

■ 25. In § 580.80, revise paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 580.80 Paperwork Reduction Act statement—information collection.

* * * * *

(e) Send comments regarding any aspect of the collection of information under this part, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166.

PART 581—LEASING OF MINERALS OTHER THAN OIL, GAS, AND SULPHUR IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

■ 26. The authority citation for part 581 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Section 104, Public Law 97–451, 96 Stat. 2451 (30 U.S.C. 1714), Public Law 109–432, Div C, Title I, 120 Stat. 3000; 30 U.S.C. 1751; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334; 33 U.S.C. 2704, 2716; E.O. 12777, as amended; 43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq., 43 U.S.C. 1337.

■ 27. In § 581.33, revise the first sentence in paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 581.33 Bonds and bonding requirements.

* * * * *

(b) All bonds to guarantee payment of the deferred portion of the high cash bonus bid furnished by the lessee must be in a form or on a form approved by the Deputy Director. * * *

* * * * *

PART 582—OPERATIONS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF FOR MINERALS OTHER THAN OIL, GAS, AND SULPHUR

■ 28. The authority citation for part 582 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Section 104, Public Law 97–451, 96 Stat. 2451 (30 U.S.C. 1714), Public Law 109–432, Div C, Title I, 120 Stat. 3000; 30 U.S.C. 1751; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334; 33 U.S.C. 2704, 2716; E.O. 12777, as amended; 43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq., 43 U.S.C. 1337.

■ 29. In § 582.40, revise the first sentence in paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 582.40 Bonds.

* * * * *

(b) All bonds furnished by a lessee or operator must be in a form approved by the Deputy Director. * * *

* * * * *

PART 585—RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ALTERNATE USES OF EXISTING FACILITIES ON THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

■ 30. The authority citation for part 585 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Section 104, Public Law 97–451, 96 Stat. 2451 (30 U.S.C. 1714), Public Law 109–432, Div C, Title I, 120 Stat. 3000; 30 U.S.C. 1751; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334; 33 U.S.C. 2704, 2716; E.O. 12777, as amended; 43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq., 43 U.S.C. 1337.

■ 31. In § 585.110, revise paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 585.110 How do I submit plans, applications, reports, or notices required by this part?

(a) You must submit all plans, applications, reports, or notices required by this part to BOEM at the following address: Deputy Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166.

* * * * *

■ 32. In § 585.114, revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 585.114 Paperwork Reduction Act statements—information collection.

* * * * *

(d) Comments regarding any aspect of the collections of information under this part, including suggestions for reducing the burden, should be sent to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166.

* * * * *

■ 33. In § 585.115, revise the first sentence of paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 585.115 Documents incorporated by reference.

* * * * *

(d) You may inspect these documents at the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166, 703–787–1605; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). * * *

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2015–23719 Filed 9–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2015–0835]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zone; Dredging, Rouge River, Detroit, MI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for certain waters of the Rouge River in the vicinity of Detroit, MI. This zone is intended to restrict and control movement of vessels in a portion of the Rouge River. This zone is necessary to protect vessels from potential hazards associated with dredging operations.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from September 22, 2015 until 11:59 p.m. on September 24, 2015. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 10 a.m. on August 25, 2015, until September 22, 2015.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type USCG–2015–0835 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary final rule, call or email Chief Petty Officer Jason Hampton, Prevention Department, Sector Detroit, Coast Guard; telephone 313–568–9616, email Jason.E.Hampton@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Cheryl Collins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

COTP Captain of the Port
DHS Department of Homeland Security
NAD 83 North American Datum of 1983
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

On August 23, a 300-yard section of retaining wall along the Carmeuse facility in River Rouge, MI collapsed, allowing an unknown amount of surface materials, including rock and soil to spill into the Rouge River. This material has created a hazard to navigation, by causing uncertain shifts in the channel depth, and creating a possible choke point in the river. The Army Corps of Engineer has conducted initial surveys and determined that dredging will be required to mitigate these hazards to vessel traffic.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231, 33 CFR 1.05–1 and 160.5; and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. The Captain of the Port Detroit (COTP) has determined that the spilled surface materials and dredging operations on the Rouge River pose a significant risk to public safety and property. Such hazards include potential collisions and groundings.

