

further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

**Sodium acifluorfen.** Draft Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments (EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0135). Sodium acifluorfen is a post-emergent herbicide registered for use on peanuts, soybeans, strawberries, and rice. EPA has completed draft human health and ecological risk assessments for all sodium acifluorfen uses. There are no anticipated human health risks of concern. The draft ecological risk assessment indicates that there is direct risk of adverse effects to non-target organisms, including fish, birds, and mammals, and species for which these taxa serve as surrogates, and non-target terrestrial plants. The assessment did not find risks of concern for aquatic plants.

**Thidiazuron.** Combined Docket Opening and Release of Draft Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessments (EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0381). Thidiazuron is a plant growth regulator registered for use as a defoliant on cotton. There are no non-agricultural uses of thidiazuron. EPA has completed a combined problem formulation/preliminary ecological risk assessment and combined scoping document/preliminary human health risk assessment for thidiazuron. No human health risks of concern were identified. The ecological risk assessment indicated potential risks of concern to birds, terrestrial-phase amphibians, reptiles, and terrestrial plants. The Agency did not complete an endangered species risk assessment.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.53(c), EPA is providing an opportunity, through this notice of availability, for interested parties to provide comments and input concerning the Agency's draft human health and ecological risk assessments for these pesticides. Such comments could address, among other things, the Agency's risk assessment methodologies and assumptions, as applied to these draft risk assessments. The Agency will consider all comments received during the public comment period and make changes, as appropriate, to the draft human health and ecological risk assessments. EPA may then issue revised risk assessments, explain any changes to the draft risk assessments, and respond to comments. In the **Federal Register** notice announcing the availability of any such revised risk assessments for these pesticides, if the revised risk assessments indicate risks

of concern, the Agency may provide a comment period for the public to submit suggestions for mitigating the risks identified in the revised risk assessments before developing a proposed registration review decision on the affected pesticide.

#### 1. Other related information.

Additional information on the individual pesticides discussed in this notice is available through the Pesticide Registration Review Status Web page, at <http://www2.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation/individual-pesticides-registration-review>. Information on the Agency's registration review program and its implementing regulation is available at <http://www2.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation>.

#### 2. Information submission requirements.

Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: June 22, 2015.

**Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,**  
Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2015-16422 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 15-679]

### Media Bureau Announces Incentive Auction Eligible Facilities and Deadline for Filing Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces each full power and Class A station facility eligible for protection in the repacking process and for relinquishment in the reverse auction (*i.e.*, "eligible facility"), as well as the date by which a licensee with a eligible facility must file a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form (FCC Form 2100, Schedule 381) (approved under OMB control under 3060-1206). An Appendix is attached to the Public Notice listing each eligible facility. The Public Notice also establishes a process for licensees to file a Petition for Eligible Entity Status in order to request that a facility not listed in the Appendix attached to the Public Notice be treated as an eligible facility.

**DATES:** The deadline for filing a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form (FCC Form 2100, Schedule 381) is July 9, 2015. The deadline for filing a Petition for Eligible Entity Status is July 9, 2015. If granted, the Bureau will notify the petitioner of the date by which it must file its Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form as part of its decision. Furthermore, if the Commission grants a petition for reconsideration of the Incentive Auction R&O and in doing so extends discretionary protection to a different facility, or a facility that is not currently listed in the Appendix attached to the Public Notice, the licensee must file a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form for each eligible facility no later than seven (7) days after release of the Commission's decision or by July 9, 2015, whichever is later.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kevin Harding, Hossein Hashemzadeh, or Evan Morris, Video Division, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Media Bureau (Bureau) announces each station facility eligible for protection in the repacking process and for relinquishment in the reverse auction (*i.e.*, eligible facility). Each eligible facility is listed in an Appendix attached to the Public Notice, which includes each eligible facility's call sign, facility identification number, community of license (city and state), license file number, channel number, type of service, and name of the licensee. The Appendix is available at [http://transition.fcc.gov/Daily\\_Releases/Daily\\_Business/2015/db0609/DA-15-679A2.pdf](http://transition.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2015/db0609/DA-15-679A2.pdf). Additionally, the Bureau announces that any licensee with a station listed in the Appendix must file an FCC Form 2100, Schedule 381 (Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form or Form), through which it will verify and certify to the accuracy of the authorization and underlying Database Technical Information for each eligible facility by July 9, 2015. "Database Technical Information" means all underlying technical data that sets forth the operational parameters of the facility, including but not limited to the technical information that may be found in the Commission's Consolidated Database System (as well as the successor Licensing Management System) and Antenna Registration System. Accordingly, when a licensee certifies on the Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form to the accuracy of underlying Database Technical Information for an eligible facility, it must review all technical information on file with the Commission related to that eligible facility. When making its certification a licensee should not limit its review solely to the information provided for each eligible facility in the Appendix.

