

supplying related documentation regarding an application, permit, or registration.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

Dated: August 3, 2015.

Michael J. Toland,

Department of Commerce, Acting Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015-19451 Filed 8-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-19-2015]

Authorization of Production Activity; Foreign-Trade Subzone 167B; Polaris Industries, Inc. (Spark-Ignition Internal Combustion Engines); Osceola, Wisconsin

On March 30, 2015, Polaris Industries, Inc., operator of Subzone 167B, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board for its facility located in Osceola, Wisconsin.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (80 FR 19276, 4-10-2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: August 3, 2015.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-19485 Filed 8-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-839]

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP-23) from India would be likely to lead to

continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: August 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline Arrowsmith, Office VII, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 29, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the CVD order on CVP-23 from India.¹ On April 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *CVD Order* on CVP-23 from India pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On April 13, 2015, Nation Ford Chemical Company (NFC) and Sun Chemical Corporation (Sun) filed a notice of intent to participate in the review.³ NFC and Sun claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers of the domestic like product.⁴

The Department received an adequate substantive response from the domestic industry within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a response from the Government of India or any respondent interested party to the proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B)(2) and (C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited review of this *CVD Order* on CVP-23 from India.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this *CVD Order* is CVP-23. Imports of merchandise included within the scope of this order are currently classifiable under subheading 3204.17.9040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted

¹ See: *Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 77995 (December 29, 2004) (*CVD Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Review*, 79 FR 65186 (April 1, 2015).

³ See Letter from NFC and Sun to the Department, "Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India/Notice of Intent to Participate in Second Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order," dated April 13, 2015.

⁴ In its response, NFC and Sun claim to be domestic producers of CVP-23. *Id.* at 2.

by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.⁵

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail if the *CVD Order* were revoked.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *CVD Order* on CVP-23 from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a net countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers exporters/ producers	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
Alpanil Industries Ltd	14.93
Pidilite Industries Ltd	15.24
AMI Pigments Pvt. Ltd ..	33.61
All Others	18.66

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

⁵ See Department Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015-19354 Filed 8-6-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-803]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the United Arab Emirates: Negative Final Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 7, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the negative preliminary determination of circumvention of the antidumping duty order¹ on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE).² We continue to determine that imports of PET film produced by JBF Bahrain S.P.C. (JBF Bahrain) in the Kingdom of Bahrain (Bahrain) are not circumventing the *Order*, pursuant to section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.225(h).

DATES: Effective date: August 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 29, 2014, the Department initiated an anti-circumvention inquiry of the antidumping duty order on PET

film from the UAE, pursuant to section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.225(h).³ On May 7, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. On June 8, 2015, Polyplex USA LLC and FLEX USA, Inc. (Domestic Parties) and JBF Bahrain submitted timely case briefs. On June 10, 2015, the Department sent a letter to Domestic Parties, noting certain deficiencies in Domestic Parties' submission, and requesting that Domestic Parties resubmit their case brief. Domestic Parties timely resubmitted their case brief on June 11, 2015. On June 15, 2015, Domestic Parties, and DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film Inc., and SKC, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners), filed timely rebuttal briefs. On June 18, 2015, JBF Bahrain submitted a timely rebuttal brief. On July 9, 2015, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, the Department held a public hearing, following a timely request by Domestic Parties.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, whether extruded or co-extruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches thick. Also excluded is roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex. Tracing and drafting film is also excluded. Polyethylene terephthalate film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Scope of the Anti-Circumvention Inquiry

This anti-circumvention inquiry covers PET film produced in Bahrain by JBF Bahrain from inputs (PET chips and silica chips) manufactured in the UAE, and that is subsequently exported from Bahrain to the United States.

³ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Initiation of Anti-Circumvention Inquiry on Antidumping Duty Order*, 79 FR 44006 (July 29, 2014).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the comments by parties in this proceeding are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of the issues which the parties raised, to which the Department has responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix 1. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Negative Final Determination of Circumvention

In the *Preliminary Determination*, the Department preliminarily determined that the process of completion or assembly of PET film produced by JBF Bahrain in Bahrain is not minor or insignificant, within the meaning of section 781(b)(2) of the Act. After reviewing comments from interested parties, we continue to find that the process of completion or assembly is not minor or insignificant. Therefore the Department determines that PET film produced by JBF Bahrain, exported from Bahrain to the United States, is not circumventing the *Order*.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to

⁴ See Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination of Anti-Circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping Duty Order" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this determination and hereby adopted by this notice.

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Brazil, the People's Republic of China and the United Arab Emirates: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value for the United Arab Emirates*, 73 FR 66595 (November 10, 2008) (*Order*).

² See *Preliminary Negative Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates*, 80 FR 26229 (May 7, 2015) (*Preliminary Determination*), and the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.