

User assistance is available for eLibrary and other aspects of FERC's Web site during normal business hours. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

**Annual Update of Filing Fees**

(Issued January 12, 2016)

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is issuing

this document to update filing fees that the Commission assesses for specific services and benefits provided to identifiable beneficiaries. Pursuant to 18 CFR 381.104, the Commission is establishing updated fees on the basis of the Commission's Fiscal Year 2015 costs. The adjusted fees announced in this document are effective February 18, 2016. The Commission has determined, with the concurrence of the Administrator of the Office of

Information and Regulatory Affairs of the Office of Management and Budget, that this final rule is not a major rule within the meaning of section 251 of Subtitle E of Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, 5 U.S.C. 804(2). The Commission is submitting this final rule to both houses of the United States Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States.

The new fee schedule is as follows:

**Fees Applicable to the Natural Gas Policy Act**

1. Petitions for rate approval pursuant to 18 CFR 284.123(b)(2). (18 CFR 381.403) .....	\$12,430
---	----------

**Fees Applicable to General Activities**

1. Petition for issuance of a declaratory order (except under Part I of the Federal Power Act). (18 CFR 381.302(a)) .....	\$24,980
2. Review of a Department of Energy remedial order:	
<i>Amount in controversy</i>	
\$0-9,999. (18 CFR 381.303(b)) .....	\$100
\$10,000-29,999. (18 CFR 381.303(b)) .....	\$600
\$30,000 or more. (18 CFR 381.303(a)) .....	\$36,460
3. Review of a Department of Energy denial of adjustment:	
<i>Amount in controversy</i>	
\$0-9,999. (18 CFR 381.304(b)) .....	\$100
\$10,000-29,999. (18 CFR 381.304(b)) .....	\$600
\$30,000 or more. (18 CFR 381.304(a)) .....	\$19,120
4. Written legal interpretations by the Office of General Counsel. (18 CFR 381.305(a)) .....	\$7,160

**Fees Applicable to Natural Gas Pipelines**

1. Pipeline certificate applications pursuant to 18 CFR 284.224. (18 CFR 381.207(b)) .....	* \$1,000
--	-----------

**Fees Applicable to Cogenerators and Small Power Producers**

1. Certification of qualifying status as a small power production facility. (18 CFR 381.505(a)) .....	\$21,480
2. Certification of qualifying status as a cogeneration facility. (18 CFR 381.505(a)) .....	\$24,310

\* This fee has not been changed.

**List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 381**

Electric power plants, Electric utilities, Natural gas, reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Issued: January 12, 2016.

**Anton C. Porter,**  
*Executive Director.*

In consideration of the foregoing, the Commission amends part 381, chapter I, title 18, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

**PART 381—FEES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 381 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 717-717w; 16 U.S.C. 791-828c, 2601-2645; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 7101-7352; 49 U.S.C. 60502; 49 App. U.S.C. 1-85.

**§ 381.302 [Amended]**

■ 2. In § 381.302, paragraph (a) is amended by removing “\$24,730” and adding “\$24,980” in its place.

**§ 381.303 [Amended]**

■ 3. In § 381.303, paragraph (a) is amended by removing “\$36,100” and adding “\$36,460” in its place.

**§ 381.304 [Amended]**

■ 4. In § 381.304, paragraph (a) is amended by removing “\$18,920” and adding “\$19,120” in its place.

**§ 381.305 [Amended]**

■ 5. In § 381.305, paragraph (a) is amended by removing “\$7,090” and adding “\$7,160” in its place.

**§ 381.403 [Amended]**

■ 6. Section 381.403 is amended by removing “\$12,310” and adding “\$12,430” in its place.

**§ 381.505 [Amended]**

■ 7. In § 381.505, paragraph (a) is amended by removing “\$21,260” and adding “\$21,480” in its place and by removing “\$24,070” and adding “\$24,310” in its place.

[FR Doc. 2016-00842 Filed 1-15-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 165**

[Docket Number USCG-2015-1101]

RIN 1625-AA00

**Safety Zone; RICHLAND, Apra Harbor/Philippine Sea, GU**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary moving safety zone for navigable waters within a 1000-yards ahead of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel and 500 yards abeam and 500 yards astern of the dry-dock. The safety zone is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards created by the movement of the drydock from Guam waters. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Guam.

