

Rockville, Maryland 20852. After registering with Security, please contact Mr. Theron Brown (Telephone: 240-888-9835) to be escorted to the meeting room.

Dated: March 24, 2016.

Mark L. Banks,

Chief, Technical Support Branch, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2016-07457 Filed 3-31-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77455; File No. SR-NYSEARCA-2016-48]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE Arca Equities Schedule of Fees and Charges for Exchange Services

March 28, 2016.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on March 21, 2016, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Equities Schedule of Fees and Charges for Exchange Services (“Fee Schedule”) to exclude from its average daily volume and certain other calculations any trading day on which the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day and/or a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective March 21, 2016. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule to exclude from its average daily volume (“ADV”) and certain other calculations any trading day on which the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day and/or a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours.

The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective March 21, 2016.⁴

As provided in the Fee Schedule, many of the NYSE Arca Equities’ transaction fees and credits are based on trading and liquidity thresholds that ETP Holders and Market Makers must satisfy in order to qualify for the particular rates. The Exchange believes that trading suspensions or disruptions can prevent ETP Holders and Market Makers from engaging in normal trading and liquidity provision in their assigned securities, leading to decreased trading volume compared to ADV. Accordingly, for purposes of determining transaction fees and credits for these market participants based on trading and liquidity thresholds [sic], ADV, and United States consolidated ADV (“US CADV”),⁵ the Exchange proposes to add text to current footnote 1 to the Fee Schedule that would permit the Exchange to exclude any trading day on which (1) the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day and/or (2) a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes

⁴ The Exchange originally filed to amend the Fee Schedule on March 1, 2016 (SR-NYSEArca-2016-38) and withdrew such filing on March 11, 2016. The Exchange subsequently filed to amend the Fee Schedule on March 11, 2016 (SR-NYSEArca-2016-45) and withdrew such filing on March 21, 2016.

⁵ US CADV is used here as defined in footnote 3 to the Fee Schedule.

during regular trading hours. The proposal is consistent with the Exchange’s options rules⁶ and the rules of its affiliate NYSE MKT LLC.⁷

The proposed change would allow the Exchange to exclude days where the Exchange declares a trading halt in all securities or honors a market-wide trading halt declared by another market. The Exchange’s proposal would be similar to the current provision in the Fee Schedule whereby trade activity on days when the market closes early and on the date of the annual reconstitution of the Russell Investments Indexes does not count toward volume tiers.⁸ Generally, the market closes early on certain days before or after a holiday observed by the Exchange.⁹ The Exchange’s proposal is consistent with the rules of other self-regulatory organizations.¹⁰

The Exchange believes that artificially low volumes of trading on days when the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day reduces the average daily activity of ETP Holders and Market Makers both daily and monthly. Given the decreased trading volumes, the numerator for the monthly calculation (e.g., trading volume) would be correspondingly lower, but the denominator for the threshold calculations (e.g., the number of trading days) would not necessarily be decreased, and could result in an unintended increase in the cost of trading on the Exchange, a result that is unintended and undesirable to the Exchange and its ETP Holders and

⁶ See NYSE Arca Options Fees and Charges (“The Exchange may exclude from the calculation of ADV contracts traded any day that (1) the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day and/or (2) a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours (“Exchange System Disruption”).

⁷ See NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule (“The Exchange may exclude from its monthly calculations of contract volume any day that (1) the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day and/or (2) a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours”).

⁸ See footnote 1 in the Fee Schedule.

⁹ For example, the Exchange is closed on Thanksgiving Day and closes early on the Friday immediately following Thanksgiving Day (e.g., Friday, November 25, 2016).

¹⁰ See notes 5–6 [sic], *supra*; see also NASDAQ Stock Market LLC Rule 7018(j) (“For purposes of determining average daily volume and total consolidated volume under this rule, any day that the market is not open for the entire trading day will be excluded from such calculation.”); International Securities Exchange, LLC Fee Schedule (“For purposes of determining Priority Customer ADV, any day that the regular order book is not open for the entire trading day or the Exchange instructs members in writing to route their orders to other markets may be excluded from such calculation; provided that the Exchange will only remove the day for members that would have a lower ADV with the day included.”).

Market Makers. The Exchange believes that the authority to exclude days when the Exchange is not open for the entire trading day would provide ETP Holders and Market Makers with greater certainty as to their monthly costs and diminish the likelihood of an effective increase in the cost of trading.¹¹

Similarly, the Exchange proposes to modify its Fee Schedule to permit the Exchange to exclude from the above calculations any trading day where a disruption affects an Exchange system that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours even if such disruption would not be categorized as a complete outage of the Exchange's system. Such a disruption may occur where a [sic] certain securities traded on the Exchange are unavailable for trading due to an Exchange system issue or where, while the Exchange may be able to perform certain functions with respect to accepting and processing orders, the Exchange may be experiencing a failure to another significant process, such as routing to other market centers, that would lead ETP Holders and Market Makers that rely on such process to avoid utilizing the Exchange until the Exchange's entire system was operational. Once again, the Exchange's proposal is consistent with the rules of other self-regulatory organizations.¹²

The Exchange is not proposing any changes to the level of rebates currently being provided on the Exchange, or to the thresholds required to achieve each rebate tier.

