

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-2736.

Extension: Rule 19d-1, SEC File No. 270-242, OMB Control No. 3235-0206.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) (“PRA”), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the existing collection of information provided for in Rule 19d-1 (17 CFR 240.19d-1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (17 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) (“Exchange Act”). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for extension and approval.

Rule 19d-1 prescribes the form and content of notices to be filed with the Commission by self-regulatory organizations (“SROs”) for which the Commission is the appropriate regulatory agency concerning the following final SRO actions: (1) Disciplinary actions with respect to any person; (2) denial, bar, prohibition, or limitation of membership, participation or association with a member or of access to services offered by an SRO or member thereof; (3) summarily suspending a member, participant, or person associated with a member, or summarily limiting or prohibiting any persons with respect to access to or services offered by the SRO or a member thereof; and (4) delisting a security.

The Rule enables the Commission to obtain reports from the SROs containing information regarding SRO determinations to delist a security, discipline members or associated persons of members, deny membership or participation or association with a member, and similar adjudicated findings. The Rule requires that such actions be promptly reported to the Commission. The Rule also requires that the reports and notices supply sufficient information regarding the background, factual basis and issues involved in the proceeding to enable the Commission:

(1) To determine whether the matter should be called up for review on the Commission’s own motion; and (2) to ascertain generally whether the SRO has adequately carried out its responsibilities under the Exchange Act.

It is estimated that approximately eighteen respondents will utilize this

application procedure annually, with a total burden of approximately 2,250 hours, based upon past submissions. This figure is based on eighteen respondents, spending approximately 125 hours each per year. It is estimated that each respondent will submit approximately 250 responses. The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary to comply with the requirements of Rule 19d-1 for each submission is 0.5 hours. The average cost per hour, per each submission is approximately \$101. Therefore, it is estimated that the internal labor cost of compliance for all respondents is approximately \$227,250 (18 respondents × 250 responses per respondent × 0.5 hours per response × \$101 per hour).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Please direct your written comments to: Pamela C. Dyson, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: February 16, 2016.

Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-03517 Filed 2-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77143; File No. SR-Phlx-2016-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to a Policy for Amending Billing Information and a Research Fee

February 16, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on February 3, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to adopt a new policy entitled, “Policy for Amending Billing Information.” The Exchange also proposes to adopt a Research Fee.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at <http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to adopt a "Policy for Amending Billing Information" and a Research Fee. The Exchange also proposes some minor amendments to clarify the Pricing Schedule. Each of these changes will be discussed in more detail below. Adopting a policy regarding amending billing information will clarify how the Exchange will treat such corrections. The Research Fee is intended to relieve the Exchange of administrative burdens associated with handling errors on the part of members and member organizations (hereinafter "member(s)") for proper billing with respect to strategy transactions.

Policy for Amending Billing Information

The Exchange proposes to adopt a Policy for Amending Billing Information which would apply to corrections submitted to the Exchange after trade date and prior to the issuance of an invoice. These corrections are errors on the part of members with respect to executed orders that impact billing. These errors are not Exchange errors as no billing has occurred at this time for the transactions at issue.³ The Exchange notes that members may correct certain trade information on trade date, but not after the trade date without Exchange intervention. For example, today a member is required to mark transactions related to strategy trades⁴ by identifying the specific strategy. Members may need to correct a marking related to a strategy trade by amending the type of identified transactions or adding a missed marking throughout the trading day. Once the trade date passes, Exchange staff would need to be notified of such errors for billing purposes.⁵ Also, once an invoice is issued, the Exchange's Billing Dispute Policy⁶ is effective.

³ The Exchange bills one month in arrears.

⁴ The Exchange permits dividend, merger, short stock interest, reversal or conversion, jelly roll or box spread strategies on the Exchange.

⁵ Members may correct certain information at The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC"). The Exchange is able to capture corrected information such as capacity changes for billing purposes through OCC records. The type of information that would need to be corrected at the Exchange by submitting a trade correction for billing purposes includes marking trades for strategy transactions, contra party information, account information or CMTA changes.

