

CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the **Federal Register** (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this rule as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 22 CFR part 165 to read as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.843 to read as follows:

§ 165.843 Moving Security Zone; Escorted Vessels; Lower Mississippi River; New Orleans, LA.

(a) *Definitions.* The following definitions apply to this section:

COTP means Captain of the Port New Orleans, LA.

Designated representatives means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, State, and local officers designated by or assisting the COTP, in the enforcement of the security zone.

Escorted vessel means a vessel, other than a large U.S. naval vessel as defined in 33 CFR 165.2015, that is accompanied by one or more Coast Guard assets or other Federal, State or local law enforcement agency assets clearly identifiable by flashing lights, vessel markings, or with agency insignia as follows: Coast Guard surface or air

asset displaying the Coast Guard insignia. State and/or local law enforcement asset displaying the applicable agency markings and/or equipment associated with the agency.

Minimum safe speed for navigation means the speed at which a vessel proceeds when it is fully off plane, completely settled in the water and not creating excessive wake or surge. Due to the different speeds at which vessels of different sizes and configurations may travel while in compliance with this definition, no specific speed is assigned to a minimum safe speed for navigation. In no instance should minimum safe speed be interpreted as a speed less than that required for a particular vessel to maintain steerageway. A vessel is not proceeding at minimum safe speed if it is:

- (i) On a plane;
- (ii) In the process of coming up, onto or coming off a plane; or
- (iii) Creating an excessive wake or surge.

(b) *Regulated area.* All navigable waters, as defined in 33 CFR 2.36, on the Lower Mississippi River between river miles 90.0 to 106.0 Above Head of Passes (AHP), New Orleans, Louisiana.

(c) *Security zone.* A temporary moving security zone, extending 300 yards in all directions of an escorted vessel, will be established around each escorted vessel within the regulated area described in paragraph (b) of this section. The security zone will not extend beyond the boundary of the regulated area in this section.

(d) *Notice of security zone.* The COTP will inform the public of the existence or status of any temporary moving security zones around escorted vessels in the regulated area by broadcast notices to mariners. The broadcast notice to mariners will inform the public of the enforcement period, size of the zone, and the navigable waters that will be affected, and will normally be issued at approximately 30-minute intervals while the moving security zone remains in effect. Escorted vessels will be identified by the presence of Coast Guard assets or other Federal, State or local law enforcement agency assets clearly identified by flashing lights, vessel markings, or agency insignia.

(e) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of subpart D of this part, no person or vessel may enter or remain in a security zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port. Section 165.33 also contains other general requirements.

(2) Vessels may request permission from the Captain of the Port New Orleans through the on-scene Coast

Guard or other agency asset to enter the security zone described in paragraph (c) of this section.

(i) If permission to enter and transit through the security zone is granted, the vessel shall operate at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course, unless required to maintain speed by the Navigation Rules, and must proceed as directed by the COTP or a designated representative. When within the security zone, no vessel or person is allowed within 50 yards of the escorted vessel unless authorized by the Coast Guard.

(ii) [Reserved]

(f) *Contact information.* The COTP New Orleans may be reached via phone at (504) 365–2200. Any on-scene Coast Guard or designated representative assets may be reached via VHF–FM channel 16 or 67.

Dated: December 30, 2015.

W.R. Arguin,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2016–02282 Filed 2–19–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

37 CFR Part 351

[Docket No. 15–CRB–0012 RM]

Proceedings of the Copyright Royalty Board; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Royalty Judges are adopting a technical amendment to a Copyright Royalty Board rule regarding participation in distribution proceedings. The technical amendment updates the threshold requirement for payment of a filing fee to conform the rule to a statutory provision.

