

part, that “[t]he Director of the Office of Exporter Services, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Export Enforcement, may deny the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of a violation of the Export Administration Act (“EAA”), the EAR, or any order, license or authorization issued thereunder; any regulation, license, or order issued under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706); 18 U.S.C. 793, 794 or 798; section 4(b) of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)), or section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).” 15 CFR 766.25(a); *see also* Section 11(h) of the EAA, 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). The denial of export privileges under this provision may be for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(d); *see also* 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that the Bureau of Industry and Security’s Office of Exporter Services may revoke any Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) licenses previously issued in which the person had an interest in at the time of her conviction.

BIS has received notice of Astakhov’s conviction for violating the AECA, and has provided notice and an opportunity for Astakhov to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations. BIS has received a submission from Astakhov.

Based upon my review and consultations with BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Astakhov’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of Astakhov’s conviction. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or Regulations in which Astakhov had an interest at the time of her conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

First, from the date of this Order until May 7, 2025, Alexandre Astakhov, with a last known address of Register Number: 68614–066, USP Lewisburg, U.S. Penitentiary, Federal Prison Camp, P.O. Box 2000, Lewisburg, PA 17837, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (the “Denied

Person”), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations;

or
C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph,

servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Astakhov by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Astakhov may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Astakhov. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until May 7, 2025.

Issued this 11 day of April 2016.

Karen H. Nies-Vogel,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2016–08919 Filed 4–15–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–009]

Calcium Hypochlorite From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on calcium hypochlorite from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) for May 27, 2014 through December 31, 2015.

DATES: *Effective:* April 18, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katie Marksberry, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

uscod.house.gov). Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 7, 2015 (80 FR 48,233 (Aug. 11, 2015)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.* (2006 & Supp. IV 2010)).

Background

On March 3, 2016, based on a timely request for review on behalf of Haixing Jingmei Chemical Products Sales Co. Ltd. (“Jingmei”) and Haixing Eno Chemical Co., Ltd. (“Eno”),¹ the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on calcium hypochlorite from the PRC covering the period May 27, 2014 through December 31, 2015.² The review covers Jingmei and Eno.³ On March 25, 2016, Jingmei and Eno withdrew their requests for an administrative review.⁴ No other party requested a review of these companies or any other exporters of subject merchandise.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Jingmei and Eno timely withdrew their request by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the countervailing duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of calcium hypochlorite from the PRC for the period May 27, 2014 through December 31, 2015, in its entirety.

Assessment

Both Jingmei and Eno are subject to an ongoing new shipper review covering the same period of review as this administrative review.⁵ Accordingly, CBP should continue to suspend liquidation of entries exported by Jingmei and Eno, until the Department instructs otherwise, pursuant to the final results of the new shipper review.

Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to

¹ See Letter to the Department from Jingmei and Eno, Re: “Calcium Hypochlorite from the People’s Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review,” dated January 29, 2016.

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 11179 (March 3, 2016) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

³ *Id.*

⁴ See Letter to the Department from Jingmei and Eno, Re: “Calcium Hypochlorite from the People’s Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Annual Administrative Review,” dated March 25, 2016.

⁵ See *Calcium Hypochlorite from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review: 2014–2015*, 81 FR 11516 (March 4, 2016).

administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 11, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–08907 Filed 4–15–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–844]

Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results* of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on narrow woven ribbons with woven selvage (NWR) from Taiwan.¹ The review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Rong Shu Industry Corporation (Rong Shu) and A-Madeus Textile Ltd. (A-Madeus). The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2013, through August 31, 2014. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and, based upon our analysis of the comments, we continue to find that sales of subject merchandise to the United States have been made at prices below normal value (NV). The final dumping margins for the reviewed companies are listed below in the

¹ See *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage from Taiwan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 60627 (October 7, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

section entitled “Final Results of the Review.”

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 18, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Crespo or Alice Maldonado, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3693 and (202) 482–4682, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register**. In November 2015, we received a case brief from A-Madeus and a rebuttal brief from the petitioners.²

On February 3, 2016, the Department postponed the final results by 60 days.³ The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order⁵ covers narrow woven ribbons with woven selvage. The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under the harmonized tariff schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical categories 5806.32.1020; 5806.32.1030; 5806.32.1050 and 5806.32.1060. Subject merchandise also may enter under subheadings 5806.31.00; 5806.32.20; 5806.39.20; 5806.39.30; 5808.90.00; 5810.91.00; 5810.99.90; 5903.90.10; 5903.90.25; 5907.00.60; and 5907.00.80 and under statistical categories 5806.32.1080; 5810.92.9080;

² The petitioners in this case are Berwick Offray LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Lion Ribbon Company, Inc.

³ See the February 3, 2016, memorandum to Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations through Melissa G. Skinner, Director, Office II from David Crespo, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, entitled “Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvage from Taiwan: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.”

⁴ On January 27, 2016, the Department exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. Therefore, the revised deadline for the final results of this review is now April 11, 2016. See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled, “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm ‘Jonas’” (January 27, 2016).

⁵ See *Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From Taiwan and the People’s Republic of China: Amended Antidumping Duty Orders*, 75 FR 56982 (Sept. 17, 2010) (*Order*).