

cultural resources and sacred sites, successes and additional opportunities for partnerships, socio-economic impacts, and customer (tribal) satisfaction.

#### 12—Compensation

This proposed section adds additional funding authorities for compensation for consultation, historic preservation.

#### 13—Training

This proposed section encourages mandated training, including alignment with recommendations in the 2012 *Report to the Secretary, USDA Policy and Procedures*

*Review and Recommendations: Indian Sacred Sites*

#### 13.3—Core Competencies

This proposed section establishes core competencies in Tribal relations.

#### 14—Exhibits

This proposed section provide copies of additional authorities for management of Indian sacred sites.

### Regulatory Certifications

#### *Environmental Impact*

These proposed directives would establish direction for Forest Service staff in working with Indian tribes and American Indian and Alaska Native individuals. Section 31.1b of Forest Service Handbook 1909.15 (57 FR 43180, September 18, 1992) excludes from documentation in an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement rules, regulations, or policies to establish service-wide administrative procedures, program processes, or instructions. The Agency's assessment for these proposed directives falls within this category of actions and that no extraordinary circumstances exist which would require preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.

#### *Regulatory Impact*

These proposed directives have been reviewed under USDA procedures and Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review. It has been determined that this is not a significant action. These proposed directives will not have an annual effect of \$100 million or more on the economy nor adversely affect productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, nor State or local governments. These proposed directives would not interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency nor raise new legal or policy issues. Finally, these

proposed directives would not alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients of such programs.

Moreover, these proposed directives have been considered in light of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), and it has been determined that these proposed directives would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined by the act because they will not impose recordkeeping requirements on them; it would not affect their competitive position in relation to large entities; and it would not affect their cash flow, liquidity, or ability to remain in the market.

#### *Federalism and Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

The Agency has considered these proposed directives under the requirements of Executive Order 13132, Federalism, and has made an assessment that these proposed directives conform with the federalism principles set out in this Executive Order; would not impose any compliance costs on the States; and would not have substantial direct effects on the States or the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, the Agency has determined that no further assessment of federalism implications is necessary at this time.

These proposed directives have tribal implications as defined by Executive Order 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments," and the 120-day consultation with Indian tribes and Alaska Native Corporations was conducted from June 6, 2013 to October 6, 2013, as required.

#### *No Takings Implications*

These proposed directives have been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights, and it has been determined that these proposed directives do not pose the risk of a taking of Constitutionally protected private property.

#### *Civil Justice Reform*

These proposed directives have been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. If these proposed directives were adopted, (1) all State and local laws and regulations that are

in conflict with these proposed directives or which would impede its full implementation would be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect would be given to these proposed directives; and (3) it would not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging its provisions.

#### *Unfunded Mandates*

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538), which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, the Agency has assessed the effects of these proposed directives on State, local, and Indian tribal governments and the private sector. These proposed directives would not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any State, local, or Indian tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the act is not required.

#### *Energy Effects*

These proposed directives have been reviewed under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. It has been determined that these proposed directives do not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the Executive Order.

#### *Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public*

These proposed directives do not contain any additional recordkeeping or reporting requirements or other information collection requirements as defined in 5 CFR part 1320 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 do not apply.

Dated: July 6, 2015.

**Thomas L. Tidwell,**  
Chief, Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 2015–17911 Filed 7–23–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3411–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Newspapers of Record for the Pacific Southwest Region: California

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Pacific Southwest Region to publish legal notices required under 36 CFR 214, 218, and 219. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions, notices of decision, and notices of opportunity to file an appeal/objection. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment, appeal, or object and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments, appeals, or objections were timely.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin on the date of this publication and remain in effect until another notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Theresa Corless, Regional Appeals/Objections Coordinator, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Regional Office, 1323 Club Drive, Vallejo, CA 94592, (707) 562-8768.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In addition to the primary newspaper listed for each unit, some Forest Supervisors and District Rangers have listed newspapers providing additional notice of their decisions. The timeframe for filing comment, appeal or an objection shall be based on the date of publication of the notice in the first (primary) newspaper listed for each unit.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

#### **Pacific Southwest Regional Office**

Regional Forester Decisions:  
Sacramento Bee, published daily in Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, for decisions affecting National Forest System lands and for any decision of Region-wide impact.

#### **Angeles National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Los Angeles Times, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Los Angeles Ranger District:  
Daily News, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.  
Newspapers providing additional notice of Los Angeles District Ranger decisions:  
Pasadena Star News, published in

Pasadena, California; and Foothill Leader, published in Glendale, California.

San Gabriel River Ranger District:  
Inland Valley Bulletin, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.  
Newspaper providing additional notice of San Gabriel River District Ranger decisions:  
San Gabriel Valley Tribune published in the eastern San Gabriel Valley, West Covina, Los Angeles County, California.  
Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District:  
Daily News, published daily in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

Newspapers providing additional notice of Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers District Ranger decisions:  
Antelope Valley Press, published in Palmdale, Los Angeles County, California; and  
Mountaineer Progress, published in Wrightwood, California.

#### **Cleveland National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
San Diego Union-Tribune, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Descanso Ranger District:  
San Diego Union-Tribune, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County, California.  
Palomar Ranger District:  
San Diego Union-Tribune, published daily in San Diego, San Diego County, California.  
Newspaper providing additional notice of Palomar District Ranger decisions:  
Riverside Press Enterprise, published daily in Riverside, Riverside County, California.  
Trabuco Ranger District:  
Riverside Press Enterprise, published daily in Riverside, Riverside County, California.  
Newspaper providing additional notice of Trabuco District Ranger decisions:  
Orange County Register, published daily in Santa Ana, Orange County, California.