⁶ The Exchange's billing dispute policy provides that all disputes must be submitted to the Exchange in writing and must be accompanied by supporting documentation. All disputes must be submitted no later than sixty (60) days after receipt of a billing

The Exchange proposes to require members to submit corrections impacting billing to the Exchange, in writing, and accompanied by supporting documentation. The Exchange believes that requiring members to support their corrections to the billing information is important to validate trades for billing purposes. Further, the Exchange proposes to require that only members may submit information related to billing corrections. This policy will eliminate the need for the Exchange to deal with Customers directly. Members are responsible for all trades submitted to the Exchange and should be responsible for handling related billing information corrections such as marking strategy transactions. The Exchange also proposes to clarify at this time that only members may submit billing disputes. The Exchange proposes to add this language to the rule text for clarity and to hold members responsible for also handling billing disputes.

The Exchange's adoption of this Policy for Amending Billing Information will also amend a prior policy related to strategy transactions. Today, the Exchange requires members to designate on the trade ticket whether the trade involves a dividend,⁷ merger,⁸ short stock interest,⁹ reversal or conversion,¹⁰ jelly roll¹¹ or box spread¹² strategy by

invoice, except for disputes concerning NASDAQ OMX PSX fees, proprietary data feed fees and collocation service fees. After sixty calendar days, all fees assessed by the Exchange are final.

⁷ A dividend strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a dividend arbitrage involving the purchase, sale and exercise of in-the-money options of the same class, executed the first business day prior to the date on which the underlying stock goes ex-dividend.

⁸ A merger strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a merger arbitrage involving the purchase, sale and exercise of options of the same class and expiration date, executed the first business day prior to the date on which shareholders of record are required to elect their respective form of consideration, *i.e.*, cash or stock.

⁹ A short stock interest strategy is defined as transactions done to achieve a short stock interest arbitrage involving the purchase, sale and exercise of in-the-money options of the same class.

¹⁰ Reversal and conversion strategies are transactions that employ calls and puts of the same strike price and the underlying stock. Reversals are established by combining a short stock position with a short put and a long call position that shares the same strike and expiration. Conversions employ long positions in the underlying stock that accompany long puts and short calls sharing the same strike and expiration.

¹¹ A jelly roll strategy is defined as transactions created by entering into two separate positions simultaneously. One position involves buying a put and selling a call with the same strike price and expiration. The second position involves selling a put and buying a call, with the same strike price, but with a different expiration from the first position.

¹² A box spread strategy is a strategy that synthesizes long and short stock positions to create a profit. Specifically, a long call and short put at

inserting a code on the trade ticket¹³ or requesting Exchange staff on the trading floor to input the code into the trading system.¹⁴ This marking must occur on the day the order was entered to receive the benefit of any trading cap¹⁵ for which they may qualify.¹⁶ This proposed policy will enable members to make corrections after the trade date and still qualify for the strategy fee cap. The Exchange's proposed Policy for Amending Billing Information does not impact the Exchange's Regulatory group's actions with respect to the proper marking of trades. Members must comply with Exchange rules in properly marking their trades and may be subject to disciplinary action in the event they fail to comply with Exchange Rules.

The Exchange believes that the Policy for Amending Billing Information will promote consistency in the treatment of all corrections submitted to the Exchange.

Research Fee

The Exchange proposes to adopt a Research Fee of \$1,000 applicable to members submitting corrections applicable to strategy transactions. The Exchange would assess this fee for each transaction correction presented to the Exchange. Assessing a fee to members to correct errors related to the marking of strategy trades caused by the member will relieve the administrative burden on the Exchange associated with reviewing and validating these trade corrections. Correcting mismarked strategy transactions requires Exchange personnel to review errors and make adjustments to its billing processes to ensure the corrected trade is properly

one strike is combined with a short call and long put at a different strike to create synthetic long and synthetic short stock positions, respectively.

¹³ The Exchange has designated "Z1" for dividend strategies, "Z2" for short stock interest and merger strategies, "Z3" for box spread strategies and "Z4" for reversal and conversion and jelly roll strategies.

¹⁴ The Exchange's trading system on the trading floor is the Floor Broker Management System or FBMS.

