

coverage estimates at national and sub-national levels. In addition, MAFCS will evaluate the in-office address canvassing operation and provide continuous updates to the MAF for current surveys and the Census. MAFCS leverages existing Census Bureau programs and systems to achieve these objectives. Data collection for MAFCS will occur using DAAL and DAAL staff; hence, there will be a large increase to the DAAL operation workload.

II. Method of Collection

The primary method of data collection for most operations/evaluations will be personal observation or personal interview by FRs using the operation/evaluation's listing form or questionnaire. In some cases, the interview could be by telephone callback if no one was home during the initial visit.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607-0809.

Form Number: Some form numbers for activities have not yet been assigned.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

FY16: 60,000 HH

2,000 GQs

FY17: 60,000 HH

2,000 GQs

FY18: 60,000 HH

2,000 GQs

Estimated Time per Response: 3 min/HH; 10 min/GQ

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours:

FY16: 3,333

FY17: 3,333

FY18: 3,333

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

Legal Authority: Title 13 United States Code, Sections 141 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 2, 2015.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015-25506 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review and new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) for the administrative review and new shipper reviews is September 1, 2013, through August 31, 2014. The Department preliminarily determines that China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co., Ltd. (China Kingdom), Deyan Aquatic Products and Food Co., Ltd. (Deyan Aquatic), Hubei Yuesheng Aquatic Products Co., Ltd. (Hubei Yuesheng), and Weishan Hongda Aquatic Food Co., Ltd (Weishan Hongda) have not made sales of subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value. With respect to Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Ocean), see section below entitled "*Separate Rate for a Non-Selected Company.*"

DATES: Effective Date: October 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla (China Kingdom), Andre Gziryan (Deyan Aquatic), Bryan Hansen (Hubei Yuesheng) or Catherine Cartsos (Weishan Hongda), AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477, (202) 482-2201, (202) 482-3683, or (202) 482-1757, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, which is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10, 1605.40.10.90, 0306.19.00.10, and 0306.29.00.00. On February 10, 2012, the Department added HTSUS classification number 0306.29.01.00 to the scope description pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). While the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹

Methodology

The Department conducted these reviews in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export Price is calculated in accordance with section 772(c) of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy (NME) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions are identical in content.

¹ See the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China" dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Separate Rate for a Non-Selected Company

Shanghai Ocean is the only exporter of crawfish tail meat from the PRC that demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate which was not selected for individual examination in this administrative review. The calculated rates of the respondents selected for individual examination are all zero. We conclude that, in this case a reasonable method for determining the rate for the non-selected company, Shanghai Ocean, is to apply the average of the zero margins calculated for the two mandatory respondents in the administrative review, China Kingdom and Deyan Aquatic. For a detailed discussion, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Reviews

The Department determines that the following preliminary dumping margins exist for the administrative review covering the period September 1, 2013, through August 31, 2014:

Producer/exporter	Weighted average dumping margin (percent)
China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co., Ltd	0.00
Deyan Aquatic Products and Food Co., Ltd	0.00
Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd	0.00

As a result of the new shipper reviews, the Department preliminarily determines that dumping margins of 0.00 percent exist for merchandise produced and exported by Hubei Yuesheng Aquatic Products Co., Ltd. and for merchandise produced and exported by Weishan Hongda Aquatic Food Co., Ltd. covering the period September 1, 2013, through August 31, 2014.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed in these preliminary results to parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice.² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.³ Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the

argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs, as specified by 19 CFR 351.309(d).

