

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 14 days after the publication of this notice.¹⁶ Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the deadline for filing case briefs.¹⁷ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this changed circumstance review are requested to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Interested parties who wish to comment on the preliminary results must file briefs electronically using Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the ACCESS no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the date the document is due. Interested parties that wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS, within 14 days of publication of this notice.¹⁸ Parties will be notified of the time and date of any hearing, if requested.¹⁹

Notifications to Interested Parties

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we intend to issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days after the publication of the preliminary results if all parties in this review agree to our preliminary results. The final results will include the Department's analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice of initiation and preliminary results is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.216(b) and (d), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1).

Dated: February 26, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-04711 Filed 3-2-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c). See also 19 CFR 351.303 for general filing requirements.

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.310.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-533-867]

Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe From India: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 3, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On October 20, 2015, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated an antidumping duty investigation on welded stainless pressure pipe from India.¹ As explained in the Memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary determination of this investigation is no later than March 14, 2016.²

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in an antidumping duty investigation within 140 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement, section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

¹ See *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 80 FR 65696 (October 27, 2015).

² See Memorandum to the File from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm 'Jonas'," dated January 27, 2016 ("Tolling Memorandum").

On February 9, 2016, Petitioners³ submitted a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e).⁴

The Department finds that because there are no compelling reasons to deny Petitioners' request, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 190 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, plus an additional four business days in accordance with the Tolling Memorandum. Accordingly, the Department will issue the preliminary determination no later than May 3, 2016. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: February 26, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-04719 Filed 3-2-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews**

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: *Effective:* March 3, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

³ Bristol Metals LLC, Felker Brothers Corporation, Marcegaglia USA, Inc., and Outokumpu Stainless Pipe, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners").

⁴ See letter from Petitioners, "Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Request Extension for Preliminary Determination," dated February 9, 2016.

Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review (“POR”), it must notify the Department within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All submissions must be filed electronically at <http://access.trade.gov> in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.¹ Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on the Department’s service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to place the CBP data on the record within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 30 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection should be submitted seven days after the placement of the CBP data on the record of this review. Parties wishing to submit rebuttal comments should submit those comments five days after the deadline for the initial comments.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and

conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value (“Q&V”) Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete Q&V data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Respondent Selection—Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the PRC

In the event that the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, for the purposes of this segment of the proceeding, *i.e.*, the 2015 review period, the Department intends to select respondents based on volume data contained in responses to a Q&V questionnaire. All parties are hereby notified that they must timely respond to the Q&V questionnaire. The

Department’s Q&V questionnaire along with certain additional questions will be available in a document package on the Department’s Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/download/prc-wbf/> on the date this notice is published. The responses to the Q&V questionnaire should be filed with the respondents’ Separate Rate Application or Separate Rate Certification (*see* the “*Separate Rates*” section below) and their response to the additional questions and must be received by the Department by no later than 30 days after publication of this notice. Please be advised that due to the time constraints imposed by the statutory and regulatory deadlines for antidumping duty administrative reviews, the Department does not intend to grant any extensions for the submission of responses to the Q&V questionnaire

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy (“NME”) countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department’s policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.

To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, the Department analyzes each entity exporting the subject merchandise under a test arising from

¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

the *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers from the People's Republic of China*, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991), as amplified by *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994). In accordance with the separate rates criteria, the Department assigns separate rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. In addition, all firms that wish to qualify for separate-rate status in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC must complete, as appropriate, either a separate-rate certification or application, as described below, and respond to the additional questions and the Q&V questionnaire on the Department's Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/download/prc-wbf/>. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate rate eligibility, the Department requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on the Department's Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. In responding to the certification, please follow the "Instructions for Filing the Certification" in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice. For the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, Separate Rate Certifications, as well as a response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the

document package, are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

Entities that currently do not have a separate rate from a completed segment of the proceeding² should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. In addition, companies that received a separate rate in a completed segment of the proceeding that have subsequently made changes, including, but not limited to, changes to corporate structure, acquisitions of new companies or facilities, or changes to their official company name,³ should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. The Separate Rate Status Application will be available on the Department's Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Status Application, refer to the instructions contained in the application. Separate Rate Status Applications are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. For the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, Separate Rate Status Applications, as well as a response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the document package, are due to the Department no later than 30

² Such entities include entities that have not participated in the proceeding, entities that were preliminarily granted a separate rate in any currently incomplete segment of the proceeding (e.g., an ongoing administrative review, new shipper review, etc.) and entities that lost their separate rate in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding in which they participated.