¹⁵ The Exchange offers members certain strategy caps at Section II of the Pricing Schedule. The buy and sell side of a transaction must originate from the Exchange floor to qualify for these caps. Also, reversal and conversion, jelly roll and box spread strategy executions are not included in the Monthly Strategy Cap for a Firm. Reversal and conversion, jelly roll and box spread strategy executions are included in the Monthly Firm Fee Cap. All dividend, merger, short stock interest, reversal and conversion, jelly roll and box spread strategy executions will be excluded from the Monthly Market Maker Cap. Firms are subject to a maximum fee of \$75,000 ("Monthly Firm Fee Cap").

¹⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70850 (November 12, 2013), 78 FR 69164 (November 18, 2013) (SR-Phlx-2013-109) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Regarding Box Spread Strategies).

processed for billing. The Exchange believes that assessing a fee will promote increased accuracy in executing strategy transactions and proper marking of those trades among members who may wish to avoid the correction fee. The integrity of the audit trail is important to the Exchange and the fee will continue to reinforce the need to ensure that strategy trades are properly marked. The Research Fee will also compensate the Exchange for administrative resources utilized to research fees and promote accuracy for strategy transaction corrections.

Other Amendments

The Exchange proposes to remove a historical date from the Billing Dispute policy as the origination date of the policy is no longer relevant. The Exchange also proposes to amend the Table of Contents to properly reflect sections which have been revised in the Pricing Schedule. Finally, the Exchange proposes to add the letter "D" before the Remote Specialist Fee to identify that section in the Table of Contents.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁸ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange further addresses its adoption of a Policy for Amending Billing Information and Research Fee below.

Policy for Amending Billing Information

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Policy for Amending Billing Information is reasonable because the corrections are related to member errors that are not yet ripe for treatment pursuant to the Billing Dispute Policy. This proposal will enable the Exchange to apply the proper pricing to each transaction in the event of an error. The Exchange believes that the Policy for Amending Billing Information will promote consistency in the treatment of all corrections submitted to the Exchange. Requiring members to support their corrections with documentation is reasonable to maintain the integrity of executed transactions on the Exchange by

verifying that each trade should be corrected for billing purposes.

The Exchange's amendment to corrections related to strategy transactions is reasonable because the Exchange will price all qualifying strategy transactions uniformly according to the Pricing Schedule, regardless of whether an error occurred when the trade was initially submitted, provided the member submits a trade correction. The Exchange will validate all trade corrections and apply the appropriate fees, rebates and caps to the transaction. All members will be able to qualify for the strategy cap even in the event of an error. Members remain responsible to comply with Exchange rules and properly mark their trades or be subject to disciplinary action in the event they fail to comply with Exchange Rules. Also, the proposed Research Fee should continue to promote the consistent marking of strategy trades.

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Policy for Amending Billing Information is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it will uniformly apply to all members. All members will be required to support their corrections with documentation. The Exchange's amendment to corrections related to strategy transactions is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange's Policy for Amending Billing Information will uniformly apply to all members submitting corrections for strategy transactions. All strategy transactions will be uniformly assessed the pricing in the Pricing Schedule for all qualifying strategy transactions, regardless of whether an error occurred when the trade was initially submitted, provided the member submits a correction. All members will be able to qualify for the strategy cap even in the event of an error.

The Exchange's proposal to require members to submit corrections and billing disputes is reasonable because members should be responsible for all trades submitted to the Exchange and handling related corrections. The Exchange provides members with both daily and monthly fee reports in an effort to keep members apprised of executions and associated pricing. This practice also is intended to encourage members to review transactions so errors can be promptly identified. Errors identified prior to the invoice may be corrected pursuant to the Policy for Amending Billing Information. The Exchange's proposal to require members to submit corrections and billing disputes is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange has privity with its members and those members will uniformly be held

responsible for all trades submitted to the Exchange and the handling of related corrections and billing disputes.

Research Fee

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Research Fee of \$1,000 applicable to member submitting corrections related to strategy transactions is reasonable because the Exchange expends resources to review a correction submitted by members and believes this fee will compensate the Exchange. For example, Exchange staff validates the corrections to ensure the accuracy of the correction as it relates to a specific strategy and reviews its internal billing to correct its records to properly bill the corrected transaction. The Exchange believes that assessing a Research Fee will also promote proper marking of strategy transactions. The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to only assess a Research Fee related to strategy transactions because these types of marking errors require Exchange staff intervention. Other types of marking errors may be handled at OCC. The Exchange believes assessing a Research Fee in the amount of \$1,000 is reasonable because the Exchange believes that the fee level is appropriate given the amount of Exchange resources expended to correct the error. Further the fee is not egregious and similar fee levels are assessed by the Exchange for failures to mark certain transactions.¹⁹

