research. Specifically, the workshop will seek to clarify the following questions:

• Key Question 1: How can national, state, and community data systems be linked to existing data from suicide prevention efforts in order to add possible value for stakeholders? What methods are available to link the data systems?

• Key Question 2: Which statistical methods are reliable and valid for understanding possible mediators and moderators in suicide prevention programs to improve targeting interventions to populations?

• Key Question 3: Which statistical methods are reliable and valid for analyzing linked national, state, and community data systems and suicide prevention data to avoid misleading conclusions?

• Key Question 4: Given the current state of research, what types of methodological/analytic advances would promote further evaluation of youth suicide prevention efforts (*e.g.*, new approaches to data linkage; increased use of common data elements; approaches to intervention harmonization) and facilitate intervention selection and implementation decisions by local community and state-level policymakers?

• Key Question 5: What is the way forward that will help the suicide prevention research community realize the potential benefits of early prevention? What are the immediate and longer-term research investments needed to accomplish this?

Initial planning for each Pathways to Prevention workshop, regardless of the topic, is coordinated by a Content-Area Expert Group that nominates panelists and speakers and develops and finalizes questions that frame the workshop. After the questions are finalized, an evidence report is prepared by an Evidence-based Practice Center, through a contract with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. During the 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-day workshop, invited experts discuss the body of evidence, and attendees provide comments during open discussion periods. After weighing evidence from the evidence report, expert presentations, and public comments, an unbiased, independent panel prepares a draft report that identifies research gaps and future research priorities. The draft report is posted on the ODP Web site for public comment. After reviewing the public comments, the panel prepares a final report, which is also posted on the ODP Web site. The ODP then convenes a Federal Partners Meeting to review the

panel report and identify possible opportunities for collaboration.

Please Note: As part of measures to ensure the safety of NIH employees and property, all visitors must be prepared to show a photo ID upon request. Visitors may be required to pass through a metal detector and have bags, backpacks, or purses inspected or x-rayed as they enter the NIH campus. For more information about the security measures at the NIH, please visit <http://www.nih.gov/about/ visitorsecurity.htm>.

Dated: March 7, 2016.

#### Lawrence A. Tabak,

Deputy Director, National Institutes of Health. [FR Doc. 2016–05564 Filed 3–10–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### National Institutes of Health

# National Eye Institute; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App.), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Eye Institute Special Emphasis Panel; NEI RFA–EY–15– 002 Factors Influencing Neural Regeneration in the Visual System.

Date: April 7, 2016.

*Time:* 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* Hilton Garden Inn Bethesda, 7301 Waverly Street, Bethesda, MD 20814.

*Contact Person:* Brian Hoshaw, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Division of Extramural Research, 5635 Fishers Lane, Suite 1300, Rockville, MD 20892, 301–451–2020, *hoshawb@ mail.nih.gov.* 

*Name of Committee:* National Eye Institute Special Emphasis Panel; NEI Loan Repayment Program Applications.

Date: April 7–8, 2016.

*Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institute of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20814 (Virtual Meeting).

*Contact Person:* Anna E Mazzucco, Ph.D., Scientific Review Officer, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Division of Extramural Research, 5635 Fishers Lane, Suite 1300, Bethesda, MD 20852, 301–594–6074, anna.mazzucco@ nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.867, Vision Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 7, 2016.

# Natasha M. Copeland,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016–05428 Filed 3–10–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# National Institutes of Health

# National Eye Institute; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App.), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

*Name of Committee:* National Eye Institute Special Emphasis Panel; NEI Pathways to Independence Grant Applications (K99).

Date: April 4–6, 2016.

*Time:* 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

Contact Person: Anne E. Schaffner, Ph.D., Chief, Scientific Review Branch Division of Extramural Research, National Eye Institute, 5635 Fishers Lane, Suite 1300, MSC 9300, Bethesda, MD 20892–9300, (301) 451–2020, *aes@nei.nih.gov.* 

*Name of Committee:* National Eye Institute Special Emphasis Panel; NEI Cooperative Agreement and Clinically-Oriented Applications.

