

medical assistance and transportation for injured persons; agreements; reporting requirements; posting requirements).

Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit an alternative method of compliance for the existing standard because the application of the standard results in a diminution of safety. The petitioner proposes to:

1. Contract for transportation services to be available at all times when it is feasible to fly to the 4 East Portal and airlift miners from there.

2. Construct a “safe house” at the 4 East Portal for miners exiting that portal. The portal will contain food, water, medical supplies, and communication equipment.

3. Submit proposed revisions for its approved part 48 training plan to the District Manager within 60 days after the Proposed Decision and Order (PDO) becomes final. These proposed revisions will specify initial and refresher training regarding the terms and conditions stated in the PDO. The petitioner states that:

- Canyon Fuel is a large mine with a number of portals to the outside, either for transportation of miners or ventilation. The 4 East Portal was constructed to the outside in approximately 1991.
- For approximately 24 years, Canyon Fuel has routed its alternate escapeway to this portal as the safest and shortest route out of the mine.
- The escapeway at issue has been designated as an escapeway for a number of years. It has been inspected every quarter by MSHA inspectors and no issue has been raised.
- The escapeway is the shortest most practical route to the nearest mine opening suitable for the safe evacuation of miners. The alternative routes that would be available would be two to three times longer. The route to the 4 East Portal is also the least difficult of the alternate routes to travel. Such routes would require five or six self-contained self-rescuer “changeouts” as opposed to two. The route that Canyon Fuel would have to use for other routes is not as safe as the current secondary escapeway.
- In discussions with MSHA, concerning such designation, Citation Nos. 8483666 and 84807666 were issued on May 22, 2015 and May 26, 2015, respectively, pursuant to Section 104(a) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. Section 814(a), alleging violations of 30 CFR 75.1713–1(b) and 30 CFR 75.380(a), requiring the

availability of transportation from the 4 East Portal. Such citations are subjects of notices of contest.

The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method will at times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded by the existing standard.

Dated: July 27, 2015.

Sheila McConnell,

Acting Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances.

[FR Doc. 2015–18657 Filed 7–29–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Request for Comments on Improving Cybersecurity Protections in Federal Acquisitions

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: OMB’s Office of E-Government & Information Technology (E-Gov) is seeking public comment on draft guidance to improve cybersecurity protections in Federal acquisitions.

DATES: Interested parties may submit comments and feedback by the deadline listed on *policy.cio.gov*.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should provide comments at the following link: *policy.cio.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph Stuntz, OMB at *egov@omb.eop.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The threats facing Federal information systems have dramatically increased as agencies provide more services online, digitally store data, and rely on contractors for a variety of these information technology services. The Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (FISMA),¹ Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance, and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards provide agencies with a framework for securing their information and information systems regardless of where this information is stored. This information can be on government information systems, contractor information systems, and contractor information systems that are part of an Information Technology (IT) service operated on behalf of the government. The increase in threats facing Federal information systems

¹ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/113th-congress/senate-bill/2521/text>.

demand that certain issues regarding security of information on these systems is clearly, effectively, and consistently addressed in Federal contracts.

Tony Scott,

Administrator, Office of E-Government and Information Technology.

[FR Doc. 2015–18747 Filed 7–29–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed agency information collection activities, comment request.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA or Act) and its implementing regulations, the National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC or Commission) announces an opportunity for a thirty (30) day public comment on a proposed Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Data for Planning Initiatives undertaken by the NCPC. A copy of the draft supporting statement is available at *www.ncpc.gov*. Following review and disposition of public comments, NCPC will submit this generic information request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval, and additional public comment will be solicited. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. Written comments will be available for public review at *www.ncpc.gov*.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 31, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the proposed rule by either of the methods listed below.

1. *U.S. mail, courier, or hand delivery:* NCPC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

2. *Electronically:* *OIRA_Submission@obm.eop.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Director, Office of Public Engagement, National Capital Planning Commission, 401 9th Street NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20004; *info@ncpc.gov*, (202) 482–7200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal

Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for collection of information they conduct or sponsor. *Collection of information* is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records or provide information to a third party. Section 3507(b) of the PRA requires Federal Agencies to provide the public with at least 30 days to provide comments to OMB concerning each proposed collection of information. To comply with this requirement, NCPC is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, NCPC invites comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of NCPC's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NCPC's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Below is a summary of the collection activities the NCPC will submit for clearance by OMB as required under the PRA.

NCPC is the federal government's central planning agency for the National Capital Region. Pursuant to the National Capital Planning Act (40 U.S.C., 8701 *et seq.*) NCPC prepares a comprehensive plan for the National Capital Region; reviews federal and some District of Columbia proposed developments, projects and plans; reviews District zoning amendments; prepares an annual Federal Capital Improvements Program and reviews the District Capital Improvements Program. To fulfill the mission established in the National Capital Planning Act, NCPC needs to

conduct additional planning studies to inform the activities noted above.

Over the next three years, NCPC anticipates it will complete an update to elements of the "Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital," including a new urban design element; update the parks and open space element and conduct a study of parks in Washington, DC; advance an initiative for Pennsylvania Avenue; conduct regional climate adaptation and infrastructure studies; prepare one or more viewshed studies; study trail proposals; prepare commemoration studies and plans; and develop area-specific plans for federal precincts in the Monumental Core, including the SW Ecodistrict and NW Rectangle.

Other new initiatives may be proposed during the next three years. While NCPC establishes a multi-year strategic plan and a yearly work program anticipating major initiatives, the agency's work is often shaped by external factors, including new Administration directives and the planning and development decisions of other federal agencies and local governments in the region.

