

a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov, no later than October 21, 2015.

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the Committee suggests that public presentation materials or comments be forwarded before the meeting to Ms. Springer.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on March 23, 2015, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 (10)(d))), that the portion of the meeting concerning trade secrets and commercial or financial information deemed privileged or confidential as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) and the portion of the meeting concerning matters the disclosure of which would be likely to frustrate significantly implementation of an agency action as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482-2813.

Dated: September 21, 2016.

Yvette Springer,
Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015-24365 Filed 9-24-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT- P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Emerging Technology and Research Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Emerging Technology and Research Advisory Committee (ETRAC) will meet on October 15-16, 2015, 8:45 a.m., Room 3884, at the Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street between Pennsylvania and Constitution Avenues NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on emerging technology and research activities, including those related to deemed exports.

Agenda

Thursday, October 15

Open Session

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Discussion and Reports—
Wassenaar Arrangement 2013 Plenary Agreements Implementation: Intrusion and Surveillance items proposed technology control under ECCN 4E001.c
3. Background of the Proposed Rule
4. Review of Public Comments on the Proposed Rule
5. Presentations by industry and individuals on proposed ECCN 4E001.c entry to control “technology for the development of intrusion software”
6. Comments from the Public participating in person or by telephone
7. Presentation on CRISPR/Cas9 concept of editing genes
8. Continued discussions on ECCN 4E001.c

Friday, October 16

Closed Session

9. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

The open sessions will be accessible via teleconference to 25 participants on a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov no later than, October 8, 2015.

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate the distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials prior to the meeting to Ms. Springer via email.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on February 25, 2015, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, that the portion of the meeting dealing with matters the of which would be likely to frustrate significantly implementation of a proposed agency action as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (9) (B) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 sections 10(a)1 and 10(a) (3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482-2813.

Dated: September 21, 2015.

Yvette Springer,
Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015-24390 Filed 9-24-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-602-808]

Silicomanganese From Australia: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) preliminarily determines that silicomanganese from Australia is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), as provided in section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”). The period of investigation is January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014. The estimated weighted-average dumping margins are shown in the “Preliminary Determination” section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 25, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4162 or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the notice of initiation of this investigation on March 17, 2015.¹ Pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, the Department postponed this preliminary LTFV determination by a period of 50 days.²

¹ See *Silicomanganese From Australia: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 80 FR 13829 (March 17, 2015).

² See *Silicomanganese From Australia: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 80 FR 35304 (June 19, 2015).

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines, and slag. Silicomanganese is properly classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Low-carbon silicomanganese is excluded from the scope of this investigation. Low-carbon silicomanganese is classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.30.0000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the investigation is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized

Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Department’s Central Records Unit, located at room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum³ can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 731 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

All Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated “all others” rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated

weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, if the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually examined are zero, *de minimis*, or determined based entirely under section 776 of the Act, the Department may use any reasonable method to establish the estimated dumping margin for all other producers or exporters.

We based our calculation of the “All Others” rate on the margin calculated for Tasmanian Electro Metallurgical Company Pty Ltd. (“TEMCO”), the only mandatory respondent in this investigation.

Preliminary Determination

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Tasmanian Electro Metallurgical Company Pty Ltd	11.93
All Others	11.93

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of any public announcement of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the final verification report is issued in this proceeding. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.⁴ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the

date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined. See 19 CFR 351.310(d). Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

We received a request from the mandatory respondent, TEMCO, that we postpone the final determination and extend the application of the provisional measures prescribed under section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR

351.210(e)(2), from a four-month period to a six-month period. Accordingly, we are postponing our final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination, pursuant to section 735(a)(2) of the Act.⁵ The suspension of liquidation described below will be extended accordingly.⁶

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to suspend liquidation of all entries of silicomanganese from Australia as described in the scope of the investigation section entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to section 733(d)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(d), we will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds CEP as

³ See Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Silicomanganese from Australia,” dated concurrently with this notice. A list of the

topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum appears in Appendix I, below.

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309.

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2) and (e); See also See Letter from TEMCO, “Silicomanganese from

Australia: Request for Postponement of Final Determination,” dated September 8, 2015.

