

following language in a new paragraph following the current paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(ttttt) The title of the analysis section of Mil. R. Evid. 1006 is changed to “Summaries to prove content.”

(uuuuu) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1006 is amended by adding the following language after the final paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(vvvvv) The title of the analysis section of Mil. R. Evid. 1007 is changed to “Testimony or statement of a party to prove content.”

(wwwww) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1007 is amended by adding the following language in a new paragraph following the current paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(xxxxx) The title of the analysis section of Mil. R. Evid. 1008 is changed to “Functions of the military judge and the members.”

(yyyyy) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1008 is amended by adding the following language in a new paragraph following the current paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(zzzzz) The title of the analysis section of Mil. R. Evid. 1101 is changed to “Applicability of these rules.”

(aaaaa) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1101 is amended by adding the following language after the final paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(bbbbb) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1102 is amended by adding the following language after the final paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

(ccccc) The analysis following Mil. R. Evid. 1103 is amended by adding the

following language in a new paragraph following the current paragraph:

“2013 Amendment. This revision is stylistic and aligns this rule with the Federal Rules of Evidence. The drafters did not intend to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.”

Dated: March 17, 2016.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06403 Filed 3-21-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2015-ICCD-0145]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 Phase II

**AGENCY:** Institute of Education Sciences (IES), Department of Education (ED).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing a reinstatement with change of a previously approved information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 21, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number ED-2015-ICCD-0145. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. *Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted.* Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Room 2E-105, Washington, DC 20202-4537.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Yumiko Sekino, 202-219-2046.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Education (ED), in

accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

*Title of Collection:* National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 Phase II.

*OMB Control Number:* 1850-0882.

*Type of Review:* A reinstatement with change of a previously approved information collection.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 7,252.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 4,448.

*Abstract:* The National Longitudinal Transition Study 2012 (NLTS 2012) is the third in a series of studies being conducted by the U.S. Department of Education (ED), with the goal of describing the characteristics, secondary school experiences, transition, and outcomes of youth who receive special education services under IDEA. Phase II of NLTS 2012 will utilize high school and post-high school administrative records data to collect information in three broad areas important to understanding outcomes for youth with disabilities: (1) High school course-taking and outcomes, (2) post-secondary outcomes, and (3) employment and earnings outcomes. Phase II collected information will build on a survey of a nationally representative set of students with and without IEPs from Phase I of

the study to address the following questions:

- To what extent do youth with disabilities who receive special education services under IDEA make progress through high school compared with other youth, including those identified for services under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act? For students with disabilities, has high school course taking and completion rates changed over the past few decades?

- Are youth with disabilities achieving the post-high school outcomes envisioned by IDEA, and how do their college, training, and employment rates compare with those of other youth?

- How do these high school and postsecondary experiences and outcomes vary by student characteristics, including their disability category, age, sex, race/ethnicity, English Learner status, income status, and type of high school attended (including regular public school, charter school, career/technical school, special education school, or other State or Federally-operated institution)?

The NLTS 2012 sample includes 21,959 students ranging in age from 13 to 21 in December 2011. The sample was selected to include sufficient number of students in each of the 12 federally defined disability categories, and adequate number of students without disabilities, including both students with a Section 504 plan and students with neither an IEP nor a Section 504 plan. To meet the study's objective, data will be collected from the following sources: (1) School district administrative records, including transcripts, from districts that participated in NLTS 2012; (2) postsecondary enrollment information through the National Student Clearinghouse, (3) employment and earnings data from the Social Security Administration (SSA); and (4) information about vocational rehabilitative services and supports youth received from the Department's Rehabilitative Services Administration (RSA). Data collection activities expected to result in public burden are the collection of administrative data from school districts and requests for consent from sample members and their parents.

Dated: March 16, 2016.

**Stephanie Valentine,**

*Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Office of the Chief Privacy Officer, Office of Management.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06316 Filed 3-21-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Applications for New Awards; Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad Fellowship Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice.

#### *Overview Information:*

Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad (DDRA) Fellowship Program.

Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2016.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.022A.

#### *Dates:*

*Applications Available:* March 22, 2016.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* May 6, 2016.

#### **Full Text of Announcement**

##### **I. Funding Opportunity Description**

*Purpose of Program:* The Fulbright-Hays DDRA Fellowship Program provides opportunities to doctoral candidates to engage in full-time dissertation research abroad in modern foreign languages and area studies. The program is designed to contribute to the development and improvement of the study of modern foreign languages and area studies in the United States.

*Priorities:* This notice contains one absolute priority, two competitive preference priorities, and one invitational priority. In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(ii), the absolute and competitive preference priorities are from the regulations for this program (34 CFR 662.21(d)).

*Absolute Priority:* For FY 2016, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3), we consider only applications that meet this priority.

This priority is:

*Specific Geographic Regions of the World.*

A research project that focuses on one or more of the following geographic areas: Africa, East Asia, Southeast Asia and the Pacific Islands, South Asia, the Near East, Central and Eastern Europe and Eurasia, and the Western Hemisphere (excluding the United States and its territories). Please note that applications that propose projects focused on the following countries are not eligible: Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, San Marino, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, or Vatican City.

#### *Competitive Preference Priorities:*

Within this absolute priority, we give competitive preference to applications that address one or both of the following priorities.

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), for FY 2016, we award an additional three points to an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 1 and two points for an application that meets Competitive Preference Priority 2 (up to 5 additional points possible).

These priorities are:

#### *Competitive Preference Priority 1:*

*Focus on Priority Languages (3 points).*

A research project that makes use of any of the 78 priority languages selected from the U.S. Department of Education's list of Less Commonly Taught Languages (LCTLs), as follows:

Akan (Twi-Fante), Albanian, Amharic, Arabic (all dialects), Armenian, Azeri (Azerbaijani), Balochi, Bamanakan (Bamana, Bambara, Mandikan, Mandingo, Maninka, Dyula), Belarusian, Bengali (Bangla), Berber (all languages), Bosnian, Bulgarian, Burmese, Cebuano (Visayan), Chechen, Chinese (Cantonese), Chinese (Gan), Chinese (Mandarin), Chinese (Min), Chinese (Wu), Croatian, Dari, Dinka, Georgian, Gujarati, Hausa, Hebrew (Modern), Hindi, Igbo, Indonesian, Japanese, Javanese, Kannada, Kashmiri, Kazakh, Khmer (Cambodian), Kirghiz, Korean, Kurdish (Kurmanji), Kurdish (Sorani), Lao, Malay (Bahasa Melayu or Malaysian), Malayalam, Marathi, Mongolian, Nepali, Oromo, Panjabi, Pashto, Persian (Farsi), Polish, Portuguese (all varieties), Quechua, Romanian, Russian, Serbian, Sinhala (Sinhalese), Somali, Swahili, Tagalog, Tajik, Tamil, Telugu, Thai, Tibetan, Tigrigna, Turkish, Turkmen, Ukrainian, Urdu, Uyghur/Uigur, Uzbek, Vietnamese, Wolof, Xhosa, Yoruba, and Zulu.

#### *Competitive Preference Priority 2:*

*Thematic Focus on Academic Fields (2 points).*

A research project conducted in the field of economics, engineering, international development, mathematics, political science, public health, science, comparative or international education, or technology.

*Invitational Priority:* For FY 2016, this priority is an invitational priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1), we do not give an application that meets this invitational priority a competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

This priority is:

*Applications from Minority-Serving Institutions.* For purposes of this invitational priority, Minority-Serving Institution means an institution that is