To fulfill the agency mission and consistent with best planning practices, NCPC's planning initiatives are predicated on receiving public input at all development stages. Public input is voluntary. The affected public may include individuals, agencies, and organizations within the National Capital Region, as well as national and even international audiences. Agency staff may receive requests from the Commission to solicit public input on specific topic areas identified as a planning process unfolds. NCPC's plans affect federal and non-federal properties, regional residents and workers, federal and local government agencies, visitors, development interests, businesses, and civic and interest-based organizations.

Based on prior experience and current practice, each initiative collects qualitative, voluntary public feedback to inform NCPC in their planning initiatives. While the specific information requested from the public cannot be determined at this time, the general nature of the collection and

collection tools used are described below. NCPC will provide more refined individual estimates of burden in subsequent notices to OMB.

To offer the public the broadest possible opportunity to comment, NCPC may ask the same questions in different formats: On line, in writing, and verbally at public meetings and focus groups. The purpose of collecting public input is to inform and shape NCPC's planning work at the earliest opportunity. Early in a planning study, public feedback is used to shape the direction and scope of the study, including possible vision and goals, study alternatives, and anticipated issues. At later stages, NCPC has often completed technical studies, and identified and developed options and alternatives for policies, physical development plans, or programs. Public input helps the agency evaluate the accuracy and usefulness of studies, and conveys preferences and responses to alternatives. Towards the end of a planning study, NCPC has typically developed early drafts of plans and policies and is seeking more detailed public comments, often on a preferred plan idea or approach. Public input is often organized around major plan/policy topics and key decisions. Public input helps the agency evaluate the full range of possible impacts and understand the preferences of the public prior to acting on a proposed policy or plan.

Information collected will be used by agency staff as they develop policy and development plans. For some initiatives, steering committees comprised of representatives from federal agencies provide advisory guidance on agency policy and development plans. These committees review and consider public input prior to providing guidance. The Commission reviews informal public input, sometimes provided in summary form, as well as formally-submitted public comments as part of their deliberations and actions on draft and final agency plans.

NCPC estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED THREE YEAR REPORTING BURDEN
[Footnote]

	Number of events	Average number of respondents/event	Number of responses	Hours/response	Total hours
Focus Groups	119	15	1,785	1.5	2,677.5
Public Meetings	57	50	2,850	1	2,850
Online comment	27	300	8,100	0.5	4,050

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED THREE YEAR REPORTING BURDEN—Continued
[Footnote]

	Number of events	Average number of respondents/ event	Number of responses	Hours/ response	Total hours
Questionnaire	15	100	1,500	0.25	375
Ideas Competition	5	400	2,000	.5	1,000
Design Charrette	3	100	300	1.5	450
Total	226	965	15,235	11,402.5

Footnote: There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

The number of respondents to be included in each new event may vary, depending on the nature of the material and the target audience. Table 1 provides examples of the types of collection tools that may be administered and estimated burden levels during the three year period. Time to read, view or listen to the subject material is built into the estimated “Total Hours.”

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Dated: July 23, 2015.

Anne R. Schuyler,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2015–18638 Filed 7–29–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7520–01–P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Privacy Act of 1974: New System of Records

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Notice of a new system of records.

SUMMARY: NCUA proposes to add a new system of records titled “Credit Union Service Organization Registry System” to its inventory of records systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. This action is necessary to meet the requirements of the Privacy Act that federal agencies publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of the existence and character of records it maintains that are retrieved by an individual identifier (5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)).

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 31, 2015. This action will be effective without further notice on September 8, 2015 unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods, but please send comments by one method only:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *NCUA Web site:* http://www.ncua.gov/RegulationsOpinionsLaws/proposed_regs/proposed_regs.html. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* Address to regcomments@ncua.gov. Include “[Your name]—Comments on CUSO Registry SORN” in the email subject line.

- *Fax:* (703) 518–6319. Use the subject line described above for email.

- *Mail:* Address to Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Same as mail address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Dolin, System Manager, Office of Examination & Insurance, Kevin Johnson, Staff Attorney, or Linda Dent, Senior Agency Official for Privacy, Office of General Counsel, at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314, or telephone: (703) 518–6540.

National Credit Union Administration NCUA–18

SYSTEM NAME:

Credit Union Service Organization (CUSO) Registry System

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Office of Examination and Insurance, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA. 22314–3428.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THIS SYSTEM:

Individuals responsible for the content and submission of information to the CUSO Registry System and individuals with an ownership interest in the CUSO.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Information used to identify and contact individuals covered by the system including name, address, and telephone number.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

12 U.S.C. 1756, 1757(5)(D) and (7)(I), 1766, 1781(b)(9), 1782, 1784, 1785, 1786 and 1789(11).; 12 CFR parts 712 and 741.

PURPOSE(S):

The collected information enables NCUA to identify concentrations and interdependencies between CUSOs and across supervised credit unions. It also improves the consistency and transparency of CUSO information and facilitates NCUA’s ability to identify any potential systemic safety and soundness concerns stemming from relationships between credit unions and CUSOs.

DISCLOSURE TO CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES:

None.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

NCUA may share information in this system with appropriate federal or state financial supervision authorities. Contact information is used for communication and authentication purposes. A registered CUSO may authorize other users, such as owner credit unions or affiliated CUSOs or individuals, to access its record.

POLICIES AND PRACTICE FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Records are stored electronically.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are retrieved by individual business identifiers such as business name, system-assigned registry number, unique user identification, or by an individual identifier with non-individually identifiable information.