

would not be independently reported on in any reports resulting from this project.

*Estimate of the Total Annual Reporting and Record Keeping Burden Resulting from the Collection of Information*—NHTSA estimates that the total time for each respondent to participate in the data collection effort would either be 5 minutes or 15 minutes depending on eligibility and desire to participate. NHTSA estimates a 50% response rate, in which case 9,600 potential participants would be administered the recruitment questionnaire in order to find 4,800 eligible volunteers to complete the awareness survey questionnaire. The total burden for the participants that would only complete the recruitment questionnaire would be 400 hours (*i.e.*, 5 minutes  $\times$  4,800). The total burden for the participants that would complete the recruitment questionnaire and the awareness survey questionnaire would be 1,200 hours (*i.e.*, 15 minutes  $\times$  4,800). The total burden for all participants would be 1,600 hours (*i.e.*, 400 + 1,200). Because participants would be sampled from Department of Motor Vehicles Offices while they are waiting for service, participation would not include any participant reporting cost, record keeping cost, or record keeping burden.

*Comments are invited on the following:*

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection;

(iii) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. Section 3506(c)(2)(A).

Issued on: December 4, 2015.

**Jeff Michael,**

*Associate Administrator, Research and Program Development.*

[FR Doc. 2015-30995 Filed 12-8-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### Petition for Exemption From the Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard; Jaguar Land Rover North America LLC

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Grant of petition for exemption.

**SUMMARY:** This document grants in full the Jaguar Land Rover North America LLC's, (Jaguar Land Rover) petition for an exemption of the Jaguar XE vehicle line in accordance with 49 CFR part 543, *Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard*. This petition is granted because the agency has determined that the antitheft device to be placed on the line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR part 541, *Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard* (Theft Prevention Standard).

**DATES:** The exemption granted by this notice is effective beginning with the 2017 model year (MY).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Carlita Ballard, Office of International Policy, Fuel Economy and Consumer Programs, NHTSA, W43-439, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Ballard's phone number is (202) 366-5222. Her fax number is (202) 493-2990.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In a petition dated October 9, 2015, Jaguar Land Rover requested an exemption from the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard for the Jaguar XE vehicle line beginning with MY 2017. The petition requested an exemption from parts-marking pursuant to 49 CFR part 543, *Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard*, based on the installation of an antitheft device as standard equipment for the entire vehicle line.

Under 49 CFR part 543.5(a), a manufacturer may petition NHTSA to grant an exemption for one vehicle line per model year. In its petition, Jaguar Land Rover provided a detailed description and diagram of the identity, design, and location of the components of the antitheft device for the Jaguar XE vehicle line. Jaguar Land Rover stated that the MY 2017 Jaguar XE vehicle line will be equipped with a passive, transponder based, electronic engine immobilizer antitheft device as standard

equipment. Key components of its antitheft device will include a power train control module (PCM), instrument cluster, body control module (BCM), remote frequency receiver (RFR), remote frequency actuator (RFA), immobilizer antenna unit (IAU), Smart Key, and door control units (DCU). Jaguar Land Rover stated that its antitheft device will also be installed with an audible and visual perimeter alarm system as standard equipment. If unauthorized entry is attempted by opening the vehicle's hood, trunk or doors, the alarm will sound and the vehicle's exterior lights will flash. Jaguar Land Rover also stated that the perimeter alarm system can be armed either with the Smart Key or programmed to be passively armed.

Jaguar Land Rover's submission is considered a complete petition as required by 49 CFR 543.7, in that it meets the general requirements contained in § 543.5 and the specific content requirements of § 543.6.

The immobilizer device is automatically activated when the Smart Key is removed from the vehicle. Deactivation occurs once the driver approaches the vehicle by pulling on the driver's door handle or using the Smart Key unlock button to unlock the doors. Jaguar Land Rover stated that the Smart Key is programmed and synchronized to the vehicle through the means of a unique identification key code and a randomly generated secret code that is unique to each vehicle.

In addressing the specific content requirements of § 543.6, Jaguar Land Rover provided information on the reliability and durability of its proposed device. To ensure reliability and durability of the device, Jaguar Land Rover conducted tests based on its own specified standards. Jaguar Land Rover provided a detailed list of the tests conducted (*i.e.*, temperature and humidity cycling, high and low temperature cycling, mechanical shock, random vibration, thermal stress/shock tests, material resistance tests, dry heat, dust and fluid ingress tests). Jaguar Land Rover stated that it believes that its device is reliable and durable because it has complied with specified requirements for each test. Jaguar Land Rover stated that reliability and durability of its device is further supported by equipping its vehicles with a key recognition sequence that has over a billion code combinations with encrypted data that are secure against duplication. Jaguar Land Rover stated that the coded data transfer between the modules that will be installed on its XE vehicles use a unique, secure identifier, a random number and a secure public algorithm. Jaguar Land Rover further

stated that since the Jaguar XE vehicle line will utilize a push button vehicle ignition, it does not have a conventional mechanical key barrel which would allow for forcible bypass of the key-locking system.