#### **Eldorado National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Mountain Democrat published three-times weekly in Placerville, El Dorado County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Mountain Democrat published three-times weekly in Placerville, El Dorado County, California.

#### **Inyo National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:

Inyo Register published three-times weekly in Bishop, Inyo County, California.

District Rangers Decisions:  
Inyo Register published three-times weekly in Bishop, Inyo County, California.

#### **Klamath National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Siskiyou Daily News, published daily in Yreka, Siskiyou County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Siskiyou Daily News, published daily in Yreka, Siskiyou County, California.

#### **Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, California and Nevada**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Tahoe Daily Tribune, published three-times weekly in South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County, California.

#### **Lassen National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Lassen County Times, published weekly in Susanville, Lassen County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Eagle Lake Ranger District:  
Lassen County Times, published weekly in Susanville, Lassen County, California.  
Almanor Ranger District:  
Chester Progressive, published weekly in Chester, Plumes County, California.  
Hat Creek Ranger District:  
Intermountain News, published weekly in Burney, Shasta County, California.

#### **Los Padres National Forest, California**

Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Santa Barbara News Press, published daily in Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, California.  
District Rangers Decisions:  
Monterey Ranger District:  
Monterey County Herald, published daily in Monterey, Monterey County, California.  
Santa Lucia Ranger District:  
The Tribune, published daily in San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo County, California.  
Santa Barbara Ranger District:  
Santa Barbara News Press, published daily in Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, California.  
Ojai Ranger District:  
Ventura County Star, published daily in Ventura, Ventura County, California.  
Mt. Pinos Ranger District:  
The Mountain Enterprise, published weekly in Frazier Park, Kern

- County, California.
- Mendocino National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Chico Enterprise-Record, published daily in Chico, Butte County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Grindstone Ranger District:  
Chico Enterprise-Record, published daily in Chico, Butte County, California.
- Upper Lake and Covelo Districts:  
Ukiah Daily Journal, published daily in Ukiah, Mendocino County, California.
- Modoc National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
The Modoc County Record, published weekly in Alturas, Modoc County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
All districts:  
The Modoc County Record, published weekly in Alturas, Modoc County, California.
- Doublehead and Big Valley Districts:  
Klamath Falls Herald and News, published daily (except Mondays) in Klamath Falls, Klamath County, Oregon.
- Plumas National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Feather River Bulletin, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
- Newspaper providing additional notice for Environmental Impact Statements:  
Sacramento Bee published daily in Sacramento, Sacramento County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Beckwourth Ranger District:  
Portola Reporter, published weekly in Portola, Plumas County, California.
- Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Beckwourth District Ranger decisions:  
Feather River Bulletin, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
- Feather River Ranger District:  
Oroville Mercury Register, published daily in Oroville, Butte County, California.
- Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Feather River District Ranger decisions:  
Feather River Bulletin, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
- Mt. Hough Ranger District:  
Feather River Bulletin, published weekly in Quincy, Plumas County, California.
- Newspaper occasionally providing additional notice of Mt. Hough District Ranger decisions:
- Portola Reporter, published weekly in Portola, Plumas County, California.
- San Bernardino National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
San Bernardino Sun, published daily in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Mountaintop Ranger District—Arrowhead Area:  
Mountain News, published weekly in Blue Jay, San Bernardino County, California.
- Mountaintop Ranger District—Big Bear Area:  
Big Bear Life and Grizzly, published weekly in Big Bear, San Bernardino County, California.
- Front Country Ranger District:  
San Bernardino Sun, published daily in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.
- San Jacinto Ranger District:  
Idyllwild Town Crier, published weekly in Idyllwild, Riverside County, California.
- Sequoia National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Porterville Recorder, published daily (except Sunday) in Porterville, Tulare County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Porterville Recorder, published daily (except Sunday) in Porterville, Tulare County, California.
- Shasta-Trinity National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Record Searchlight, published daily in Redding, Shasta County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Record Searchlight, published daily in Redding, Shasta County, California.
- Sierra National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Fresno Bee, published daily in Fresno, Fresno County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Fresno Bee published daily in Fresno, Fresno County, California.
- Six Rivers National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
Times Standard, published daily in Eureka, Humboldt County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
Smith River National Recreation Area:  
Del Norte TriPLICATE, published daily in Crescent City, Del Norte County, California.
- Orleans and Lower Trinity Districts:
- The Two Rivers Tribune, published weekly in Hoopa, Humboldt County, California.
- Mad River District:  
Times Standard, published daily in Eureka, Humboldt County, California.
- Stanislaus National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
The Union Democrat, published daily (five-times weekly) in Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
The Union Democrat, published daily (five-times weekly) in Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.
- Tahoe National Forest, California**
- Forest Supervisor Decisions:  
The Union, published daily (except Sunday) in Grass Valley, Nevada County, California.
- District Rangers Decisions:  
American River Ranger District:  
Auburn Journal, published daily in Auburn, Placer County, California.
- Sierraville Ranger District:  
Mountain Messenger, published weekly in Downieville, Sierra County, California.
- Newspapers providing additional notice of Sierraville District Ranger decisions:  
Sierra Booster, published weekly in Loyalton, Sierra County, California; and  
Portola Recorder, published weekly in Portola, Plumas County, California.
- Truckee Ranger District:  
Sierra Sun, published five times weekly in Truckee, Nevada County, California.
- Yuba River Ranger District:  
The Union, published daily (except Sunday) in Grass Valley, Nevada County, California.
- Newspaper providing additional notice of Yuba River District Ranger decisions:  
Mountain Messenger, published weekly in Downieville, Sierra County, California.
- Dated: July 13, 2015.
- Barnie Gyant,**  
*Deputy Regional Forester, Pacific Southwest Region.*
- [FR Doc. 2015-18112 Filed 7-23-15; 8:45 am]
- BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**