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. All documents must be filed electronically using ACCESS which is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁴ Requests should contain (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone or email the date, time, and location of the hearing.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department will issue the final results of these reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by these reviews.⁶ If a respondent's weighted average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent) in the final results of these reviews, the Department will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and, where possible, the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in the *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., on the

basis of monthly average-to-average comparisons using only the transactions associated with the importer with offsets being provided for non-dumped comparisons.⁷ Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁸

Pursuant to the Department's assessment practice in NME cases,⁹ for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of these reviews.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these reviews for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of these reviews (except if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, then no cash deposit will be required) (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

With respect to Hubei Yuesheng, a new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company

⁷ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

⁹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

² See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

consistent with its practice as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Hubei Yuesheng, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for Hubei Yuesheng in the final results of the NSR; (2) for subject merchandise exported by Hubei Yuesheng, but not produced by Hubei Yuesheng, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Hubei Yuesheng but not exported by Hubei Yuesheng, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter.

With respect to Weishan Hongda, a new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company consistent with its practice as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Weishan Hongda, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for Weishan Hongda in the final results of the NSR; (2) for subject merchandise exported by Weishan Hongda, but not produced by Weishan Hongda, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Weishan Hongda but not exported by Weishan Hongda, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these PORs. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing the preliminary results of these reviews in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B)(iv), 751(a)(3), 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), 351.214 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 30, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Bona Fides Analysis

5. Verification
6. Non-Market-Economy Country Status
7. Surrogate Country
8. Separate Rates
9. Absence of De Jure Control
10. Absence of De Facto Control
11. Separate Rate for a Non-Selected Company
12. Fair Value Comparisons
13. U.S. Price
14. Normal Value
15. Surrogate Values
16. Currency Conversion
17. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-25412 Filed 10-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 14-1A004]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Issuance of an Amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to DFA of California, Application no. 14-1A004.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce, through the Office of Trade and Economic Analysis ("OTEA") of the International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to DFA of California on September 17, 2015. The original Certificate was issued on March 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etca@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2015). OTEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary to publish a summary of the certificate in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the

United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of the Amendment to the Certificate: Add the following six companies as Members of DFA's Certificate, with respect to the covered products listed below:

- Walnuts: CR Crain and Sons, Inc. (Los Molinos, CA); RPC Packing, Inc. (Porterville, CA); CAPEX (Corning, CA)
- Prunes: Taylor Brothers Farms, Inc (Yuba City, CA); RPC Packing, Inc. (Porterville, CA); Sun-Maid Growers of California (Kingsburg, CA)
- Figs: Fig Garden Packing, Inc. (Fresno, CA)

DFA's Export Trade Certificate of Review complete amended Membership is listed below:

1. Alpine Pacific Nut Company, Hughson, CA
2. Andersen & Sons Shelling, Vina, CA
3. Avanti Nut Company, Inc., Stockton, CA
4. Berberian Nut Company, LLC, Chico, CA
5. Carriere Family Farms, Inc., Glenn, CA
6. California Almond Packers and Exporters (CAPEX), Corning, CA
7. Continente Nut LLC, Oakley, CA
8. C. R. Crain & Sons, Inc., Los Molinos, CA
9. Crain Walnut Shelling, Inc., Los Molinos, CA
10. Crisp California Walnuts, Stratford, CA
11. Diamond Foods, Inc., Stockton, CA
12. Empire Nut Company, Colusa, CA
13. Fig Garden Packing, Inc., Fresno, CA
14. Gold River Orchards, Inc., Escalon, CA
15. Grower Direct Nut Company, Hughson, CA
16. GSF Nut Company, Oroshi, CA
17. Guerra Nut Shelling Company, Hollister, CA
18. Hill View Packing Company Inc., Gustine, CA
19. Linden Nut Company, Linden, CA
20. Mariani Nut Company, Winters, CA
21. Mariani Packing Company, Inc., Vacaville, CA
22. Mid Valley Nut Company Inc., Hughson, CA
23. National Raisin Company, Fowler, CA
24. Poindexter Nut Company, Selma, CA
25. Prima Noce Packing, Linden, CA
26. RPC Packing Inc., Porterville, CA
27. Sacramento Packing, Inc., Yuba City, CA
28. Sacramento Valley Walnut Growers, Inc., Yuba City, CA
29. San Joaquin Figs, Inc., Fresno, CA
30. Shoei Foods USA, Inc., Olivehurst, CA