Jaguar Land Rover stated that there will be three methods of system operation for its XE vehicle line. Specifically, operation of the engine is accomplished when either the Smart Key is automatically detected by the vehicle, the vehicle is unlocked using the Smart Key unlock button or by using the emergency key blade. Jaguar Land Rover stated that automatic detection of the Smart key method occurs when authentication of the correct Smart Key via a low frequency to remote frequency challenge response sequence occurs after the driver/operator approaches the vehicle, pulls the driver's door handle, and unlocks the doors. When the driver presses the ignition start button, a search begins to find and authenticate the Smart Key within the vehicle interior. Jaguar Land Rover stated that if this is successful, the information is passed through a coded data transfer to the BCM via the RFA. Then, the BCM will pass the valid key status to the instrument cluster, send the "key valid" message to the PCM, initiate a coded data transfer and authorize the engine to start. Method two of unlocking the vehicle with the Smart Key unlock button occurs when the driver approaches the vehicle; presses the Smart Key unlock button and unlocks the doors. Jaguar Land Rover stated that once the driver presses the ignition start button, the operation process is the same as method one. Jaguar Land Rover stated that if the Smart Key has a discharged or damaged battery, the driver/operator can use method three of removing an emergency key blade from the Smart Key to unlock the doors. After using this method, once the driver presses the ignition start button, a search begins to find and authenticate the Smart Key within the vehicle interior. If this is unsuccessful, the Smart Key needs to be docked under the foot well lamp on the driver's side knee bolster. Once the Smart Key is placed in the correct position and the ignition start button is pressed again, the BCM and Smart Key enter a coded data exchange via the immobilizer antenna unit. The BCM passes the valid key status to the instrument cluster, via a code data transfer, and then the BCM sends the "key valid" message to the PCM initiating a coded data transfer. If successful, the engine will start the vehicle.

Jaguar Land Rover stated that the Jaguar XE is a new vehicle line and

therefore theft rate data is not available. Jaguar Land Rover further stated that its immobilizer antitheft device is substantially similar to the antitheft device installed on the Jaguar XF-Type, Land Rover Discovery Sport, Jaguar F-Type, Jaguar XJ, and the Land Rover Range Rover Evoque vehicle lines which have all been granted parts-marking exemptions by the agency. Jaguar Land Rover stated that based on MY 2012 theft information published by NHTSA, the Jaguar Land Rover vehicles equipped with immobilizers had a combined theft rate of 0.81 per thousand vehicles, which is below NHTSA's overall theft rate of 1.13 thefts per thousand vehicles. Using an average of 3 MYs data (2011–2013), NHTSA's theft rates for the Jaguar XF-Type, Jaguar XJ and the Land Rover Range Rover Evoque are 0.7237, 1.1466 and 0.4495 respectively. Theft data for the Jaguar F-Type and the Land Rover Discovery Sport is not available. Jaguar Land Rover believes these low theft rates demonstrate the effectiveness of the immobilizer device. Additionally, Jaguar Land Rover notes a Highway Loss Data Institute news release (July 19, 2000) showing approximately a 50% reduction in theft for vehicles installed with an immobilizer device. The agency agrees that the device is substantially similar to devices installed on other vehicle lines for which the agency has already granted exemptions.

Based on the supporting evidence submitted by Jaguar Land Rover on its device, the agency believes that the antitheft device for the Jaguar XE vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard (49 CFR 541). The agency concludes that the device will provide the five types of performance listed in § 543.6(a)(3): Promoting activation; attract attention to the efforts of an unauthorized person to enter or move a vehicle by means other than a key; preventing defeat or circumvention of the device by unauthorized persons; preventing operation of the vehicle by unauthorized entrants; and ensuring the reliability and durability of the device.

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 33106 and 49 CFR 543.7 (b), the agency grants a petition for exemption from the parts-marking requirements of Part 541 either in whole or in part, if it determines that, based upon substantial evidence, the standard equipment antitheft device is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of Part 541. The agency finds that Jaguar Land Rover has

provided adequate reasons for its belief that the antitheft device for the Jaguar XE vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard (49 CFR part 541). This conclusion is based on the information Jaguar Land Rover provided about its device.