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Research Fee of \$1,000 applicable to members submitting corrections related to strategy transactions is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will uniformly assess this fee to all members submitting corrections related to strategy transactions. The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to only assess a Research Fee related to strategy transactions because the Exchange must intervene to correct the billing of these types of transactions when the member fails to mark a strategy transaction. Other types of information may be corrected at OCC and Exchange intervention is not required. The Exchange proposes this fee to recoup administrative costs associated with validating all trade corrections and applying the appropriate fees, rebates and caps to the transaction. The Exchange does not have the same administrative burdens with other types of corrections required by members with respect to executed transactions. The

¹⁹ See Options Floor Procedure Advices and Order & Decorum Regulations in Section F. These fines range from \$250 to \$2,500. See F-1, F-2 and F-4.

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

Exchange believes assessing a Research Fee in the amount of \$1,000 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would uniformly assess this fee to all members with strategy transaction corrections and this fee would serve to recoup the Exchange for the administrative time related to these corrections.

Other Amendments

The Exchange's proposal to remove a historical date from the Billing Dispute policy, amend the Table of Contents to properly reflect sections, which have been revised, and add the letter "D" before the Remote Specialist Fee is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange believes that removing unnecessary language, reflecting current sections and identifying sections in the Pricing Schedule brings clarity to Exchange's pricing and benefits market participants.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the adoption of a Policy for Amending Billing Information does not impose an undue burden on inter-market competition because the Exchange believes that other Exchanges have policies related to trade corrections. The Exchange believes that the adoption of a Research Fee does not impose an undue burden on inter-market competition because the purpose of the fee is to recover costs expended by the Exchange to review corrections related to strategy transactions.

Policy for Amending Billing Information

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Policy for Amending Billing Information, which would apply to billing corrections submitted to the Exchange after trade date and prior to the issuance of an invoice, does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the policy will uniformly apply to all members. All members will be required to support their trade corrections by providing documentation. Only members will be permitted to submit corrections and billing disputes.

The Exchange's amendment to the Policy for Amending Billing Information related to strategy transactions does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because all members will be able to submit errors related to strategy transactions for

correction. All members will be able to qualify for the strategy cap even in the event of an error. These members will be assessed a Research Fee. The Exchange's proposal to require members to submit corrections and billing disputes does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because members have privity with the Exchange and those members will uniformly be held responsible for all trades submitted to the Exchange and the handling of related corrections and billing disputes.

Research Fee

The Exchange's proposal to adopt a Research Fee of \$1,000 applicable to members submitting corrections related to strategy transactions does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the purpose of the fee is to recoup costs associated with expending Exchange resources to review corrections submitted by members who inadvertently mismatch or neglect to mark a strategy transaction. The Exchange will uniformly assess this fee to all members submitting strategy transaction corrections.

The Exchange's proposal to only assess a Research Fee related to strategy transactions does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange must intervene to correct the billing of these types of transactions when the member fails to mark a strategy transaction. Other types of information may be corrected at OCC and Exchange intervention is not required. The Exchange's proposal to assess a Research Fee in the amount of \$1,000 does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange would uniformly assess this fee to all members with strategy transaction corrections and this fee would serve to recoup the Exchange for the administrative time related to these corrections.

Other Amendments

The Exchange's proposal to remove a historical date from the Billing Dispute policy, amend the Table of Contents to properly reflect sections, which have been revised, and add the letter "D" before the Remote Specialist Fee does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because the proposed changes are non-substantive.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.²⁰

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2016-09 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-09. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE.,

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-09, and should be submitted on or before March 14, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-03526 Filed 2-19-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

Fiscal Year 2014–2015 Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Program Project Selections