DATES: Effective February 22, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LaKeshia Keys (202) 707–7658 or email at crb@loc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 6, 2006, Congress enacted the Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act. Public Law 109–303, 120 Stat. 1478 (2006). Among other things, the Technical Corrections Act changed Section 803(b)(2)(D)(ii)(II) of the Copyright Act, which requires parties that wish to participate in a Copyright Royalty Board royalty distribution proceeding to pay a \$150

filing fee unless the petitioner includes a statement with its petition to participate stating that the petitioner will not seek a distribution of more than \$1000, in which case no filing fee is required. Prior to the Technical Corrections Act, the threshold for a fee waiver in a distribution proceeding was \$10,000, an amount that was (and still is) codified in CRB Rule 351.1(b)(4).¹

To conform the CRB regulation with the statutory provision under which it was adopted, the Judges hereby amend CRB Rule 351.1(b)(4) to state that the threshold requirement for a filing fee waiver is \$1000, rather than \$10,000. Because this is a technical amendment, the Judges find that prior publication for notice and comment is unnecessary. See 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B). See also 61 FR 63715 (Dec. 2, 1996) (adopting technical amendments to CARP rules).

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 351

Administrative practice and procedure, Copyright.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Copyright Royalty Judges amend 37 CFR part 351 as follows:

PART 351—PROCEEDINGS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 351 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 803.

■ 2. Amend § 351.1 to revise paragraph (b)(4) to read as follows:

§ 351.1 Initiation of proceedings.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) *Filing fee.* A petition to participate must be accompanied with a filing fee of \$150 or the petition will be rejected. Payment shall be made to the Copyright Royalty Board. If a check is subsequently dishonored, the petition will be rejected. If the petitioner believes that the contested amount of that petitioner's claim will be \$1000 or less, petitioner shall so state in the petition to participate and should not include payment of the \$150 filing fee. If it becomes apparent during the course of the proceedings that the contested amount of the claim is more than \$1000, the Copyright Royalty Judges will require payment of the filing fee at such time.

* * * * *

Dated: December 30, 2015.

Suzanne M. Barnett,
Chief Copyright Royalty Judge.

Approved by:

David S. Mao,
Acting Librarian of Congress.

[FR Doc. 2016-03599 Filed 2-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-72-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0379; FRL-9942-54-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Particulate Matter Emissions Limits Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a June 1, 2015, request by Indiana to revise the State Implementation Plan (SIP) to incorporate changes to the particulate matter (PM) rules contained in Title 326 of the Indiana Administrative Code (IAC). This approval affects sources of PM in the state of Indiana.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective April 22, 2016, unless EPA receives adverse comments by March 23, 2016. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0379 at <http://www.regulations.gov> or via email to blakley.pamela@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing

system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony Maietta, Environmental Protection Specialist, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8777, maietta.anthony@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

- I. What is the background for this action?
- II. What is EPA's analysis of the SIP revision?
- III. What action is EPA taking?
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What is the background for this action?

On June 1, 2015, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted a request for EPA to approve revisions to PM rules contained in 326 IAC 6.5 and 6.8. The revisions to these rules were published in the May 28, 2015, edition of the Indiana Register. On January 14, 2015, IDEM held the first of two public hearings on revisions to these rules. IDEM received comments during its January 14, 2015, public hearing, and IDEM revised its rules in response to those comments. IDEM's second public hearing was held on March 11, 2015. IDEM did not receive any comments at its March 11, 2015, public hearing.

II. What is EPA's analysis of the SIP revision?

Below is a discussion of changes to 326 IAC 6.5:

- *Sections 4-2, 4-17 and 4-24*

Revisions to 326 IAC 6.5-4-2 and 326 IAC 6.5-4-17 consolidate the identification numbers of the Kimball Office facilities in Jasper Indiana from 00046 and 00042 to 00100. The revision to 326 IAC 6.5-4-24 revises the business name of the regulated source from Styline Industries, Inc. Plant #8 to OFS Brands, Inc.—Plant #3. These administrative revisions provide clarity to the existing rule and are approvable into the Indiana SIP.

¹ 37 CFR 351.1(b)(4); 70 FR 30901, 30903 (May 31, 2005).