

Contract No. 89-00-1501-	Customer	Capacity allocation	Average energy	Cost allocation factor
1223	Mecklenburg EMC	11,344	30,806,162	6.940303%
1224	Northern Neck EC	3,944	10,572,278	2.381823%
1225	Northern Virginia EC	3,268	8,875,341	1.999521%
860	Pee Dee EMC	2,968	8,839,562	1.991460%
861	Piedmont EMC	1,086	3,234,540	0.728708%
862	Pitt & Greene EMC	1,580	4,705,697	1.060144%
1226	Prince George EC	2,530	6,781,913	1.527893%
863	Randolph EMC	3,608	10,745,666	2.420885%
1227	Rappahannock EC	22,427	60,450,624	13.618889%
1233	Roanoke EMC	5,528	14,904,403	3.357805%
1228	Shenandoah Valley EMC	9,938	26,943,520	6.070091%
864	South River EMC	6,119	18,224,150	4.105709%
1229	Southside EC	14,575	39,381,017	8.872128%
865	Tideland EMC	680	2,025,236	0.456264%
1234	Tideland EMC	2,418	6,554,050	1.476558%
870	Town of Apex	145	109,482	0.024665%
871	Town of Ayden	208	157,049	0.035381%
893	Town of Belhaven	182	137,418	0.030959%
872	Town of Benson	120	90,605	0.020412%
1212	Town of Blackstone	389	292,568	0.065912%
873	Town of Clayton	161	121,562	0.027387%
1213	Town of Culpepper	391	297,916	0.067117%
894	Town of Edenton	775	585,159	0.131830%
1214	Town of Elkton	171	128,609	0.028974%
1218	Town of Enfield	259	194,810	0.043889%
874	Town of Farmville	237	178,946	0.040315%
876	Town of Fremont	60	45,303	0.010206%
896	Town of Hamilton	40	30,202	0.006804%
897	Town of Hertford	203	153,274	0.034531%
898	Town of Hobgood	46	34,732	0.007825%
877	Town of Hookerton	30	22,651	0.005103%
879	Town of La Grange	93	70,219	0.015820%
868	Town of Louisburg	857	2,552,452	0.575041%
883	Town of Pikeville	40	30,202	0.006804%
884	Town of Red Springs	117	88,340	0.019902%
1207	Town of Richlands	500	377,569	0.085062%
899	Town of Robersonville	232	175,170	0.039464%
900	Town of Scotland Neck	304	229,533	0.051711%
886	Town of Selma	183	138,173	0.031129%
887	Town of Smithfield	378	285,407	0.064299%
901	Town of Tarboro	2,145	1,619,568	0.364872%
888	Town of Wake Forest	149	112,501	0.025345%
1217	Town of Wakefield	106	79,723	0.017961%
1219	Town of Windsor	331	248,946	0.056085%
866	Tri-County EMC	3,096	9,220,782	2.077345%
867	Wake EMC	2,164	6,445,017	1.451994%
Total		196,500	443,873,428	

Energy to be Furnished by the Government:

The Government will sell to the Customer and the Customer will purchase from the Government energy each billing month equivalent to a percentage specified by contract of the energy made available to the Facilitator (less any losses required by the Facilitator). The customer's contract demand and accompanying energy will be allocated proportionately to its individual delivery points served from the Facilitator's system.

Billing Month:

The billing month for power sold under this schedule shall end at 12:00

midnight on the last day of each calendar month.

[FR Doc. 2015-24196 Filed 9-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9934-35-Region 10]

Issuance of NPDES General Permit for Tribal Marine Net Pen Enhancement Facilities in Washington State (Permit Number WAG132000)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of final NPDES General Permit.

SUMMARY: The Director, Office of Water and Watersheds, EPA Region 10 is publishing notice of availability of the final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Tribal Marine Net Pen Enhancement Facilities in Washington State (General Permit). The General Permit authorizes discharges to Waters of the U.S. within the State of Washington. The General Permit contains effluent limitations, along with administrative reporting and monitoring requirements, as well as standard conditions, prohibitions, and management practices.

DATES: The issuance date of the General Permit is September 23, 2015. The effective date of this General Permit will be November 1, 2015. Existing operators

must submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) to discharge no more than 30 days following the effective date of this general permit. New operators must submit NOIs at least 180 days prior to initiation of operations.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the General Permit and Response to Comments are available through written requests submitted to EPA, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, OWW-191, Seattle, WA 98101. Electronic requests may be sent to: washington.audrey@epa.gov. For requests by phone, call Audrey Washington at (206) 553-0523.

