

CHANGES MADE IN RD16-2-000

Reliability standard	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden and cost per response	Total annual burden (hours) and cost	Total annual cost per respondent
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(\$)
FERC-725P (Reduction due to Replacement of PRC-005-3)^{10 11}						
One-time review of existing plant and substation sites to determine which ones fall under PRC-005-3.	¹² 937	- 1	- 937	2 hrs.; \$146	- 1,874 hrs.; - \$136,802	- 146.00
One-time review and adjustment of existing program.	¹³ 288	- 1	- 288	8 hrs.; \$584	- 2,304 hrs.; - \$168,192	- 584.00
Total Reduction to FERC-725P	- 1,225	- 4,178 hrs.; - \$304,994.
FERC-725P1						
Replacement of PRC-005-4 ^{14 15} —One-time review of sudden pressure relay maintenance program and adjustment (Burden Reduction).	1,287	- 1	- 1,287	8 hrs.; \$522.72	- 10,296 hrs.; - \$672,740.64	- 522.72
Implementation of PRC-005-6—One-time review of existing plant and substation sites to determine which ones fall under PRC-005-6 (Burden Increase).	¹⁶ 937	1	937	2 hrs.; \$145	1,874 hrs.; \$135,397	144.50
Implementation of PRC-005-6—One-time review and adjustment of existing program for reclosing relays and associated equipment (Burden Increase).	288	1	288	8.5 hrs.; \$614	2,448 hrs.; \$176,868	614.00
Implementation of PRC-005-6—One-time review and adjustment of existing program for sudden pressure relays (Burden Increase).	1,287	1	1,287	8 hrs.; \$531.60	10,296 hrs.; \$684,169.20	531.60
Total Net Increase to FERC-725P1	2,512	4,332 hrs.; \$323,693.56
Total Net Change, due to RD16-2	0	144 hrs.; \$18,699

Dated: December 29, 2015.
Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.
 [FR Doc. 2015-33125 Filed 1-4-16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

¹⁰ The estimates for cost per response are derived using the following formula: Average Burden Hours per Response * \$73 per Hour = Average Cost per Response. The hourly cost figure comes from the average of the salary plus benefits for a manager and an engineer (rounded to the nearest dollar). The figures are taken from the Bureau of Labor Statistics at (http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm).

¹¹ Implemented in Docket RM14-8.
¹² This figure reflects the generator owners and transmission owners identified in the NERC Compliance Registry as of May 28, 2014.

¹³ This figure is a subset of GOs and TOs, as discussed in Order 803 (Docket No. RM14-8), P 41.

¹⁴ Implemented in Docket RM15-9.

¹⁵ The estimates for cost per response are derived using the following formula: Average Burden Hours per Response * \$65.34 per Hour = Average Cost per Response. The hourly cost figure comes from the average of the salary plus benefits for an engineer (rounded to the nearest dollar). The figures are taken from the Bureau of Labor Statistics at (http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics3_221000.htm).

¹⁶ This figure reflects the generator owners and transmission owners identified in the NERC Compliance Registry as of May 28, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL16-21-000]

C.P. Crane LLC; Notice of Institution of Section 206 Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

On December 29, 2015, the Commission issued an order in Docket No. EL16-21-000, pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 824e (2012), instituting an investigation into the justness and reasonableness of C.P. Crane LLC's reactive power rate schedule. *C.P. Crane LLC*, 153 FERC ¶ 61,348 (2015).

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL16-21-000, established pursuant to section 206(b) of the FPA, will be the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 29, 2015.
Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.
 [FR Doc. 2015-33124 Filed 1-4-16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP15-490-000, CP15-490-001]

Delfin LNG, LLC; Notice of Scoping for the Proposed Delfin LNG Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) is cooperating with the U.S. Coast Guard (Coast Guard), the lead federal agency for environmental review of the Delfin LNG Project. This proposal involves the construction and operation of an offshore liquefied natural gas (LNG) deepwater port (under the jurisdiction of the Coast Guard and the Maritime Administration) and associated pipeline facilities, including about 1.1 mile of onshore pipeline and aboveground facilities under the Commission's jurisdiction. FERC staff is assisting the Coast Guard in its preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Delfin LNG Project. This cooperative effort is to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), which requires the Commission to take into account the