

containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please consult the Commission's rules, as amended, 76 FR 61937 (October 6, 2011) and the Commission's Handbook on Filing Procedures, 76 FR 62092 (October 6, 2011), available on the Commission's Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: October 1, 2015.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Information Hearing Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-25469 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Federal Air Pollution Prevention and Control Act (Clean Air Act)**

On September 30, 2015 the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of the District of Columbia in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Tractor Supply Company, Inc. and Tractor Supply Company of Texas, L.P.*, Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-01589.

The United States' complaint, filed simultaneously with the proposed Consent Decree, seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations that govern the importation, sale, offering for sale, or otherwise introducing into commerce, of recreational vehicles and small non-road spark-ignition engines. The complaint alleges that the defendants imported and then offered for sale, sold, or otherwise introduced into commerce, 28,265 recreational vehicles and small

non-road spark ignition engines between 2006 and 2009 that were not properly certified as compliant with the Clean Air Act's applicable regulations, and failed to provide complete and accurate information in response to an EPA request for information. The proposed Consent Decree requires the defendants to pay a civil penalty of \$775,000, to implement a project to mitigate the effects of air pollution emissions arising from the sale of the allegedly noncompliant vehicles and engines, and to implement a corporate compliance plan including inspections, emissions and catalyst testing, and training and reporting requirements for both imported and domestically-produced products.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Tractor Supply Company, Inc. and Tractor Supply Company of Texas, L.P.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-10153. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$21.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the appendices and signature pages, the cost is \$8.25.

**Karen Dworkin,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-25419 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act**

On September 29, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of California in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Shell Oil Company*, Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-07619-R (AGRx).

The United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed this lawsuit under CERCLA for performance of response action to address Operable Unit 1 of the Del Amo Superfund Site, and for cost recovery. The Site, the location of a former synthetic rubber plant, is in Los Angeles County, California.

On September 29, 2015, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") also filed a complaint against the United States of America and Shell Oil Company under CERCLA for cost recovery with regard to Del Amo Superfund Site Operable Unit 1. *California Department of Toxic Substances Control v. United States of America, et al.* (C.D. Cal.), Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-07636.

The proposed consent decree would resolve the claims alleged in the complaint of the United States and in the complaint of the DTSC, and provides for the implementation of a remedy that EPA and DTSC will oversee. The Consent Decree requires Shell Oil Company to implement the remedy selected by EPA for Operable Unit 1. EPA's selected remedy for Operable Unit 1, which addresses soil and non-aqueous phase liquid, includes capping and implementation of soil vapor extraction, building engineering controls, in-situ chemical oxidation, and institutional controls. The settlement further provides for Shell Oil Company to pay EPA \$1,200,000 for past response costs, and to pay DTSC \$63,993.81 for past response costs, and also to pay EPA and DTSC future response costs of overseeing the implementation of the remedial action. The proposed settlement includes the U.S. General Services Administration as a settling federal agency as the successor to the former federal government owners of the plant, and provides that the United States will reimburse Shell Oil Company for a portion of the costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney