

708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 16, 2016, based on a complaint filed by Covidien LP of Mansfield, Massachusetts (“Covidien”). 81 FR 7830–31 (Feb. 16, 2016). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of surgical stapler devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,669,073; 8,342,377; and 6,079,606. The notice of investigation named Chongqing QMI Surgical Co., Ltd. of Chongqing, China (“QMI”) as respondent. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party.

On March 1, 2016, Covidien and QMI jointly moved for termination of the investigation in its entirety based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. See 19 CFR 210.21(c). On March 10, 2016, the Commission investigative attorney responded in support of the motion. No other responses were submitted.

On March 10, 2016, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion. The ID finds that the consent order stipulation and proposed consent order comply with Commission rules, see 19 CFR 210.21(c)(3)-(c)(4), and that granting the motion would not adversely affect the public interest, *id.* § 210.50(b)(2). ID at 2–4.

No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID and to issue the consent order.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: April 4, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–08060 Filed 4–7–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA1921–167 (Fourth Review)]

Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy, Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, that revocation of the antidumping duty finding on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on March 2, 2015 (80 FR 11224) and determined on June 5, 2015 that it would conduct a full review (80 FR 34458, June 16, 2015). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 28, 2015 (80 FR 58295). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on February 2, 2016, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on April 4, 2016. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4602 (April 2016), entitled *Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape from Italy*:

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Broadbent and Commissioner Kieff dissenting.

Investigation No. AA1921–167 (Fourth Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–08027 Filed 4–7–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1122–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Financial Capability Form

AGENCY: Office on Violence Against Women, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office on Violence Against Women, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 81 FR 6293, on February 5, 2016, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until May 9, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Cathy Poston, Attorney Advisor, Office on Violence Against Women, 145 N Street NE., Washington, DC 20530 (phone: 202–514–5430). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including