

the City of Memphis, Tennessee, grantee of FTZ 77, requesting to expand Subzone 77E at the facilities of Cummins, Inc., located in Memphis, Tennessee. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on August 28, 2015.

Subzone 77E was approved on December 20, 2010 (Board Order 1735, 76 FR 87, 01/03/2011) and currently consists of one site (23.3 acres) located at 4155 Quest Way, Memphis.

The applicant is requesting authority to expand the subzone to include two additional sites: Proposed Site 2 (19.91 acres)—5800 Challenge Drive, Memphis; and, Proposed Site 3 (20.9 acres)—4650 Quality Drive, Memphis. The existing subzone and expanded portion would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 77. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 20, 2015. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to November 4, 2015.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: August 28, 2015.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–22859 Filed 9–9–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–820]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Preliminary Results of 2013–2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the Petitioner,¹ the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India (hot-rolled steel). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2013, through November 30, 2014. This review covers four companies, Ispat Industries Ltd. (Ispat), JSW Steel Ltd. (JSW), JSW Ispat Steel Ltd. (JSW Ispat), and Tata Steel Ltd. (Tata). We preliminarily determine that Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 10, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George McMahon or Eric Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1167 and (202) 482–6071, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7208.10.15.00, 7208.10.30.00, 7208.10.60.00, 7208.25.30.00, 7208.25.60.00, 7208.26.00.30, 7208.26.00.60, 7208.27.00.30, 7208.27.00.60, 7208.36.00.30, 7208.36.00.60, 7208.37.00.30, 7208.37.00.60, 7208.38.00.15, 7208.38.00.30, 7208.38.00.90, 7208.39.00.15, 7208.39.00.30, 7208.39.00.90, 7208.40.60.30, 7208.40.60.60, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.54.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7211.14.00.90,

7211.19.15.00, 7211.19.20.00, 7211.19.30.00, 7211.19.45.00, 7211.19.60.00, 7211.19.75.30, 7211.19.75.60, and 7211.19.75.90. Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings: 7225.11.00.00, 7225.19.00.00, 7225.30.30.50, 7225.30.70.00, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.11.10.00, 7226.11.90.30, 7226.11.90.60, 7226.19.10.00, 7226.19.90.00, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. Subject merchandise may also enter under 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, and 7212.50.00.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise subject to this order is dispositive.²

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

² A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, titled "Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with and adopted by this notice.

¹ The Petitioner is Nucor Corporation.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata submitted timely-filed certifications that they had no exports, sales, or entries of subject merchandise during the POR,³ and a query of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data did not show any POR entries of subject merchandise by Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata.⁴ In addition, CBP did not identify any entries of subject merchandise from Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata during the POR in response to an inquiry from the Department asking CBP for such information.⁵ Based on the foregoing, the Department preliminarily determines that Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata had no shipments of the subject merchandise, and, therefore, no reviewable transactions, during the POR.

Assessment Rate

Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003.⁶ If applicable, this clarification will apply to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced or exported by Ispat, JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata, for which these companies did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States. Furthermore, this clarification applies to all POR entries entered under the case number for Ispat,

JSW, JSW Ispat, and Tata if we continue to make a final determination of no shipments of subject merchandise, because these companies and their representatives certified that they made no POR shipments of subject merchandise for which they had knowledge of U.S. destination. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate these entries at the all others rate established in the less-than fair-value (LTFV) investigation, as amended, which is 38.72 percent,⁷ if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.⁸

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for respondents noted above, which claimed no shipments, will remain unchanged from the rates assigned to the companies in the most recently completed review of the companies; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 38.72 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation, as amended. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁹ Parties who submit comments are requested to submit: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹⁰ All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department’s electronic records system, ACCESS.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance’s ACCESS system within 30 days of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) The number of participants; and (3) A list of the issues parties intend to discuss. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.¹¹ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their case briefs, within 120 days after issuance of these preliminary results.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and increase the subsequent

³ See Letter from JSW titled, “Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: No Shipment Certification of JSW Steel Ltd.” (February 27, 2015). JSW’s letter stated, “[p]lease note that this statement applies as well to the companies listed in the Department’s initiation notice as Ispat Industries Ltd. and JSW Ispat Steel Ltd. Those companies no longer exist as separate entities, but have been merged into JSW Steel.” See Letter from Tata titled, “Antidumping Duty Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Tata Steel Limited Certification of No Shipments” (March 10, 2015); see also *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 6041 (February 4, 2015).

⁴ See Memorandum to the File titled, “Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Data Query Results,” dated February 4, 2015; see also Memorandum to the File titled, “Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Data Query Results based on Second Data Query,” dated February 24, 2015.

⁵ See CBP Message Number 5204308, dated July 23, 2015.

⁶ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

⁷ See *Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India*, 66 FR 60194 (December 3, 2001) (*Amended Final Determination*).

⁸ See *Assessment Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification; see also, e.g., *Magnesium Metal From the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989, 56990 (September 17, 2010).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2), (d)(2).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.310.

assessment of the antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping duties reimbursed.

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 2, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Results Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-22855 Filed 9-9-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-502]

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipes and Tubes From India: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel standard pipes and tubes from India for the period of review (POR) May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 10, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-0665.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 29, 2015, based on a timely request for review by Allied Tube & Conduit and JMC Steel Group, domestic interested parties and producers of certain welded carbon steel standard pipes and tubes from India,¹ the

¹ See letter from Allied Tube & Conduit and JMC Steel Group to the Department, "Circular Welded

Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel standard pipes and tubes from India with respect to Lloyds Metals & Engineers Limited and Lloyds Line Pipe Ltd., Lloyds Steel Industries Ltd., Jindal Pipes Limited, Maharashtra Seamless Limited, Ratnamani Metals Tubes Ltd., and Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.²

On August 18, 2015, Allied Tube & Conduit and JMC Steel Group withdrew their request for an administrative review.³

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. Allied Tube & Conduit and JMC Steel Group withdrew their request for review within the 90-day time limit. Because no other party requested a review, the Department is rescinding this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel standard pipes and tubes from India.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of certain welded carbon steel standard pipes and tubes from India during the POR at rates equal to the cash deposit rate of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping

Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from India: Request for Administrative Review" (May 29, 2015).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 37588 (July 1, 2015).

³ See letter from Allied Tube & Conduit and JMC Steel Group to the Department, "Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from India: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review" (August 18, 2015).

duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 3, 2015.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015-22852 Filed 9-9-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-834-807]

Silicomanganese From Kazakhstan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Kazakhstan for the period of review (POR) May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 10, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-3477.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 1, 2015, based on a timely request for review by Eramet Marietta, Inc. (Eramet) and Felman Production, LLC (Felman), domestic interested parties and producers of