

202-694-5661—or via email to JKIRLIN@ers.usda.gov. All comments received will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the same address.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 24, 2016.

Mary Bohman,

Administrator, Economic Research Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-07850 Filed 4-5-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Information Collection; Comment Request—Report of Disqualification From Participation—Institutions and Responsible Principals/Individuals (FNS-843) and Report of Disqualification From Participation—Individually Disqualified Responsible Principal/Individual or Day Care Home Provider (FNS-844)

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and public agencies to comment on a proposed information collection. This collection is an extension, without change, of a currently approved collection for maintaining the National Disqualified List of institutions, day care home providers, and individuals that have been terminated or otherwise disqualified from Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) participation. These federal requirements affect eligibility under the CACFP. The State Agencies are required to enter data as institutions and individuals become disqualified from participating in the CACFP. The collection is the result of a FNS web-based system constructed to update and maintain the list of

disqualified institutions and individuals so that no State agency or sponsoring organization may approve any entity on the National Disqualified List to ensure the integrity of the Program.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 6, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to: Sarah Smith-Holmes, Director, Program Monitoring and Operational Support Branch, Child Nutrition Division, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 630, Alexandria, Virginia 22302. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All written comment(s) will be open for public inspection at the office of the Food and Nutrition Service during regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday) at 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 640, Alexandria, Virginia 22302.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval, and will become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah Smith-Holmes (703) 305-2063.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: CACFP National Disqualified List—Forms FNS-843, FNS-844.

Form Number: FNS-843 and FNS-844.

OMB Number: 0584-0584.

Expiration Date: August 31, 2016.

Type of Request: Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Food and Nutrition Service administers the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1771, *et seq.*). Section 243(c) of Public Law 106-224, the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000, amended section 17(d)(5) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766(d)(5)(E)(i) and (ii)) by requiring the Department of Agriculture to maintain a list of institutions, day care home providers, and individuals that have been terminated or otherwise disqualified from Child and Adult Care Food Program participation. The law also requires the Department to make the list available to State agencies for their use in reviewing applications to participate in the program and to sponsoring organizations to ensure that they do not employ as principals any persons who are disqualified from the program. Forms FNS-843 and FNS-844 are used to collect and maintain this data. This statutory mandate has been incorporated into § 226.6(c)(7) of the Program regulations. In addition, the recordkeeping burden associated with maintaining documentation related to institutions and providers terminated for cause at the State agency level is captured under the Information Collection for 7 CFR part 226, Child and Adult Care Food Program OMB Control Number 0584-0055, expiration date September 30, 2016. Therefore, there is no recordkeeping burden associated with this collection.

Affected Public: State Agencies.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 56.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 28.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 1,568.

Estimate Time Per Response: .50.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 784.

Affected public	Instrument	Estimated number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Estimated total hours per response	Estimated total burden
Reporting						
State Agencies	FNS 843	56	6	336	.50	168
State Agencies	FNS 844	56	22	1,232	.50	616
Total Estimated Reporting Burden	56	1,568	784

Dated: March 31, 2016.

Audrey Rowe,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-07913 Filed 4-5-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-849]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers From Taiwan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 6, 2016.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan for the period of review ("POR"), December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth Hawkins, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202.482.6491.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 2016, based on a timely request for review by Petitioners,¹ the Department published in the *Federal Register* a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers for six companies, covering the period December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015.² On March 22, 2016, Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of these companies.³

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of

¹ M&B Metal Products Company, Inc., Innovative Fabrication LLC/Indy Hanger and US Hanger Company, LLC (collectively "Petitioners").

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 6832 (February 9, 2016) ("Initiation").

³ See letter from Petitioners, "Re: Third Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from Taiwan—Petitioners' Withdrawal of Review Request," dated March 22, 2016.

the requested review. Petitioners withdrew their request within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, we are rescinding the administrative review of steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan for the POR.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 31, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016-07903 Filed 4-5-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-037]

Certain Biaxial Integral Geogrid Products From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 6, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katie Marksberry, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-7906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 8, 2016, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the countervailing duty investigation of certain biaxial integral geogrid products from the People's Republic of China. See *Certain Biaxial Integral Geogrid Products from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 81 FR 7745 (February 16, 2016). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than April 13, 2016.

Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the Department concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, and that the case is extraordinarily complicated such that additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination, section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation. We have concluded that the parties concerned are cooperating and that the case is extraordinarily complicated, such that we will need more time to make the preliminary determination. Specifically, the Department finds that the instant case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy practices, and the need to determine the