The General Permit, Fact Sheet, and Response to Comments may be found on the Region 10 Web site at <http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/water.nsf/npdes+permits/general+npdes+permits/>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Gockel, Office of Water and Watersheds, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Mail Stop OWW-191, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101-3140, at (206) 553-0325 or gockel.catherine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531 et al.]: EPA has analyzed the discharges proposed to be authorized by the General Permit, and their potential to adversely affect threatened or endangered species or their designated critical habitat areas in the vicinity of the discharges. Based on this analysis, EPA has determined that the issuance of this permit will have no effect to any threatened or endangered species in the vicinity of the discharge. Therefore, ESA consultation was not required.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.] and Other Federal Requirements: Regulations at 40 CFR 122.49 list the federal laws that may apply to the issuance of permits *i.e.*, ESA, National Historic Preservation Act, the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments (CZARA), NEPA, and Executive Orders, among others. The NEPA compliance program requires analysis of information regarding potential impacts, development and analysis of options to avoid or minimize impacts, and development and analysis of measures to mitigate adverse impacts. EPA determined that no Environmental Assessments (EAs) or Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) are required under NEPA. EPA also determined that CZARA does not apply.

Essential Fish Habitat (EFH): The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Management and Conservation Act requires EPA to consult with NOAA-NMFS when a proposed discharge has the potential to

adversely affect a designated EFH. The EFH regulations define an adverse effect as “any impact which reduces quality and/or quantity of EFH . . . [and] may include direct (*e.g.* contamination or physical disruption), indirect (*e.g.* loss of prey, reduction in species’ fecundity), site-specific or habitat-wide impacts, including individual, cumulative, or synergistic consequences of actions.” NMFS may recommend measures for attachment to the federal action to protect EFH; however, such recommendations are advisory, and not prescriptive in nature. EPA has evaluated the General Permit and has made the determination that issuance of the General Permit will have no effect on EFH.

Executive Order 12866: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this action from the review requirements of Executive Order 12866 pursuant to Section 6 of that order.

Economic Impact [Executive Order 12291]: The EPA has reviewed the effect of Executive Order 12291 on this General Permit and has determined that it is not a major rule pursuant to that Order.

Paperwork Reduction Act [44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.]: The EPA has reviewed the requirements imposed on regulated facilities in the General Permit and finds them consistent with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Regulatory Flexibility Act [5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.]: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) requires that EPA prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis for rules subject to the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act [APA, 5 U.S.C. 553] that have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. However, EPA has concluded that NPDES General Permits are not rulemakings under the APA, and thus not subject to APA rulemaking requirements or the RFA.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Section 201 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, generally requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions (defined to be the same as rules subject to the RFA) on tribal, state, and local governments, and the private sector. However, General NPDES Permits are not rules subject to the requirements of the APA, and are, therefore, not subject to the UMRA.

Appeal of Permit

Any interested person may appeal the General Permit in the Federal Court of Appeals in accordance with section 509(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33

U.S.C. 1369(b)(1). This appeal must be filed within 120 days of the General Permit issuance date. Affected persons may not challenge the conditions of the General Permit in further EPA proceedings (see 40 CFR 124.19). Instead, they may either challenge the General Permit in court or apply for an individual NPDES permit.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of Section 402 of the Clean Water Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1342.

Dated: September 9, 2015.

Daniel D. Opalski,

Director, Office of Water & Watersheds, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2015-23477 Filed 9-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 15-1002]

Disability Advisory Committee; Announcement of Next Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces the date of the next meeting of the Commission’s Disability Advisory Committee (Committee or DAC). The meeting is open to the public. During this meeting, members of the Committee will receive and discuss summaries of activities and recommendations from its subcommittees.

DATES: The Committee’s next meeting will take place on Thursday, October 8, 2015, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (EST).

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, in the Commission Meeting Room.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine Gardner, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau: 202-418-0581 (voice); email: DAC@fcc.gov; or Suzy Rosen Singleton, Alternate DAC Designated Federal Officer, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau: 202-510-9446 (VP/voice), at the same email address: DAC@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established in December 2014 to make recommendations to the Commission on a wide array of disability matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission, and to facilitate the participation of people with disabilities in proceedings before the Commission. The Committee is organized under, and operated in accordance with, the provisions of the Federal Advisory