the multilayered wood flooring was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.⁷

The Department conducted U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") database queries and confirmed that Keri Wood and Simite Wooden's shipments of subject merchandise had entered the United States for consumption and that liquidation of such entries had been properly suspended for antidumping duties. The Department also confirmed by examining CBP data that Keri Wood and Simite Wooden entries were made during the POR specified by the Department's regulations.³

Period of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A), the POR for the new shipper reviews of Keri Wood and Simite Wooden is December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015.

Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and the information on the record, the Department finds that the requests submitted by Keri Wood and Simite Wooden meet the threshold requirements for initiation of new shipper reviews for the shipments of multilayered wood flooring from the PRC produced and exported by these companies.9 However, if the information supplied by Keri Wood and Simite Wooden is later found to be incorrect or insufficient during the course of this proceeding, the Department may rescind the review or apply adverse facts available pursuant to section 776 of the Act, depending upon the facts on record.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department will publish the notice

of initiation of a new shipper review no later than the last day of the month following the anniversary or semiannual anniversary month of the order. The Department intends to issue the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews no later than 180 days from the date of initiation, and the final results no later than 90 days from the issuance of the preliminary results. 10

It is the Department's usual practice, in cases involving non-market economies, to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an AD rate separate from the country-wide rate provide evidence of de jure and de facto absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly, the Department will issue questionnaires to Keri Wood and Simite Wooden which will include a section requesting information with regard to these companies' export activities for separate rates purposes. The review of each exporter will proceed if the response provides sufficient indication that it is not subject to either *de jure* or de facto government control with respect to its export of subject merchandise.

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise from Keri Wood and Simite Wooden, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because Keri Wood and Simite Wooden claimed that they produced and exported the subject merchandise, the Department will apply the bonding privilege only for subject merchandise that the respondent both produced and exported. To assist in its analysis of the bona fides of Keri Wood and Simite Wooden sales, upon initiation of this new shipper review, the Department will require Keri Wood and Simite Wooden to submit on an ongoing basis complete transaction information concerning any sales of subject merchandise to the United States that were made subsequent to the POR.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in these new shipper reviews should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 19 CFR 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 21, 2016.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–01644 Filed 1–26–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–570–905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance,

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the "Department") has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), for the period of review ("POR"), June 1, 2013, to May 31, 2014. On July 22, 2015, the Department published the preliminary results of this review, and received no comments from interested parties. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The Department continues to determine that Zhaoqing Tifo New Fibre Co., Ltd. ("Zhaoqing Tifo") failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate for the POR, and thus, is a part of the PRC-wide

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone, 202.482.2243.

entity, and that Takayasu Industrial

reviewable entries during the POR.

(Jiangyin) Co., Ltd. ("Takayasu") had no

DATES: Effective Date: January 27, 2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain polyester staple fiber. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") numbers 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive. 1

Continued

⁷ See Keri Wood Initiation Request at Exhibit 1; see also Simite Wooden Initiation Request at Exhibit Req-1.

⁸ See January 15, 2016, Memoranda to the File, regarding "U.S. Customs and Border Protection Data" for Keri Wood and Simite Wooden; see also Memorandum to the File entitled, "Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Jiangsu Keri Wood Co., Ltd. ("Keri Wood Initiation Checklist") dated concurrently with this notice; Memorandum to the File entitled, "Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Zhejiang Simite Wooden Co., Ltd." ("Simite Wooden Initiation Checklist'') dated concurrently with this notice. As noted in the Simite Wooden Initiation Checklist, the Department is seeking additional information regarding the entry forming the basis for Simite Wooden's new shipper review.

⁹ See Keri Wood Initiation Checklist; see also Simite Wooden Initiation Checklist.

¹⁰ See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

¹ For a full description of the scope, *see* Decision Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy

Background

On July 22, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review.² On November 17, 2015, we extended the final results to January 18, 2016.³ No party submitted comments on the *Preliminary Results*.

Final Results of Review

A. Takayasu

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, Takayasu submitted a no-shipment letter which stated that it only had one entry of subject merchandise during the POR, which was a sample sale.⁴ For these final results, because the record contains no evidence to the contrary, we continue to find Takayasu's single entry constitutes a sample shipment that lacked consideration, and thus Takayasu did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR.

Consistent with the Department's assessment practice in non-market economy ("NME") cases,5 where a respondent has no entries during the period of review, it is appropriate not to rescind the review in part in this circumstance but, rather, to complete the review with respect to that respondent and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.⁶ Accordingly, the Department has completed the review with respect to Takayasu and will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.7 For the final results, we will instruct CBP to

Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Preliminary Results of 2013–2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China," dated June 30, 2015 ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum").

- ² See Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014, 80 FR 43392 (July 22, 2015) ("Preliminary Results").
- ³ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, through James Doyle, Office Director, from Javier Barrientos, Case Analyst, "Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated November 17, 2015.
- ⁴ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4–5; see also Takayasu's September 29, 2014 submission.
- ⁵ See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) ("NME Reseller Policy").
- ⁶ See, e.g., Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Rescission, In Part, of Administrative Review and Final Results of New Shipper Review; 2013, 80 FR 34619 (June 17, 2015).
 - ⁷ See NME Reseller Policy.

liquidate Takayasu's sample entry without regard to antidumping duties.

B. Zhaoqing Tifo

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, Zhaoqing Tifo did not respond to the antidumping duty questionnaire and failed to establish its eligibility for a separate rate.8 As such, consistent with the Department's practice regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity,9 we determine that Zhaoqing Tifo remains part of the PRC-wide entity. Under this practice, the PRCwide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is not subject to change. Therefore, for the final results, we will instruct CBP to liquidate Zhaoqing Tifo's entries at the rate previously established for the PRC-wide entity, 44.30 percent.

Assessment Rates

Because Takayasu was found to have no reviewable transactions, and because Zhaoqing Tifo did not respond to the antidumping duty questionnaire, and is thus a part of the PRC-wide entity, we have not calculated any assessment (or cash deposit) rates in this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"): (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity, which is 44.30 percent; and (3) for all non-PRC

exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 15, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–01646 Filed 1–26–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive License

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), intends to

⁸ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4.

⁹ See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963, 65970 (November 4, 2013).