In the Incentive Auction R&O, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) adopted rules and procedures for conducting the broadcast television incentive auction, including rules for determining which full power and Class A television station facilities would be eligible for protection in the repacking process and participation in the reverse auction. *See* Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions, GN Docket No. 12–268, *Report and Order*, 29 FCC Rcd 6567 (2014) (*Incentive Auction R&O*). The Commission also instructed the Bureau to issue a Public Notice specifying the deadline by which all full power and Class A licensees subject to either discretionary or mandatory protection, with limited exception, must either be

licensed or have an application for a license to cover the construction permit on file (FCC Form 2100, Schedules B or F/FCC Forms 302 or 302–CA) in order to qualify as an eligible facility. *Incentive Auction R&O*, 29 FCC Rcd 6651, n.615 Pursuant to that authority, the Bureau designated May 29, 2015 as the Pre-Auction Licensing Deadline. Media Bureau Designates May 29, 2015 as Pre-Auction Licensing Deadline, *Public Notice*, 30 FCC Rcd 393 (2015).

While the Appendix attached to the Public Notice is intended to represent a complete list of all Class A and full power station facilities eligible for protection in the repacking process and relinquishment in the reverse auction, if a licensee believes that the Appendix omits an eligible facility, it should file with the Commission a "Petition for Eligible Entity Status" by July 9, 2015. The petition must request that the facility be designated an eligible facility, and the caption should include the name of the licensee, station's call sign, station's community of license (city and state), facility identification number, channel number, and file number for the authorization the licensee believes should be eligible. The petitioner must explain the reason it believes the facility is eligible consistent with the Incentive Auction R&O (*e.g.*, the facility was subject to mandatory or discretionary protection). The Bureau will process petitions in an expeditious manner and inform the petitioner of its decision well in advance of the reverse auction. All petitions must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, and to the attention of Barbara A. Kreisman, Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau, Room 2–A666. An electronic copy should also be sent to Barbara Kreisman at [Barbara.Kreisman@fcc.gov](mailto:Barbara.Kreisman@fcc.gov) and to Evan Morris at [Evan.Morris@fcc.gov](mailto:Evan.Morris@fcc.gov).

To ensure a stable and accurate database, and to facilitate the repacking process, the Incentive Auction R&O specified that the Commission would require all full power and Class A television stations to verify and certify to the accuracy of the information contained in the Commission's databases with respect to their protected facilities. The R&O also directed the Bureau to develop a form and announce by Public Notice the deadline and procedures for filing the form. *Incentive Auction R&O*, 29 FCC Rcd at 6651, n.615; *Incentive Auction R&O*, 29 FCC Rcd at 6656, para. 195, n.646. Accordingly, the Bureau announces that licensees listed in the Appendix have until July 9, 2015, to file, through the Commission's Licensing Management

System (LMS), a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form (FCC Form 2100, Schedule 381). Licensees must file a separate Form for each eligible facility listed in the Appendix. If a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form for an eligible facility is not filed by July 9, 2015, we will consider the authorization in the Appendix and the underlying Database Technical Information for that facility as of May 29, 2015 to be accurate for purposes of determining protection in the repacking process and the spectrum usage rights eligible for relinquishment in the reverse auction.