⁶ *Id.*

indicated in the chart above.⁷ These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission (“ITC”) Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our preliminary affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because the preliminary determination in this proceeding is affirmative, section 735(b)(2) of the Act requires that the ITC make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of silicomanganese from Australia before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination. Because we are postponing the deadline for our final determination to 135 days from the date of publication of this preliminary determination, as discussed above, the ITC will make its final determination no later than 45 days after our final determination.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: September 17, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Period of Investigation
4. Postponement of Preliminary Determination
5. Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures
6. Scope of the Investigation
7. Scope Comments
8. Discussion of Methodology
 - A. Fair Value Comparisons
 - A. Determination of Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
9. Product Comparisons
10. Date of Sale
11. Constructed Export Price
12. Normal Value
 - A. Comparison Market Viability
 - B. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm’s-Length Test
 - C. Level of Trade
 - D. Cost of Production (COP)
 - a. Calculation of COP
 - b. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
 - c. Results of the COP Test

⁷ See *Modification of Regulations Regarding the Practice of Accepting Bonds During the Provisional Measures Period in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 76 FR 61042 (October 3, 2011).

- E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
- F. Calculation of Normal Value Based on CV
13. Currency Conversion
14. U.S. International Trade Commission Notification
15. Disclosure and Public Comments
16. Verification
17. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015–24449 Filed 9–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–943]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 28, 2015, the United States Court of International Trade (“CIT”) issued its final judgment¹ sustaining the Department of Commerce’s (the “Department”) redetermination² issued pursuant to the CIT’s remand order in *American Tubular Products, LLC v. United States*, Ct. No. 13–00029, Slip Op. 14–116 (CIT September 26, 2014) (“Remand Order”), with respect to the Department’s amended final results³ of the 2010–2011 antidumping duty administrative review of certain oil country tubular goods (“OCTG”) from the People’s Republic of China. Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“CAFC”) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (“*Timken*”), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (“*Diamond Sawblades*”), the Department is notifying the public that

¹ See *American Tubular Products, LLC v. United States*, Court No. 13–00029, Slip Op. 15–98 (CIT August 28, 2015) (“ATP”).

² See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *American Tubular Products, LLC v. United States*, Court No. 13–00029 (January 28, 2015) (“Remand Redetermination”).

³ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2010–2011, 77 FR 74644 (December 17, 2012), as amended by, *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2010–2011, 78 FR 9033 (February 7, 2013) (collectively, “AR 1 Final Results”).

the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department’s amended final results of review and is amending the AR 1 Final Results with respect to the margin determined for Jiangsu Chengde Steel Tube Share Co., Ltd. (“Chengde”), an exporter and producer of subject merchandise.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Subsequent to the publication of the AR 1 Final Results, Chengde filed a complaint with the CIT challenging aspects of the methodology used to determine its margin in the AR 1 Final Results.

On September 26, 2014, the CIT issued the Remand Order, instructing the Department to re-visit its decision to value most of Chengde’s billet as alloy steel in the underlying review. Specifically with respect to Chengde’s billets, the Court instructed the Department to: (1) Reevaluate the chemical composition of OCTG sold in certain contracts, (2) explain whether Chengde’s mill test certificates prove the chemical properties of OCTG not specifically covered by those certificates, (3) assess whether Chengde’s entry summary as provided in American Tubular Products, LLC’s application to receive information under administrative protective order proves that the OCTG in one contract was comprised of carbon steel, and (4) recalculate the percentage of Chengde’s steel billets that were alloy steel or carbon steel in accordance with this analysis.⁴ In addition, at the Department’s request, the CIT remanded the additional issue of the surrogate value used to value carbon steel billets to reconsider whether it is aberrational.⁵

On January 28, 2015, the Department issued its Remand Redetermination. Consistent with the CIT’s instructions in the Remand Order, the Department recalculated the total quantity of carbon steel billets consumed by Chengde to produce subject merchandise during the period of review and explained why the surrogate value used for carbon steel billets in the AR 1 Final Results was not aberrational.⁶

⁴ See Remand Order at 14.

⁵ *Id.* at 16–17.

⁶ See Remand Redetermination at 2.