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Tribal Transit Program Announcement of Project Selections.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) announces the selection of projects with Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 and FY 2015 appropriations for the Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Program Tribal Transit Program (TTP), as authorized by Section 5311 (j) of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), Public Law 112-14 (July 2012). On December 9, 2014 FTA published a **Federal Register** Notice (79 FR 236) announcing the availability of Federal funding for the program. MAP-21 authorized approximately \$5 million annually for federally recognized Indian Tribes or Alaska Native villages, groups, or communities as identified by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) in the U.S. Department of the Interior for public transportation. FTA is allocating a total of approximately \$10 million to selected projects in this notice since we are including FY 2015 funding described in the December 2014 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). The TTP supports many types of projects including: Operating costs to enable tribes to start or continue transit

services; capital to enable tribal investment in new or replacement equipment; and funding for tribal transit planning activities for public transportation services on and around Indian reservations. TTP services link tribal citizens to employment, food, healthcare, school, social services, recreation/leisure, and other key community connections. FTA funds may only be used for eligible purposes defined under 49 U.S.C 5311 and described in the FTA Circular 9040.1G and consistent with the specific eligibility and priorities established in the December 2014 NOFA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Successful applicants should contact the appropriate FTA Regional office for information regarding applying for the funds or program-specific information. A list of Regional offices, along with a list of tribal liaisons can be found at www.fta.dot.gov. Unsuccessful applicants may contact Élan Flippin, Office of Program Management at (202) 366-3800, email: Elan.Flippin@dot.gov to arrange a proposal debriefing within 30 days of this announcement. In the event the contact information provided by your tribe in the application has changed, please contact your regional tribal liaison with the current information in order to expedite the grant award process. A TDD is available at 1-800-877-8339 (TDD/FIRS).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Approximately \$10 million is available for FY 2014 and FY 2015 under the TTP. A total of 79 applications were received from 64 tribes in 20 states requesting \$19.5 million, indicating significant demand for funds for public transportation projects. Project proposals were evaluated based on each applicant's responsiveness to the program evaluation criteria outlined in FTA's December 2014 NOFA. The FTA also took into consideration the current status of previously funded applicants. This included evaluating available prior year discretionary and formula balances; geographic balance and diversity, including regional balance based on tribal population; and support of the Ladders of Opportunity initiative. As a result, FTA is funding a total of 65 projects for 55 tribes in 18 states. The projects selected in Table 1 provide funding for transit planning studies, capital and operating requests for existing, start-up expansion and replacement services. Funds must be used only for the specific purposes identified in Table 1. Allocations may be less than what the applicant requested and were capped at \$300,000 to provide funding to all highly

recommended and recommended proposals, however, planning projects were capped at \$25,000. Recommended projects received the scalable amount provided by the applicant. Operating assistance for existing services was funded at one year. Tribes selected for competitive discretionary funding should work with their FTA regional office to finalize the grant application in FTA's Transit Award Management System (TrAMs) for the projects identified in the attached table to quickly obligate funds. In cases where the allocation amount is less than the proposer's requested amount, tribes should work with the regional office to ensure the funds are obligated for eligible aspects of the projects, and for the specific purpose intended as reflected in Table 1. A discretionary project identification number has been assigned to each project for tracking purposes, and must be used in the TrAMs application. For more information about TrAMs, please visit http://www.fta.dot.gov/16260_15769.html. The post award reporting requirements include submission of the Federal Financial Report (FFR) and Milestone Progress Report in TrAMs, and National Transit Database (NTD) reporting as appropriate (see FTA Circular 9040.1G).

Tribes must continue to report to the NTD to be eligible for formula apportionment funds. To be considered in the FY 2016 formula apportionments, tribes should have submitted their reports to the NTD no later than August 31, 2015; voluntary reporting to the NTD is also encouraged. Additionally, to be considered for the FY 2017 formula apportionment funds, tribes need to submit their reports to the NTD no later than June 30, 2016. For tribes who have not reported before, please contact the NTD Operations Center in advance to get a reporting account for the NTD on-line data collection system. The Operation Center can be reached Monday–Friday, 8:00 a.m.–7:00 p.m. (ET), by email NTDHelp@dot.gov or by phone 1-888-252-0936. Tribes must comply with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, executive orders, FTA circulars, and other Federal requirements in carrying out the project supported by the FTA grant. To assist tribes with understanding these requirements, FTA has conducted approximately nine Tribal Transit Technical Assistance Workshops, and expects to offer several workshops in FY 2016. FTA has also expanded its technical assistance to tribes receiving funds under this program. In FY15, FTA implemented the Tribal Transit

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).