If a licensee certifies in the Form that there is a discrepancy between the authorization and the underlying Database Technical Information on file with the Commission (*e.g.*, the Commission has made an error and the facility authorization listed in the Appendix or underlying Database Technical Information is incorrect), the licensee must attach an exhibit to the Form providing the correct information. The Bureau will review and correct such errors as appropriate. The Bureau will take such corrections into account for purposes of determining protection in the repacking process and the spectrum usage rights eligible for relinquishment in the reverse auction.

In the alternative, if a licensee certifies in the Form that its eligible facility has been operating with parameters at variance from those specified in the authorization listed in the Appendix and the underlying Database Technical Information, the licensee must either revise its operations to reflect the licensed parameters or file an application for modification of its facility (FCC Form 2100, Schedules A or E) and seek a Special Temporary Authorization to allow it to continue to operate with parameters at variance pending grant of its modified license. If an application for modification is filed prior to submitting the Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form, the file number of that application must be provided on the Form. However, consistent with our objective of a stable and accurate database to facilitate the repacking process, we will rely on the operating parameters as specified in the authorization listed in the Appendix and the underlying Database Technical Information. Modifications occasioned by a licensee's operating at variance from those parameters, even if granted and ultimately licensed, will not be taken into account for purposes of determining protection in the repacking process and the spectrum usage rights eligible for relinquishment in the reverse auction.

In the Incentive Auction R&O, the Commission directed the Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) to release a detailed summary of baseline coverage area and population served by each television station to be protected in the repacking process. *Incentive Auction R&O*, 29 FCC Rcd at 6635, para. 145. The final baseline released by OET will contain the final list of eligible stations based on corrections to eligible facilities resulting from their certification in the Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form and any granted Petitions for Eligible Entity Status or Petitions for Reconsideration of the Incentive Auction R&O. Several parties have filed petitions for reconsideration of the Incentive Auction R&O requesting that discretionary protection be extended to facilities not currently protected under the R&O. The Commission is currently considering those petitions and the attached Appendix is not intended to pre-judge their outcome. If the Commission grants a petition for reconsideration and extends discretionary protection to a different facility, or a facility that is not currently listed in the Appendix, the licensee must file a Pre-Auction Technical Certification Form for each eligible facility no later than seven (7) days after release of the Commission's decision or by July 9, 2015, whichever is later.

This action is taken by the Media Bureau pursuant to authority delegated by 47 CFR 0.283 of the Commission's rules.

**Barbara A. Kreisman,**

Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-16754 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0550 and OMB 3060-0560]

### Information Collections Being Submitted for Review and Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the

following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before August 7, 2015. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB, via email [Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov); and to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov) and to [Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov](mailto:Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov). Include in the comments the OMB control number as shown in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418-2918. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) go to the Web page <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review," (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the OMB control number of this ICR and then click on the ICR Reference Number. A

copy of the FCC submission to OMB will be displayed.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *OMB Control Number:* 3060-0550.

*Title:* Local Franchising Authority Certification, FCC Form 328; Section 76.910, Franchising Authority Certification.

*Form No.:* FCC Form 328.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* State, local or tribal governments; Businesses or other for-profit entities.

*Number of Respondents and Responses:* 7 respondents; 13 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 2 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* One-time reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

*Obligation To Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in section 3 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 (47 U.S.C. 543), as well as sections 4(i), 4(j), and 623 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 111 of the STELA Reauthorization Act of 2014.

*Total Annual Burden:* 26 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* None.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* No impact(s).

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

*Needs and Uses:* On June 3, 2015, the Commission released a Report and Order, MB Docket No. 15-53; FCC 15-62. The Report and Order adopted a rebuttable presumption that cable operators are subject to competing provider effective competition.

*The information collection requirements consist of:*

*FCC Form 328.* Pursuant to section 76.910, a franchising authority must be certified by the Commission to regulate the basic service tier and associated equipment of a cable system within its jurisdiction. To obtain this certification, the franchising authority must prepare and submit FCC Form 328. The Report and Order revises section 76.910 to require a franchising authority filing Form 328 to submit specific evidence demonstrating its rebuttal of the presumption in section 76.906 that the cable system is subject to competing provider effective competition pursuant to section 76.905(b)(2). The franchising authority bears the burden of submitting evidence rebutting the presumption that competing provider effective competition, as defined in section 76.905(b)(2), exists in the franchise area.