For the foregoing reasons, the agency hereby grants in full Jaguar Land Rover's petition for exemption for the Jaguar XE vehicle line from the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR part 541. The agency notes that 49 CFR part 541, Appendix A–1, identifies those lines that are exempted from the Theft Prevention Standard for a given model year. 49 CFR part 543.7(f) contains publication requirements incident to the disposition of all Part 543 petitions. Advanced listing, including the release of future product nameplates, the beginning model year for which the petition is granted and a general description of the antitheft device is necessary in order to notify law enforcement agencies of new vehicle lines exempted from the parts-marking requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard. If Jaguar Land Rover decides not to use the exemption for this line, it must formally notify the agency. If such a decision is made, the line must be fully marked according to the requirements under 49 CFR parts 541.5 and 541.6 (marking of major component parts and replacement parts).

NHTSA notes that if Jaguar Land Rover wishes in the future to modify the device on which this exemption is based, the company may have to submit a petition to modify the exemption. Part 543.7(d) states that a Part 543 exemption applies only to vehicles that belong to a line exempted under this part and equipped with the antitheft device on which the line's exemption is based. Further, Part 543.9(c)(2) provides for the submission of petitions "to modify an exemption to permit the use of an antitheft device similar to but differing from the one specified in that exemption."

The agency wishes to minimize the administrative burden that Part 543.9(c)(2) could place on exempted vehicle manufacturers and itself. The agency did not intend in drafting Part 543 to require the submission of a modification petition for every change to the components or design of an antitheft device. The significance of many such changes could be *de minimis*. Therefore, NHTSA suggests that if the manufacturer contemplates making any changes, the effects of which might be characterized as *de*

*minimis*, it should consult the agency before preparing and submitting a petition to modify.

Issued in Washington, DC under authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.95.

**Raymond R. Posten,**

*Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.*

[FR Doc. 2015-30930 Filed 12-8-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. AB 331 (Sub-No. 2X)]

#### The Bi-State Development Agency of the Missouri-Illinois Metropolitan District—Abandonment Exemption—in the City of St. Louis, MO

The Bi-State Development Agency of the Missouri-Illinois Metropolitan District (Metro) has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR part 1152 subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon 1.43 miles of rail line extending from milepost 1.8 to milepost 3.23 within the City of St. Louis, Missouri (the Line). The Line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 63110 and 63108.

Metro has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the Line for at least two years; (2) no overhead traffic has moved over the Line for at least two years, and if there were any overhead traffic, it could be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the Line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court, or has been decided in favor of complainant within the two-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7(c) (environmental report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial

assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on January 8, 2016, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,<sup>1</sup> formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),<sup>2</sup> and interim trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by December 21, 2015. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by December 29, 2015, with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to Metro's representative: James C. Hetlage, Lashly & Baer, P.C., 714 Locust Street, St. Louis, MO 63101.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio.

Metro has filed a combined environmental and historic report that addresses the effects, if any, of the abandonment on the environment and historic resources. OEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by December 14, 2015. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to OEA (Room 1100, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423-0001) or by calling OEA at (202) 245-0305. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), Metro shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by Metro's filing of a notice of consummation by December 9, 2016, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

<sup>1</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Serv. Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C. 2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>2</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which is currently set at \$1,600. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "[WWW.STB.DOT.GOV](http://WWW.STB.DOT.GOV)."

Decided: December 4, 2015.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Brendetta S. Jones,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2015-31006 Filed 12-8-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket Number: RITA-2008-0002]

#### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology (OST-R); Agency Information Collection Activity; Notice of Renewal To Continue To Collect Information: Confidential Close Call Reporting for a Transit System

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research Technology (OST-R), U.S. Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the intention of the BTS to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the continuation of the following information collection: Confidential Close Call Reporting for a Transit System. This data collection effort supports a multi-year program focused on improving transit safety by collecting and analyzing data and information on close calls and other unsafe occurrences in the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) system. The program is co-sponsored by WMATA's Office of the Deputy General Manager Operations (DGMO) and the President/Business Agent of the Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU) Local 689. It is designed to identify safety issues and propose preventative safety actions based on voluntary reports of close calls submitted confidentially to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), U.S. Department of Transportation. This information collection is necessary to aid WMATA/ATU in systematically collecting and analyzing data to identify root causes of potentially unsafe events.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** *BTS seeks public* comments on its proposed continuation of information collection. Comments