

agency staff contacted the lead attorney almost two months after the breach occurred.

*Case 5.* A law firm filed a public version of its complaint containing CBI in a section 337 investigation. The Commission found that the law firm did not violate the APO since the CBI that was disclosed and made publicly accessible was not obtained under an APO related to a Commission investigation. In addition, the disclosure of the CBI occurred before an APO was issued in the Commission investigation. The letter to the firm advised it to practice better procedures in the future to ensure that no CBI is disclosed.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 22, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06875 Filed 3-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

### Meeting of the Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

**ACTION:** Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries gives notice of a closed meeting of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on April 18, 2016, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at Mercer, 4440 Comerica Bank Tower, 1717 Main Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick W. McDonough, Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries, 703-414-2173.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations will meet at Mercer, 4400 Comerica Bank Tower, 1717 Main Street, Dallas, TX 75201, on April 18, 2016, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss topics and questions that may be recommended for inclusion on future Joint Board examinations in actuarial mathematics, pension law and methodology referred to in 29 U.S.C. 1242(a)(1)(B).

A determination has been made as required by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App.,

that the subject of the meeting falls within the exception to the open meeting requirement set forth in title 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), and that the public interest requires that such meeting be closed to public participation.

Dated: March 15, 2016.

**Patrick W. McDonough,**

*Executive Director, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06941 Filed 3-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110-0011]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection: ViCAP Case Submission Form

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Critical Incident Response Group has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 81 *FR* 3159, on January 20, 2016, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until April 27, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Lesa Marcolini, Program Manager, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Critical Incident Response Group, ViCAP, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia 22135; facsimile (703) 632-4239. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* ViCAP Case Submission Form.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is FD-676. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Federal, state, local, and tribal government law enforcement agencies charged with the responsibility of investigating violent crimes. Abstract: Established by the Department of Justice in 1985, ViCAP serves as the national repository for violent crimes; specifically; Homicides (and attempts) that are known or suspected to be part of a series and/or are apparently random, motiveless, or sexually oriented. Sexual assaults that are known or suspected to be part of a series and/or are committed by a stranger. Missing persons where the circumstances indicate a strong possibility of foul play and the victim is still missing. Unidentified human remains where the manner of death is known or suspected to be homicide.
5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time*

*estimated for an average respondent to respond:* Of the approximately 18,000 government law enforcement agencies that are eligible to submit cases, it is estimated that thirty to fifty percent will actually submit cases to ViCAP. The time burden of the respondents is less than 60 minutes per form.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 5,000 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 23, 2016.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06900 Filed 3-25-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Office

[Docket Nos. 2015-6, 2015-8]

### Software-Enabled Consumer Products Study and Section 1201 Study: Announcement of Public Roundtables

**AGENCY:** U.S. Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice of public roundtables.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Copyright Office has issued Notices of Inquiry (“NOIs”) announcing separate public studies on software-enabled consumer products and section 1201 of title 17. In addition to soliciting written comments on these issues, the Office is now announcing public roundtables for these studies to provide forums for interested members of the public to address the issues set forth in the NOIs.

**DATES AND ADDRESSES:** Public roundtables for the above-referenced Copyright Office studies will be held on the dates and at the locations provided below. The roundtables for the two studies are being held on consecutive dates in each location to accommodate parties who may have an interest in attending both.

*Software-Enabled Consumer Products Study:* For its study on software-enabled consumer products, the Office will hold public roundtables in Washington, DC and San Francisco, CA. The roundtable in Washington will take place on May 18, 2016, at the Library of Congress’s Madison Building, 101 Independence

Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20540, from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 5:00 p.m. The roundtable in San Francisco will take place on May 24, 2016, at Hastings School of Law, 200 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 5:00 p.m.

*Section 1201 Study:* Likewise, for its study on section 1201, the Office will hold public roundtables in Washington, DC and San Francisco, CA. The roundtable in Washington will take place on May 19 and May 20, 2016, at the Library of Congress’s Madison Building, 101 Independence Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20540, from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 5:00 p.m. on the first day, and from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 1:00 p.m. on the second day. The roundtable in San Francisco will take place on May 25 and May 26, 2016, at Hastings School of Law, 200 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 5:00 p.m. on the first day, and from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 1:00 p.m. on the second day.

Additional information, including instructions for submitting requests to participate in the roundtables, is available on the Copyright Office Web site at <http://copyright.gov/policy/software/> (software-enabled consumer products) and <http://copyright.gov/policy/1201/> (section 1201). Requests to participate in the roundtables must be received by the Copyright Office by April 18, 2016. If you are unable to access a computer or the internet, please contact the Office using the contact information below for special instructions.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

*Software-Enabled Consumer Products Study:* Sarang V. Damle, Deputy General Counsel, [sdam@loc.gov](mailto:sdam@loc.gov); Catherine Rowland, Senior Advisor to the Register of Copyrights, [crowland@loc.gov](mailto:crowland@loc.gov); or Erik Bertin, Deputy Director of Registration Policy and Practice, [ebertin@loc.gov](mailto:ebertin@loc.gov).

*Section 1201 Study:* Regan A. Smith, Associate General Counsel, [resm@loc.gov](mailto:resm@loc.gov); or Kevin Amer, Senior Counsel for Policy and International Affairs, [kamer@loc.gov](mailto:kamer@loc.gov).

Each of these persons can be reached by telephone at (202) 707-8350.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Copyright Office is conducting separate studies concerning software-enabled consumer products and section 1201 of title 17.

#### Software-Enabled Consumer Products Study

On December 15, 2015, the Copyright Office issued an NOI announcing a study on the role of copyright law with

respect to the design, distribution, and use of consumer products that include embedded software. 80 FR 77668. This study is being done at the request of the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary. Consistent with the Committee’s request, the focus of the study is on software contained in consumer products; it is not intended to address more general questions about software and copyright.

#### Section 1201 Study

Enacted in 1998 as part of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (“DMCA”), section 1201 prohibits the circumvention of technological measures employed by or on behalf of copyright owners to control access to their works (also known as “access controls”), as well as the trafficking in technologies or services that facilitate such circumvention. In addition, section 1201 codifies a triennial rulemaking process through which the Librarian of Congress, upon the recommendation of the Register of Copyrights, can grant exemptions to the prohibition on the circumvention of access controls. The Copyright Office issued an NOI soliciting comments on the operation and effectiveness of section 1201 on December 29, 2015. 80 FR 81369.

#### Roundtable Subjects of Inquiry

At this time, the Copyright Office is providing notice of its intention to seek further input for these studies through public roundtables to be held on the dates and at the addresses set forth above. The public roundtables will offer an opportunity for interested parties to comment on topics set forth in the NOIs.

For the software-enabled consumer products study, the roundtables at each location will consist of sessions on the following topics: (1) The proper role of copyright in protecting software-enabled consumer products; (2) ownership and contractual issues; (3) fair use; and (4) the first sale doctrine, section 117, and other limitations and exceptions. After the final session, the Office will also provide participants and observers with an opportunity to offer additional comments for the record.

For the section 1201 study, roundtables at each location will consist of sessions on the following topics: (1) The relationship of section 1201 to copyright infringement, consumer issues, and competition; (2) the rulemaking process—evidentiary and procedural issues; (3) the rulemaking process—renewal of previously granted exemptions; (4) the anti-trafficking prohibitions and third-party assistance for permitted circumvention of technological measures; and (5)