*Date:* April 18, 2016.

*Time:* 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate

cooperative agreement applications. *Place:* Embassy Suites at the Chevy Chase

Pavilion, 4300 Military Road NW., Washington, DC 20015. *Contact Person:* Anne E. Schaffner, Ph.D., Chief, Scientific Review Branch Division of Extramural Research, National Eye Institute, 5635 Fishers Lane, Suite 1300, MSC 9300, Bethesda, MD 20892–9300, (301) 451–2020, *aes@nei.nih.gov.* 

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.867, Vision Research, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: March 4, 2016.

# Natasha M. Copeland,

Program Analyst, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016–05427 Filed 3–10–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 concerning opportunity for public comment on proposed collections of information, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the information collection plans, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276– 1243.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

## Proposed Project: Primary and Behavioral Health Care Integration Evaluation—NEW

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality (CBHSQ) is requesting approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for new data collection activities associated with their Primary and Behavioral Health Care Integration (PBHCI) program.

This information collection is needed to provide SAMHSA with objective information to document the reach and impact of the PBHCI program. The information will be used to monitor quality assurance and quality performance outcomes for organizations funded by this grant program. The information will also be used to assess the impact of services on behavioral health and physical health services for individuals served by this program.

Collection of the information included in this request is authorized by Section 505 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 290aa–4)—Data Collection.

SAMHSA launched the PBHCI program in FY 2009 with the understanding that adults with serious mental illness (SMI) experience heightened rates of morbidity and mortality, in large part due to elevated incidence and prevalence of risk factors such as obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. These risk factors are influenced by a variety of factors, including inadequate physical activity and poor nutrition; smoking; side effects from atypical antipsychotic medications; and lack of access to health care services. Many of these health conditions are preventable through routine health promotion activities, primary care screening, monitoring, treatment and care management/coordination strategies and/or other outreach programs.

The purpose of the PBHCI grant program is to establish projects for the provision of coordinated and integrated services through the co-location of primary and specialty care medical services in community-based behavioral health settings. The program's goal is to improve the physical health status of adults with serious mental illnesses (and those with co-occurring substance use disorders) who have or are at risk for co-occurring primary care conditions and chronic diseases.

As the largest federal effort to implement integrated behavioral and physical health care in community behavioral health settings, SAMHSA's PBHCI program offers an unprecedented opportunity to identify which approaches to integration improve outcomes, how outcomes are shaped by the characteristics of the treatment setting and community, and which models have the greatest potential for sustainability and replication. SAMHSA awarded the first cohort of 13 PBHCI grants in fiscal year (FY) 2009, and between FY 2009 and FY 2014, SAMHSA funded a total of seven cohorts comprising 127 grants. An eighth cohort, funded in fall 2015, included 60 new grants.

The data collection described in this request will build upon the first PBHCI evaluation and provide essential data on the implementation of integrated primary and behavioral health care, along with rigorous estimates of its effects on health.

The Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality is requesting clearance for ten data collection instruments and forms related to the implementation and impact studies to be conducted as part of the evaluation:

- 1. PBHCI grantee director survey
- 2. PBHCI frontline staff survey
- 3. Telephone interview protocol
- 4. On-site staff interview protocol
- 5. Client focus group guide
- 6. Data extraction tool for grantee registry/electronic health records (EHRs)
- 7. Initial client letter for physical exam and health assessment
- 8. Consent form for client physical exam and health assessment
- 9. Consent form for client focus group 10. Client physical exam and health

assessment questionnaire

The table below reflects the annualized hourly burden.

Respondents/activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
Web surveys:					
Grantee director	78	2	<sup>b</sup> 149	0.5	<sup>b</sup> 75
Grantee frontline staff survey	782	2	° 1,494	0.5	¢747
Phone interviews:					
Grantee director	60	1	60	1.0	60
Grantee director—site interview	10	2	20	2.0	40
Grantee mental health providers—site interview	40	2	80	1.0	80
Grantee primary care providers—site interview	40	2	80	1.5	120
Grantee care coordinators—site interview	20	2	40	1.5	60