

regarding the salience of information in text. The questionnaire is available upon request. Participation is estimated to take approximately 20 minutes.

To examine differences between experimental conditions, we will conduct inferential statistical tests such as analysis of variance.

Pretesting will take place before the main study to select super sizes for the main study and to evaluate the procedures and measures that will be used. We will exclude individuals who work in health care or marketing settings because their knowledge and

experiences may not reflect those of the average consumer. We conducted a priori power analyses to determine sample sizes for the pretest and the main study.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN <sup>1</sup>

Activity	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
<b>Pretesting</b>					
No. to complete the screener (assumes 50% eligible).	338	1	338	0.08 (5 minutes) .....	27
No. of completes .....	240	1	240	0.33 (20 minutes) .....	79
<b>Main Study</b>					
No. to complete the screener (assumes 50% eligible).	1,785	1	1,785	0.08 (5 minutes) .....	143
No. of completes .....	1,272	1	1,272	0.33 (20 minutes) .....	420
<b>Total</b> .....					<b>669</b>

<sup>1</sup> There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

IV. References

- Hall, R.H. and P. Hanna, "The Impact of Web page Text-Background Colour Combinations on Readability, Retention, Aesthetics and Behavioural Intention," *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 2004;23:183–195.
- Baur, C. and C. Prue, "The CDC Clear Communication Index Is a New Evidence-Based Tool to Prepare and Review Health Information," *Health Promotion Practice*, 2014;15:629–637.
- Shrank, W., J. Avorn, C. Rolon, et al., "Effect of Content and Format of Prescription Drug Labels on Readability, Understanding, and Medication Use: A Systematic Review," *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, 2007;41:783–801.
- Wogalter, M.S. and W.J. Vigilante, Jr., "Effects of Label Format on Knowledge Acquisition and Perceived Readability by Younger and Older Adults," *Ergonomics*, 2003;46:327–344.
- Smither, J.A.A. and C.C. Braun, "Readability of Prescription Drug Labels by Older and Younger Adults," *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medicine Settings*, 1994;1:149–159.
- Foxman, E.R., D.D. Muehling, and P.A. Moore, "Disclaimer Footnotes in Ads: Discrepancies Between Purpose and Performance," *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 1988;7:127–137.
- Murray, N.M., L.A. Manrai, and A.K. Manrai, "Public Policy Relating to Consumer Comprehension of Television Commercials: A Review and Some Empirical Results," *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 1993;16:145–170.
- Manrai, L.A., A.K. Manrai, and N. Murray, "Comprehension of Info-Aid Supers in Television Advertising for Social Ideas: Implications for Public Policy," *Journal of Business Research*, 1994;30:75–84.
- Hill, A. and L. Scharff, "Readability of Computer Displays as a Function of Colour, Saturation, and Background Texture." In: D. Harns (Ed.) *Engineering Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics*, (Vol. 4) Ashgate, Aldershot, United Kingdom.
- Shieh, K.-K. and C.-C. Lin, "Effects of Screen Type, Ambient Illumination, and Color Combination on VDT Visual Performance and Subjective Preference," *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 2000;26:527–536.
- Tinker, M.A. and D.G. Paterson, "Studies of Typographical Factors Influencing Speed of Reading. VII. Variations in Color of Print and Background," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1931;15:471–479.
- Legge, G.E., G.S. Rubin, and A. Luebner, "Psychophysics of Reading—V. The Role of Contrast in Normal Vision," *Vision Research*, 1987;27:1165–1177.
- Kaufman, D.W., J.P. Kelly, L. Rosenberg, et al., "Recent Patterns of Medication Use in the Ambulatory Adult Population of the United States: The Slone Survey," *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2002;287:337–344.

Dated: March 2, 2016.