³ Only changes to the official company name, rather than trade names, need to be addressed via a Separate Rate Application. Information regarding new trade names may be submitted via a Separate Rate Certification.

calendar days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Status Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For exporters and producers who submit a separate-rate status application or certification and subsequently are selected as mandatory respondents, these exporters and producers will no longer be eligible for separate rate status unless they respond to all parts of the questionnaire as mandatory respondents.

Furthermore, this notice constitutes public notification to all firms for which an antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture has been requested, and that are seeking separate rate status in the review, that they must submit a timely separate rate application or certification (as appropriate) as described above, and a timely response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the document package on the Department's Web site in order to receive consideration for separate-rate status. In other words, the Department will not give consideration to any timely separate rate certification or application made by parties who failed to respond in a timely manner to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions. All information submitted by respondents in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC is subject to verification. As noted above, the separate rate certification, the separate rate application, the Q&V questionnaire, and the additional questions will be available on the Department's Web site on the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than January 31, 2017.

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

<u>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</u>	<u>Period to be Reviewed</u>
THAILAND: Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand A-549-820 The Siam Industrial Wire Company	1/1/15 - 12/31/15
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Fresh Garlic ⁴ A-570-831 Jinxiang Jinma Fruits Vegetables Products Co., Ltd.	11/1/14 - 10/31/15
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Multilayered Wood Flooring ⁵ A-570-970 Baishan Huafeng Wooden Product Co., Ltd. ⁶	12/1/14 - 11/30/15
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Potassium Permanganate A-570-001 Chongging Changyuan Chemical Corporation Limited	1/1/15 - 12/31/15
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Wooden Bedroom Furniture A-570-890 Ace Furniture & Crafts Ltd. Always Loyal International Art Heritage International, Ltd. Artwork Metal & Plastic Co., Ltd. Baigou Crafts Factory Of Fengkai Beautter Furniture Mfg. Co. Best Beauty Furniture Co. Ltd Billionworth Enterprises Ltd. Brittomart Inc C.F. Kent Co., Inc. C.F. Kent Hospitality, Inc.	1/1/15 -12/31/15

⁴The name of the company listed above was misspelled in the initiation notice that published on January 7, 2016 (81 FR 736). The correct spelling of the company name is listed in this notice.

⁵The deadline to withdraw a review request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) continues to be 90 days from the publication of the initiation notice published on February 9, 2016 (81 FR 6832).

⁶This company was inadvertently misspelled as "Wood" instead of "Wooden" in the initiation notice that published on February 9, 2016 (81 FR 6832).

Century Distribution Systems, Inc.
Changshu HTC Import & Export Co., Ltd.
Cheng Meng Decoration & Furniture (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.
Cheng Meng Furniture (PTE) Ltd.
Chuan Fa Furniture Factory
Classic Furniture Global Co., Ltd.
Clearwise Co., Ltd.
Dalian Guangming Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dalian Huafeng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dalian Huafeng Furniture Group Co., Ltd.
Decca Furniture Ltd.
Der Cheng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Der Cheng Wooden Works Of Factory
Dongguan Bon Ten Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Chengcheng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Dong He Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Fortune Furniture Ltd.
Dongguan Grand Style Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Jinfeng Creative Furniture
Dongguan Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd., Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Lung Dong Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Mingsheng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Mu Si Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Nova Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Singways Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd., Taicang Sunrise Wood Industry Co., Ltd., Taicang Fairmount Designs Furniture Co., Ltd., Meizhou Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Sunshine Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Yujia Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongguan Zhisheng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Dongying Huanghekou Furniture Industry Co., Ltd.
Dorbest Ltd., Rui Feng Woodwork Co., Ltd. Aka Rui Feng Woodwork (Dongguan) Co., Ltd., Rui Feng Lumber Development Co., Ltd. Aka Rui Feng Lumber Development (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
Dream Rooms Furniture (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd., Eurosa Furniture Co., (Pte) Ltd.
Evergo Furniture Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd.
Fleetwood Fine Furniture LP
Fortune Furniture Ltd.
Fortune Glory Industrial Ltd. (H.K. Ltd.), Tradewinds Furniture Ltd.
Foshan Bailan Imp. & Exp. Ltd.
Foshan Shunde Longjiang Zhishang Furniture Factory
Fujian Lianfu Forestry Co., Ltd. aka Fujian Wonder Pacific Inc.
Fuzhou Huan Mei Furniture Co., Ltd.
Golden Well International (HK) Ltd.