IV. Discussion of Rule

This rule establishes a safety zone from 10 a.m. on August 25, 2015 until 11:59 p.m. on September 24, 2015. The safety zone will encompass all waters of the Rouge River, Detroit, MI from the West Jefferson Avenue Bridge at 42°16.85' N., 083°07.72' W., proceeding East approximately 400-yards to a point mid-river at 42°16.80' N., 083°07.47' W.

Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP or a designated representative. Vessel operators must contact the COTP or his on-scene representative to obtain permission to transit through this safety zone.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes and executive orders.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Order 12866 or under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The

Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under those Orders.

We conclude that this rule is not a significant regulatory action because we anticipate that it will have minimal impact on the economy, will not interfere with other agencies, will not adversely alter the budget of any grant or loan recipients, and will not raise any novel legal or policy issues. The safety zone created by this rule will be relatively small and enforced for a relatively short time. Under certain conditions, moreover, vessels may still transit through the safety zone when permitted by the COTP or his on-scene representative.

B. Impact on Small Entities

As per the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, we have considered the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in this portion of the Rouge River near Detroit, MI from 10 a.m. August 25, 2015 until 11:59 p.m. September 24, 2015.

This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the reasons cited in the *Regulatory Planning and Review* section. Additionally, before the enforcement of the zone, the COTP would issue local Broadcast Notice to Mariners so vessel owners and operators can plan accordingly.

C. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The

Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

D. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

E. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that order and determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

F. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

G. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

H. Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

I. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to

minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

J. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

K. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

L. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

M. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

N. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the establishment of a safety zone and is therefore categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2-1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination will be prepared and submitted after publication, and will be available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T09-0835 to read as follows:

§ 165.T09-0835 Safety Zone; Dredging, Rouge River, Detroit, MI.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: All U.S. waters of the Rouge River, Detroit, MI from the West Jefferson Avenue Bridge at 42°16.85' N., 083°07.72' W., proceeding East approximately 400-yards to a point mid-river at 42°16.80' N., 083°07.47' W.

(b) *Enforcement period.* This rule is effective without actual notice from September 22, 2015 until 11:59 p.m. on September 24, 2015. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 10 a.m. on August 25, 2015, until September 22, 2015.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Detroit (COTP), via Sector Detroit Command Center or his on-scene representative.

(2) The safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the COTP, via the Command Center or his on-scene representative.

(3) The “on-scene representative” of the COTP is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer or a Federal, State, or local law enforcement officer designated by or assisting the COTP to act on his behalf.

(4) Vessel operators must contact the COTP via the Command Center to obtain permission to enter or operate within the safety zone. The COTP may be contacted via VHF Channel 16 or at 313-568-9560. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the COTP, via the Sector Command Center or his on-scene representative.

Dated: August 25, 2015.

Raymond Negron,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port Detroit.

[FR Doc. 2015-24041 Filed 9-21-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[EPA-R09-OAR-2015-0608; FRL-9934-51-Region 9]

Designation for Planning Purposes; California; PM₁₀; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is making a technical amendment to the Code of Federal Regulations to restore the inadvertent deletion of the entry for “Rest of State” from the table listing California air quality planning area designations for particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM₁₀).

DATES: This technical amendment is effective on September 22, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Wamsley, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4111, wamsley.jerry@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 19, 2013, the EPA published a direct final rule amending 40 CFR 81.305 to clarify the description of the Imperial Valley planning area, an area designated nonattainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM₁₀) (78 FR 16792). In our March 19, 2013 direct final rule, we amended the entry for “Imperial Valley planning area” but did not intend to amend any other entry in the table listing PM₁₀ air quality planning area designations for the State of California. We believe, however, that the entry appearing directly after the entry for “Imperial Valley planning area” in the “California-PM-10” table and reading “Rest of State; 11/15/90; Unclassifiable” was deleted inadvertently when the entry for “Imperial Valley planning area” was amended. For example, see and compare the “California-PM-10” table within the July 1, 2012 version to the July 1, 2013 version of 40 CFR 81.305.

Consequently, the EPA is publishing this technical amendment to restore the “Rest of State” designation entry within