**Leslie Kux**,  
Associate Commissioner for Policy.  
[FR Doc. 2016–05233 Filed 3–8–16; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4164-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Food and Drug Administration**

[Docket No. FDA–2016–D–0712]

**Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, a Patient-Reported Outcome, for the Measurement of Severity of Respiratory Symptoms in Stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Qualification for Exploratory Use; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled "Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, a Patient-Reported Outcome, for the Measurement of Severity of Respiratory Symptoms in Stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Qualification for Exploratory Use." This draft guidance provides a statement of qualification for exploratory use for the evaluating respiratory symptoms in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (E–RS: COPD), a patient-reported outcome instrument, and summarizes the concept of interest and context of use (COU) for which the tool is qualified through the Center for Drug Evaluation

and Research's (CDER's) drug development tool (DDT) qualification program. Qualification for exploratory use of the E-RS: COPD represents a conclusion that within the stated COU, the instrument can be relied on to have a specific interpretation and application in drug development and regulatory review. This draft guidance is an attachment to the guidance for industry entitled "Qualification Process for Drug Development Tools."

**DATES:** Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by June 7, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments as follows:

#### *Electronic Submissions*

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <http://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <http://www.regulations.gov>.
- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

#### *Written/Paper Submissions*

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions): Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.
- For written/paper comments submitted to the Division of Dockets Management, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted,

marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2016-D-0712 for "Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, a Patient-Reported Outcome, for the Measurement of Severity of Respiratory Symptoms in Stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Qualification for Exploratory Use; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability." Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- Confidential Submissions—To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states "THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION." The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Division of Dockets Management. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as "confidential." Any information marked as "confidential" will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <http://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/dockets/default.htm>.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Division of Dockets

Management, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Division of Drug Information, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Building, 4th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elektra Papadopoulos, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 22, Rm. 6377, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 301-796-0900.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled "Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, a Patient-Reported Outcome, for the Measurement of Severity of Respiratory Symptoms in Stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Qualification for Exploratory Use."

In March 2006, FDA issued the "Critical Path Opportunities Report and List," in which FDA described six key areas along the critical path to improved therapies and listed specific opportunities for advancement within these topic areas. The report noted that a new product development toolkit containing new scientific and technical methods was needed to improve the efficiency of drug development.

Innovative and improved DDTs can help streamline the drug development process, improve the chances for clinical trial success, and yield more information about a treatment and/or disease. DDTs include, but are not limited to, biomarkers and clinical outcome assessments (COAs). CDER has developed a formal process, the DDT qualification process, to work with developers of these tools to guide them as they refine the tools and rigorously evaluate them for use in the regulatory context. Once qualified, DDTs will be publicly available for use in any drug development program for the qualified COU. COA DDTs are developed and reviewed using this process when they are intended ultimately for use as primary or secondary endpoints in clinical trials designed to provide substantial evidence of treatment benefit. Upon qualification by CDER, a qualification statement is provided

describing the concept of interest and COU for which the tool is qualified. This draft guidance describes the qualification statement for the E-RS: COPD, a COA DDT.

This draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of FDA on the qualification for exploratory use of the E-RS: COPD. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

## II. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the draft guidance at either <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm> or <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Dated: March 2, 2016.

**Leslie Kux,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2016-05224 Filed 3-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2013-D-0814]

#### **Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial Pediatric Study Plans; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled "Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial Pediatric Study Plans." This draft guidance is intended to provide information to sponsors regarding the submission of an initial pediatric study plan (iPSP) and any amendments to the iPSP as required under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) as amended by the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA). This guidance revises the draft guidance entitled "Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans

and Amended Pediatric Study Plans" issued July 15, 2013.

**DATES:** Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by May 9, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments as follows:

#### *Electronic Submissions*

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <http://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

#### *Written/Paper Submissions*

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions): Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Division of Dockets Management, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2013-D-0814 for "Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial Pediatric Study Plans; Draft Guidance for Industry;

Availability." Received comments will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- Confidential Submissions—To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states "THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION." The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit both copies to the Division of Dockets Management. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as "confidential." Any information marked as "confidential" will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA's posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: <http://www.fda.gov/regulatoryinformation/dockets/default.htm>.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the "Search" box and follow the prompts and/or go to the Division of Dockets Management, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance to the Division of Drug Information, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Building, 4th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 3128, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002.