

electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System ("ACCESS"). Access to ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum is available directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the term "certain crepe paper" includes crepe paper products that have a basis weight not exceeding 29 grams per square meter prior to being creped and, if appropriate, flame-proofed. Crepe paper has a finely wrinkled surface texture and typically but not exclusively is treated to be flame-retardant. Crepe paper is typically but not exclusively produced as streamers in roll form and packaged in plastic bags. Crepe paper may or may not be bleached, dye colored, surface-colored, surface decorated or printed, glazed, sequined, embossed, die-cut, and/or flame retardant. Subject crepe paper may be rolled, flat or folded, and may be packaged by banding or wrapping with paper, by placing in plastic bags, and/or by placing in boxes for distribution and use by the ultimate consumer. Packages of crepe paper subject to the order may consist solely of crepe paper of one color and/or style, or may contain multiple colors and/or styles. The merchandise subject to the order does not have specific classification numbers assigned to them under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Subject merchandise may be under one or more of several different HTSUS subheadings, including: 4802.30; 4802.54; 4802.61; 4802.62; 4802.69; 4804.39; 4806.40; 4808.30; 4808.90; 4811.90; 4818.90; 4823.90; 9505.90.40. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 752(c) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on crepe paper from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted-average margins up to 266.83 percent.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return of destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: July 24, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015-19355 Filed 8-5-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-838; A-570-892]

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India and the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP-23) from India and the People's Republic of China (the PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective date:* August 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kaitlin Wojnar, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at (202) 482-3857.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 29, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published the AD orders on CVP-23 from India and the PRC.¹ On April 1, 2015, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department published notice of the initiation of the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on CVP-23 from India and the PRC.² On April 13, 2015, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i), the following domestic CVP-23 producers timely notified the Department of their intent to participate in these reviews: Nation Ford Chemical Company and Sun Chemical Corporation (collectively, Petitioners).³ Petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States. On May 1, 2015, we received a complete substantive response for each review from Petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ We received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of these orders.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to this *AD Order* is CVP-23. Imports of merchandise included within the scope of this order are currently classifiable under subheading 3204.17.9040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.⁵

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 77988 (December 29, 2004); and *Antidumping Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 77987 (December 29, 2004).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 80 FR 17388 (April 1, 2015).

³ See Letters from Petitioners, "Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India/Notice of Intent to Participate in Second Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order" and "Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from the People's Republic of China/Notice of Intent to Participate in Second Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order," April 13, 2015.

⁴ See Letters from Petitioners, "Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India/Petitioners' Substantive Response" and "Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from the People's Republic of China/Petitioners' Substantive Response," May 1, 2015.

⁵ See Department Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India and the People's Republic of China" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders are revoked, are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is accessible to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Department's Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the Department's main building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be viewed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1)–(3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on CVP–23 from India and the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping up to the following weighted-average margin percentages:

Country	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
India	44.80
PRC	241.32

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 771(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: July 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–19358 Filed 8–5–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–533–825]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review under the countervailing duty (CVD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip (PET film) from India for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. We preliminarily determine that Jindal Poly Films Limited of India (Jindal) and SRF Limited (SRF) received countervailable subsidies during the POR. See the “Preliminary Results of Review” section, below. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective date:* August 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0197.

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

The Department initiated a review of eight companies in this proceeding.¹ In response to timely filed withdrawal requests, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to MTZ and Uflex pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Accordingly, the

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 51548 (August 29, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*). The seven companies were Ester Industries Limited (Ester), Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware), Jindal Poly Films Limited of India (Jindal), MTZ Polyesters Ltd. (MTZ), Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex), SRF Limited (SRF), and Vacmet. See also, *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 76956 (December 23, 2014). The one additional company was Uflex Ltd (Uflex), which was inadvertently omitted from the prior initiation notice.

companies subject to the instant review are: Ester, Garware, Polyplex, SRF, Jindal, Vacmet, and Vacmet India Limited, of which the Department has selected Jindal and SRF as the mandatory respondents.²

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the products covered are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.³ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, titled “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Countervailing Duty (CVD) Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET film) from India; 2013” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the

² See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

³ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.