Guangdong New Four Seas Furniture Manufacturing Ltd.
Guangzhou Lucky Furniture Co., Ltd.
Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd., Pyla HK Ltd., Maria Yee, Inc.
Hainan Jong Bao Lumber Co., Ltd.
Haining Karen Furniture Co., Ltd.
Hang Hai Woodcraft's Art Factory
Hangzhou Cadman Trading Co., Ltd.
Hangzhou Jason Outdoor Furniture Co., Ltd.
Hong Kong Da Zhi Furniture Co., Ltd.
Hualing Furniture (China) Co., Ltd., Tony House Manufacture (China) Co., Ltd., Buysell Investments Ltd., Tony House Industries Co., Ltd.
Hung Fai Wood Products Factory Ltd.
Jasonwood Industrial Co., Ltd. S.A.
Jiangmen Kinwai Furniture Decoration Co., Ltd.
Jiangmen Kinwai International Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Dare Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Tairui Structure Engineering Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Xiangsheng Bedtime Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Yuexing Furniture Group Co., Ltd.
Jiashan Zhenxuan Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jibbon Enterprise Co., Ltd.
Jibson Industries Ltd.
Jiedong Lehouse Furniture Co., Ltd.
King's Way Furniture Industries Co., Ltd.
Kingsyear Ltd.
Kunshan Summit Furniture Co., Ltd.
Liang Huang (Jiaxing) Enterprise Co., Ltd.
Nanhai Jiantai Woodwork Co., Ltd., Fortune Glory Industrial Ltd. (H.K. Ltd.)
Nantong Wanzhuang Furniture Co., Ltd.
Nantong Yangzi Furniture Co., Ltd.
Nathan International Ltd., Nathan Rattan Factory
Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co., Ltd.
Passwell Corporation, Pleasant Wave Ltd.
Perfect Line Furniture Co., Ltd.
Prime Best Factory
Prime Best International Co., Ltd.
Prime Wood International Co., Ltd.
Putian Jinggong Furniture Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Beiyuan Industry Trading Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Beiyuan Shengli Furniture Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Liangmu Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Shengchang Wooden Co., Ltd.
Restonic (Dongguan) Furniture Ltd., Restonic Far East (Samoa) Ltd.
Rizhao Sanmu Woodworking Co., Ltd.
Sen Yeong International Co., Ltd.
Shanghai Jian Pu Export & Import Co., Ltd.

Shanghai Maoji Imp And Exp Co., Ltd.
Sheh Hau International Trading Ltd.
Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Diamond Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Forest Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Jiafa High Grade Furniture Co., Ltd., Golden Lion International Trading Ltd.
Shenzhen New Fudu Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Wonderful Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Xingli Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shing Mark Enterprise Co., Ltd., Carven Industries Limited (BVI), Carven Industries Limited (HK), Dongguan Zhenxin Furniture Co., Ltd., Dongguan Yongpeng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Songgang Jasonwood Furniture Factory
Starwood Industries Ltd.
Strongson (HK) Co.
Strongson Furniture (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
Strongson Furniture Co., Ltd.
Sunforce Furniture (Hui-Yang) Co., Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd., Stupendous International Co., Ltd.
Super Art Furniture Co., Ltd.
Superwood Co., Ltd., Lianjiang Zongyu Art Products Co., Ltd.
Teamway Furniture (Dong Guan) Co., Ltd.
Techniwood Industries Ltd., Ningbo Furniture Industries Ltd., Ningbo Hengrun Furniture Co., Ltd.
Tube-Smith Enterprise (Haimen) Co., Ltd.
Tube-Smith Enterprise (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd.
U-Rich Furniture (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd., U-Rich Furniture Ltd.
Wanvog Furniture (Kunshan) Co., Ltd.
Weimei Furniture Co., Ltd.
Woodworth Wooden Industries (Dong Guan) Co., Ltd.
Wuxi Yushea Furniture Co., Ltd.
Xiamen Yongquan Sci-Tech Development Co., Ltd.
Xilinmen Group Co., Ltd.
Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.
Yichun Guangming Furniture Co., Ltd.
Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd., Guangdong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd.
Zhang Zhou Sanlong Wood Product Co., Ltd.
Zhangjiagang Daye Hotel Furniture Co., Ltd.
Zhangjiang Sunwin Arts & Crafts Co., Ltd.
Zhangzhou Guohui Industrial & Trade Co., Ltd.
Zhejiang Tianyi Scientific & Educational Equipment Co., Ltd.
Zhong Shan Fullwin Furniture Co., Ltd.
Zhong Shun Wood Art Co.
Zhongshan Fookyik Furniture Co., Ltd.
Zhongshan Golden King Furniture Industrial Co., Ltd.
Zhoushan For-Strong Wood Co., Ltd.

Countervailing Duty Proceedings

THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Calcium Hypochlorite
C-570-009

5/27/14 - 12/31/15

Haixing Eno Chemicals Co., Ltd.
Haixing Jingmei Chemical Products Sales Co. Ltd.

