/rules/handbook\\_on\\_electronic\\_filing.pdf](http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.