

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Rate Schedule No. 274—Planning Participation Agreement to be effective 4/9/2016.

Filed Date: 2/8/16.

Accession Number: 20160208–5160.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 2/29/16.

Docket Numbers: ER16–913–000.

Applicants: NextEra Energy

Transmission West, LLC.

Description: Compliance filing: NextEra Energy Transmission West, LLC Compliance Filing-2 to be effective 10/20/2015.

Filed Date: 2/8/16.

Accession Number: 20160208–5170.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 2/29/16.

Docket Numbers: ER16–914–000.

Applicants: Axpo U.S. LLC.

Description: Baseline eTariff Filing: Axpo U.S. LLC Application for Market-

Based Rate Authorization to be effective 4/5/2016.

Filed Date: 2/4/16.

Accession Number: 20160204–5238.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 2/25/16.

The filings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing

requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: February 9, 2016.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–03017 Filed 2–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice Establishing Post-Technical Conference Comments

	Docket Nos.
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	ER15–2562–000
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	ER15–2563–000.
Linden VFT, LLC v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	EL15–18–001.
Delaware Public Service Commission and Maryland Public Service Commission v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	EL15–67–000.
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	EL15–95–000.
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	ER14–972–003.
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C	ER14–1485–005
	Not Consolidated.

In an order dated November 24, 2015,¹ the Commission found that the assignment of cost allocation for the projects in the filings and complaints listed in the caption using PJM’s solution-based distribution factor (DFAX) cost allocation method had not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, or unduly discriminatory or preferential. The Commission directed its staff to establish a technical conference to explore both whether there is a definable category of reliability projects within PJM for which the solution-based DFAX cost allocation method may not be just and reasonable, such as projects addressing reliability violations that are not related to flow on the planned transmission facility, and whether an alternative just and reasonable *ex ante* cost allocation method could be established for any such category of projects.

The technical conference was held on January 12, 2016. At the technical conference, staff indicated that it would establish a schedule for post-technical conference comments after reviewing the technical conference transcript. A revised technical conference transcript

was placed in the above-referenced dockets on February 9, 2016.

Post-technical conference comments, not to exceed 20 pages, are due on or before March 1, 2016.

For more information about this technical conference, please contact [PJMDFAConfDL@ferc.gov](mailto:PJMDFAXconfDL@ferc.gov); or Sarah McKinley, 202–502–8368, sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov, regarding logistical issues.

Dated: February 9, 2016.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–03018 Filed 2–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98–1–000]

Records Governing Off-the-Record Communications; Public Notice

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(b), of the receipt of prohibited and exempt off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive a prohibited or exempt

off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested proceeding, to deliver to the Secretary of the Commission, a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication.

Prohibited communications are included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

¹ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., et al.*, 153 FERC ¶ 61,245 (2015) (November 2015 Order).