Suspension Agreements

None

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-C

Duty Absorption Reviews

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Gap Period Liquidation

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

Administrative Protective Orders and Letters of Appearance

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this

notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Revised Factual Information Requirements

On April 10, 2013, the Department published *Definition of Factual Information and Time Limits for Submission of Factual Information: Final Rule*, 78 FR 21246 (April 10, 2013), which modified two regulations related to antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: The definition of factual information (19 CFR 351.102(b)(21)), and the time limits for the submission of factual information (19 CFR 351.301). The final rule identifies five categories of factual information in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21), which are summarized as follows: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on

or after May 10, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.⁷ Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Final Rule*.⁸ The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

Revised Extension of Time Limits Regulation

On September 20, 2013, the Department modified its regulation concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: *Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013). The modification clarifies that parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an

⁷ See section 782(b) of the Act.

⁸ See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) ("Final Rule"); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: February 25, 2016.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016-04702 Filed 3-2-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-560-829, C-570-023]

Certain Uncoated Paper From Indonesia and the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order (Indonesia) and Countervailing Duty Order (People's Republic of China)

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), the Department is issuing countervailing duty (CVD) orders on certain uncoated paper from Indonesia and the People's Republic of China (PRC). Also, as explained in this notice, the Department is amending its final affirmative determination with respect to Indonesia to correct the rates assigned to APRIL Fine Paper Macao Commercial Offshore Limited/PT Anugrah Kertas Utama/PT Riau Andalan Kertas/PT Intiguna Primatama/PT Riau Andalan Pulp & Paper/PT Esensindo Cipta Cemerlang (the APRIL companies); Great Champ Trading Limited (Great Champ); Indah Kiat Pulp & Paper TBK/Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia/PT Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills (IK/TK/PD); and All-Others.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 3, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Indonesia: David Goldberger, Office II, telephone: (202) 482-4136; PRC: Joy Zhang, Office III, telephone: (202) 482-1168; AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 8, 2016, the Department issued its final determinations in the CVD investigations of certain uncoated paper from Indonesia and the PRC.¹

On January 19, 2016, the Department received a timely allegation from Asia Symbol (Guangdong) Paper Co., Ltd. (AS Guangdong) and its cross-owned affiliates, Asia Symbol (Guangdong) Omya Minerals Co., Ltd. (AS Omya),

¹ See *Certain Uncoated Paper From Indonesia: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 81 FR 3104 (January 20, 2016) (*Indonesia Final Determination*); *Certain Uncoated Paper From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 81 FR 3110 (January 20, 2016).

Asia Symbol (Shandong) Pulp & Paper Co. (AS Shandong), and Greenpoint Global Trading (Macao Commercial Offshore) Limited (Greenpoint) (collectively, Asia Symbol) that the Department made ministerial errors in the final determination in the CVD investigation of certain uncoated paper from the PRC.² On January 27, 2016, the Department received comments from the petitioners³ on Asia Symbol's ministerial error allegation.⁴ The Department analyzed the allegation submitted by Asia Symbol and determined that no ministerial errors exist, as defined by section 705(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.224(f).⁵

On January 19, 2016, the APRIL companies submitted a timely ministerial error allegation, as amended on January 28, 2016, and requested that the Department correct the alleged ministerial error in the subsidy rate calculations.⁶ No other interested party submitted ministerial error allegations or rebuttals to the APRIL companies' submissions. The Department analyzed the allegation submitted by the APRIL companies and determined that ministerial errors exist, as defined by section 705(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).⁷ See "Amendment to the Indonesia CVD Final Determination" section, below for further discussion.

On February 22, 2016, the ITC notified the Department of its final determinations pursuant to section 705(b)(1)(A)(i) and section 705(d) of the Act, that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of subsidized imports of subject

² See Letter from Asia Symbol, "Certain Uncoated Paper from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Error Comments," dated January 19, 2016.

³ The petitioners are United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (USW); Domtar Corporation; Finch Paper LLC; P.H. Glatfelter Company; and Packaging Corporation of America (collectively, the petitioners).

⁴ See Letter from the petitioners, "Certain Uncoated Paper From the People's Republic of China: Petitioners' Response To Asia Symbol's Ministerial Error Comments," dated January 27, 2016.

⁵ See Memorandum "Allegations of Ministerial Errors in the Final Determination," dated February 1, 2016.

⁶ See Letter from the APRIL companies, "Certain Uncoated Paper from Indonesia: Ministerial Error Comments," dated January 19, 2016; Letter from the APRIL companies, "Certain Uncoated Paper from Indonesia: Amended Ministerial Error Comments—AKU—APRIL," dated January 28, 2016.

⁷ See Memorandum "Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Determination," dated February 17, 2016 (Ministerial Error Decision Memorandum).