**DATES:** This rule is effective without actual notice from January 19, 2016

through 6:00 p.m. January 31, 2016. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 8:00 a.m. December 30, 2015 through January 19, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2015–1101 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email Chief Kristina Gauthier, Waterways Management Office, Sector Guam, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 671–355–4866, email [Kristina.M.Gauthier@uscg.mil](mailto:Kristina.M.Gauthier@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
E.O. Executive order  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
Pub. L. Public Law  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because doing so would be impracticable. The final details for this removal of this dry-dock were not known to the Coast Guard until there was insufficient time remaining before the operation to publish an NPRM. Thus, delaying the effective date of this rule to wait for a comment period to run would be impracticable because it would inhibit the Coast Guard’s ability to protect vessels and waterway users from the hazards associated with this operation.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making it effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. For the same reasons discussed in the preceding paragraph,

waiting for a 30 day notice period to run would be impracticable.

##### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The Captain of the Port Guam (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the movement of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND starting 8:00 a.m. December 30, 2015 will be a safety concern for anyone within 1000-yards ahead of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel and 500 yards abeam and 500 yards astern while transiting Guam waters. This rule is needed to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in the navigable waters within the safety zone while the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel are in transit in Guam waters.

##### IV. Discussion of the Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from 8:00 a.m. December 30, 2015 through 6:00 p.m. January 31, 2016. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters within 1000 yards ahead of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel and 500 yards abeam and 500 yards astern while transiting Guam waters. The duration of the zone is intended to protect personnel, vessels, and the marine environment in these navigable waters while the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel are in transit. No vessel or person will be permitted to enter the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative.

##### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders (E.O.s) related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and E.O.s, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

###### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

E.O.s 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under E.O. 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, and duration of the safety zone. Vessel traffic will be able to safely transit

around this safety zone during the majority of this evolution which will impact a small designated area of Apra Harbor, Guam and the Philippine Sea for less than 24 hours. The transit through the mouth of the entrance to Apra Harbor will be the most restricted portion due to the limited space for maneuvering. Moreover, the Coast Guard will issue Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone and the rule allows vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.

###### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the safety zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A. above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under E.O. 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in E.O. 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone to be enforced for less than 24 hours that will prohibit entry within

1000 yards ahead of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel and 500 yards abeam and 500 yards astern. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T14–1101 to read as follows:

#### § 165. T14–1101 Safety Zone; RICHLAND, Apra Harbor/Philippine Sea, GU.

(a) *Location.* The following areas, within the Guam Captain of the Port (COTP) Zone (See 33 CFR 3.70–15), from the surface of the water to the ocean floor, is a moving safety zone: All navigable waters within 1000 yards ahead of the Dry-Dock RICHLAND and its towing vessel and 500 yards abeam and 500 yards astern from departure from Wharf “P” to 12 miles from Orote Point, Guam.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 8 a.m. on December 30, 2015 through 6 p.m. on January 31, 2016.

(c) *Enforcement period.* This section is enforced from the time the vessel departs Wharf “P” until it is 12 miles from Orote Point, Guam.

(d) *Regulations.* The general regulations governing safety zones contained in § 165.23 apply. No vessels may enter or transit the safety zone

unless authorized by the COTP or a designated representative thereof.

(e) *Enforcement.* Any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer, and any other COTP representative permitted by law, may enforce these temporary safety zones.

(f) *Waiver.* The COTP may waive any of the requirements of this section for any person, vessel, or class of vessel upon finding that application of the safety zone is unnecessary or impractical for the purpose of maritime security.

(g) *Penalties.* Vessels or persons violating this rule are subject to the penalties set forth in 33 U.S.C. 1232 and 50 U.S.C. 192.

Dated: December 23, 2015.

**James B. Pruett,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Guam.*

[FR Doc. 2016–00863 Filed 1–15–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 13–249; FCC 15–142]

### Revitalization of the AM Radio Service

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission adopted a number of procedures and procedural changes designed to assist AM broadcasters to better serve the public, thereby advancing the Commission’s fundamental goals of localism, competition, and diversity in broadcast media.

**DATES:** Effective February 18, 2016, except for the amendment to 47 CFR 73.1560, which contains new or modified information collection requirements that require approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), and which will become effective after the Commission publishes a document in the **Federal Register** announcing such approval and the relevant effective date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Doyle, Chief, Media Bureau, Audio Division, (202) 418–2700 or [Peter.Doyle@fcc.gov](mailto:Peter.Doyle@fcc.gov); Thomas Nessinger, Senior Counsel, Media Bureau, Audio Division, (202) 418–2700 or [Thomas.Nessinger@fcc.gov](mailto:Thomas.Nessinger@fcc.gov). For additional information concerning the Paperwork Reduction Act information