

TABLE 3—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN ¹—Continued

Activity	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total Hours
Main Study					
Number to complete the screener (assumes 50% eligible)	3,300	1	3,300	0.08 (5 min.)	264
Number of completes	1,650	1	1,650	.42 (25 min.)	693
Total Hours					1,149

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

The following references have been placed on display in the Division of Dockets Management (see **ADDRESSES**) and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, and are available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

References

1. Callcott MF, Lee W. “Establishing the Spokes-Character in Academic Inquiry: Historical Overview and Framework for Definition,” *Advances in Consumer Research*, 1995;22:144–151.
2. Bell JA. Creativity, TV Commercial Popularity, and Advertising Expenditures, *International Journal of Advertising*, 1992;11(2):165–72.
3. Heiser RS, Sierra JJ, Torres IM. “Creativity Via Cartoon Spokespeople in Print Ads: Capitalizing on the Distinctiveness Effect,” *Journal of Advertising*, 2008;37(4):75–85.
4. Luo JT, McGoldrick P, Beatty S, et al, “On-Screen Characters: Their Design and Influence on Consumer Trust,” *Journal of Services Marketing*, 2006;20(2):112–24.
5. Clayton RB, Leshner G. “The Uncanny Valley: The Effects of Rotoscope Animation on Motivational Processing of Depression Drug Messages,” *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 2015;59(1):57–75.
6. Bhutada NS, Rollins BL, Perri M. “Animation in Print Direct-to-Consumer Advertising of Prescription Drugs: Impact on Consumers,” at the 32d Association for Marketing and Healthcare Research Annual Meeting and Conference, February 27-March 1, 2013, Big Sky, MT.
7. Pashupati K. “Beavers, Bubbles, Bees, and Moths: An Examination of Animated Spokescharacters in DTC Prescription Drug Advertisements and Web sites,” *Journal of Advertising Research*, 2009;49(3):373–93.
8. Chandler J, Schwarz N. “Use Does Not Wear Ragged the Fabric of Friendship: Thinking of Objects as Alive Makes People Less Willing to Replace Them,” *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 2010;20(2):138–145.
9. Callcott MF, Phillips BJ., “Observations: Elves Make Good Cookies: Creating Likeable Spokescharacter Advertising,” *Journal of Advertising Research*, 1996;36(5):73–79.

10. Garretson JA, Niedrich RW., “Spokes-Characters: Creating Character Trust and Positive Brand Attitudes,” *Journal of Advertising*, 2004;33(2):25–36.
11. Delbaere M, McQuarrie EF, Phillips BJ, “Personification in Advertising: Using a Visual Metaphor to Trigger Anthropomorphism,” *Journal of Advertising*, 2011;40(1):121–130.
12. Hart PM, Jones SR, Roynes MB, “The Human Lens: How Anthropomorphic Reasoning Varies by Product Complexity and Enhances Personal Value,” *Journal of Marketing Management*, 2013;29(1–2):105–121.
13. Moyer-Guse E, Mahood C, Brookes S., “Entertainment-Education in the Context of Humor: Effects on Safer Sex Intentions and Risk Perceptions,” *Health Communication*, 2011;26(8):765–774.
14. Lang A., “The Limited Capacity Model of Motivated Mediated Message Processing,” *The Sage Handbook of Mass Media Effects*, New York: Sage;2009:193–204.
15. Garretson JA, Burton S., “The Role of Spokescharacters as Advertisement and Package Cues in Integrated Marketing Campaigns,” *Journal of Marketing*, 2005;69(4):118–132.
16. Lang A., “Using the Limited Capacity Model of Motivated Mediated Message Processing to Design Effective Cancer Communication Messages,” *Journal of Communication*, 2006;56:557–580.

Dated: February 23, 2016.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016–04569 Filed 3–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2012–N–0110]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Announcement of Office of Management and Budget Approval; Medical Device Reporting; Manufacturer, Importer, User Facility, and Distributor Reporting

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a collection of information entitled “Medical Device Reporting: Manufacturer, Importer, User Facility, and Distributor Reporting” has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FDA PRA Staff, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, 8455 Colesville Rd., COLE–14526, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 31, 2015, the Agency submitted a proposed collection of information entitled “Medical Device Reporting: Manufacturer, Importer, User Facility, and Distributor Reporting” to OMB for review and clearance under 44 U.S.C. 3507. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. OMB has now approved the information collection and has assigned OMB control number 0910–0437. The approval expires on December 31, 2018. A copy of the supporting statement for this information collection is available on the Internet at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

Dated: February 25, 2016.

Leslie Kux,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016–04576 Filed 3–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P