The proposed change is also not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that ETP Holders and Market Makers would have in complying with the proposed change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act,¹³ in general, and furthers the objectives of sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁴ in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members,

¹¹ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70657 (October 10, 2013), 78 FR 62899 (October 22, 2103) (SR-ISE-2013-51).

¹² See notes 5-6 [sic], *supra*; see also BATS BZX Exchange Fee Schedule ("The Exchange excludes from its calculation of ADAV and ADV shares added or removed on any day that the Exchange's system experiences a disruption that lasts for more than 60 minutes during regular trading hours ("Exchange System Disruption"), on any day with a scheduled early market close and on the last Friday in June (the "Russell Reconstitution Day").

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to permit the Exchange to eliminate from the calculation days on which the market is not open the entire trading day because it preserves the Exchange's intent behind adopting volume-based pricing. Similarly, the Exchange believes that its proposal is reasonable because it will help provide ETP Holders and Market Makers with a greater level of certainty as to their level of rebates and costs for trading in any month where the Exchange experiences such a system disruption on one or more trading days. The Exchange is not proposing to amend the thresholds ETP Holders and Market Makers must achieve to become eligible for, or the dollar value associated with, the tiered rebates or fees. By eliminating the inclusion of a trading day on which a system disruption occurs, the Exchange would almost certainly be excluding a day that would otherwise lower ETP Holders' and Market Makers' trading volume, thereby making it more likely for them to meet the minimum or higher tier thresholds and thus incentivizing ETP Holders and Market Makers to increase their participation on the Exchange in order to meet the next highest tier.

The Exchange further believes that the proposal is reasonable because the proposed exclusion seeks to avoid penalizing ETP Holders and Market Makers that might otherwise qualify for certain tiered pricing but that, because of a significant Exchange system problem, would not participate to the extent that they might have otherwise participated. The Exchange believes that certain systems disruptions could preclude some ETP Holders and Market Makers from submitting orders to the Exchange even if such issue is not actually a complete systems outage.

Finally, the Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the methodology for the monthly calculations would apply equally to all ETP Holders and Market Makers and to all volume tiers. The Exchange notes that, although unlikely, there is some possibility that a certain small proportion of ETP Holders and Market Makers may have a higher ADV as a percentage of average daily volume with their activity included from days where the Exchange experiences a system disruption. The Exchange believes that the proposal would still be equitable and not unfairly discriminatory given that the impacted universe is potentially

quite small and that the proposal would benefit the overwhelming majority of market participants and would make the overall cost of trading on the Exchange more predictable for ETP Holders and Market Makers as a whole.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with section 6(b)(8) of the Act,¹⁵ the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange believes that, with respect to monthly calculations for rebates, there are very few instances where the exclusion would be invoked, and if invoked, would have little or no impact on trading decisions or execution quality. On the contrary, the Exchange believes that the proposal fosters competition by avoiding a penalty to ETP Holders and Market Makers for days when trading on the Exchange is disrupted for a significant portion of the day and would result in lower total costs to end users, a positive outcome of competitive markets. Further, other options exchanges have adopted rules that are substantially similar to the change in ADV calculation being proposed by the Exchange.¹⁶

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)¹⁷ of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4¹⁸ thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

¹⁶ See note 5 [sic], *supra*.

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under section 19(b)(2)(B)¹⁹ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-48 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-48. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make

available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-48 and should be submitted on or before April 22, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

Robert W. Errett,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-07332 Filed 3-31-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77460; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2016-040]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Delete From the Rulebook Section 10, Limitations on Dealings, of Chapter VII, Market Participants

March 28, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 22, 2016, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,⁴ which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to delete from the Exchange's rulebook Section 10, Limitations on Dealings, of Chapter VII, Market Participants.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to adopt a principles-based approach to prohibit the misuse of material nonpublic information by NOM Options Market Makers ("Market Makers") by deleting from the Exchange's rulebook Section 10, Limitations on Dealings, of Chapter VII, Market Participants (the "Market Maker Restrictions"). In doing so, the Exchange would harmonize its rules governing Exchange Options Participants,⁵ generally, and Exchange Market Makers, in particular, relating to protecting against the misuse of material, non-public information.

The Exchange believes that the Market Maker Restrictions are no longer necessary because all Market Makers are subject to the Exchange's general principles-based requirements governing the protection against the misuse of material, non-public information, pursuant to Chapter III, Business Conduct, Section 4, Prevention of the Misuse of Material Nonpublic Information, discussed below, which obviates the need for separately-prescribed requirements for a subset of market participants on the Exchange.

Additionally, there is no separate regulatory purpose served by having separate rules for Market Makers. The Exchange notes that this proposed rule change will not decrease the protections against the misuse of material, non-public information; instead, it is designed to provide more flexibility to market participants. This is a competitive filing that is based on a proposal recently submitted by NYSE

⁵ The term "Options Participant" or "Participant" means a firm or organization that is registered with the Exchange pursuant to Chapter II of the NOM Rules for purposes of participating in options trading on NOM as a "Nasdaq Options Order Entry Firm" or "Nasdaq Options Market Maker".

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).