

The NPS requires the submission of NPS Form 10–405, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Report Form 1” upon exiting the park backcountry in order to collect information regarding bear sightings within GLBA. The information collected via NPS Form 10–405 includes:

- Group name;
- Take-out date;
- Detailed information for each sighting documented on the form, to include:
 - Date/time;
 - Species type
 - Total number of bears seen together (for each sighting);
 - Bear unit type;
 - Estimation of distance between visitor and bear(s);
 - Whether the bear was aware of the group;
 - Bear reaction to group;
 - Activity of group;
 - Number of observers; and
 - Location description/campsite name/GPS position/other comments.

Submission of a completed NPS Form 10–406, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Information Management (BIM) Report Form 2” is required when a bear

enters camp, approaches the group, damages gear, obtains food, and/or acts in an aggressive or threatening manner towards the group. The information collected via NPS Form 10–405 includes:

- Name and phone number of the primary person involved in the interaction;
- Group type: Park visitor, concession employee, contractor, researcher, NPS employee, or other;
- Number of people who encountered the bear;
- Corresponding sighting number on NPS Form 10–105; Location 1–28 (Backcountry vs Developed Area A and B);
- Types of vegetation in area of encounter;
- The bear’s activity when it was first observed;
- The group’s activity prior to seeing the bear;
- The bear’s initial and subsequent reaction to the group;
- Group’s response to bear’s reaction;
- Group’s distance to the bear;
- Whether food was present, and if so, if it was eaten by the bear;
- Whether property was damaged;

- Detailed description of the interaction;
- Detailed description of the bear, to include color, markings, scars, tags, etc.;
- Date, time, and duration of encounter;
- Exact location of encounter documented on map provided by GLBA, to include the latitude/longitude; and,
- Where did the individual learn about how to behave while in bear country?

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1024–New.
Title: Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Bear Sighting and Encounter Reports.

Service Form Number(s):
 NPS Form 10–405, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Report Form 1,” and NPS Form 10–406, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Information Management (BIM) Report Form 2.”

Type of Request: Collection in use without approval.

Description of Respondents:
 Backcountry and frontcountry visitors to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.

Respondent’s Obligation: Mandatory.
Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Activity	Estimated annual number of responses	Estimated completion time per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden hours
NPS Form 10–405, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Report Form 1”	50	5	4.5
NPS Form 10–406, “Tatshenshini—Alek River Bear Information Management (BIM) Report Form 2”	50	5	4.5
Totals	100	9

Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: None.

III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
 - Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
 - Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you

should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: June 22, 2015.
Madonna L. Baucum,
Information Collection Clearance Officer,
National Park Service.
 [FR Doc. 2015–15768 Filed 6–25–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–EH–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–WASO–CR–18445; PPBSADA0, PPMPAS1Y.Y00000 (155)]

Proposed Information Collection; Nomination of Properties for Listing in the National Register of Historic Places

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (National Park Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and as a part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this IC. This IC is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2015. We may not

conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: To ensure that we are able to consider your comments on this IC, we must receive them by August 25, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on the IC to Madonna L. Baucum, Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW. (Mail Stop 2601), Washington, DC 20240 (mail); or *madonna_baucum@nps.gov* (email). Please include “1024-0018” in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this IC, contact Lisa Deline, NPS Historian, National Register of Historic Places, 1849 C Street NW., (mail stop 2280), Washington, DC 20240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The National Register of Historic Places (National Register) is the official Federal list of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture. National Register properties have significance to the history of communities, States, or the Nation. The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 requires the Secretary of the Interior to maintain and expand the National Register, and to establish criteria and guidelines for including properties on the National Register. National Register properties must be considered in the planning for Federal or federally assisted projects, and listing in the National Register is required for eligibility for Federal rehabilitation tax incentives. The National Park Service administers the National Register. Nominations for listing historic properties come from State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPO), from Federal Preservation Officers (FPO), for properties owned or controlled by the United States Government, and from Tribal Historic Preservation Officers

(THPO), for properties on tribal lands. Private individuals and organizations, local governments, and American Indian tribes often initiate this process and prepare the necessary documentation. Regulations at 36 CFR 60 and 63 establish the criteria and guidelines for listing and for determining the eligibility of properties. We use three forms for nominating properties and providing documentation for the proposed listings:

- NPS Form 10-900 (National Register of Historic Places Registration Form).
- NPS Form 10-900-a (National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet).
- NPS Form 10-900-b (National Register of Historic Places Multiple Property Documentation Form).

This Notice provides additional information regarding the packages submitted to the NPS and to expand the details for our burden from the original Notice published on January 28, 2015 (80 FR 4589). The following are the five types of package submissions the NPS receives from the SHPOs, FPOs, and/or THPOs with the respective burden estimates broken down by state in Section II below:

- 36 CFR 60 and 63, National Register of Historic Places Registration Nomination Form; Continuation Sheet; NR Multiple Property Submission Multiple Property Documentation Form Submitted to State & Local Gov’t by Individuals or Households (Forms 10-900, 10-900-a and 10-900-b)—packages submitted by nonconsultants;
- Individual Nominations Submitted to State & Local Gov’t by Consultants (Forms 10-900 and 10-900-a)—Packages submitted by paid consultants;
- District Nominations Submitted to State and Local Gov’t by Consultants (Form 10-900 and 10-900-a)—Packages submitted by paid consultants;
- Nominations Submitted under Existing MPS Covers to State & Local Gov’t by Consultants (Forms 10-900 and 10-900-a)—Packages submitted by paid consultants; and

- Newly Proposed MPS Cover Document Submitted to State & Local Gov’t by Consultants (Forms 10-900-b and 10-900-a)—Packages submitted by paid consultants.

These forms and supporting documentation go to the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) of the State [or FPO, or THPO, respectively] where the property is located. The State Historic Preservation Officer, Federal Preservation Officer, or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer can take one of several options: Reject the property, ask for more information, (or in the case of the SHPO, list the property just with the State), or send the forms to us for listing on the National Register. An appeals process is also available to any person or local government for the failure or refusal of a nominating authority to nominate a property. Once NPS receive the forms, NPS conducts a similar review process.

Listing on the National Register provides formal recognition of a property’s historical, architectural, or archeological significance based on national standards used by every State. The listing places no obligations on private property owners, and there are no restrictions on the use, treatment, transfer, or disposition of private property.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1024-0018.

Expiration Date: September 30, 2015.

Title: Nomination of Properties for Listing in the National Register of Historic Places, 36 CFR 60 and 63.

Service Form Numbers: NPS 10-900, 10-900-a, and 10-900b.

Type of Request: Extension of a previously approved collection of information.

Description of Respondents: State, tribal, and local governments; businesses; nonprofit organizations; and individuals.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

	Total annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
36 CFR 60 and 63, National Register of Historic Places Registration Nomination Form; Continuation Sheet; NR Multiple Property Submission Multiple Property Documentation Form Submitted to State & Local Gov’t by Individuals or Households (submitted by “Nonconsultants”—Forms 10-900, 10-900-a and 10-900-b)				
Nonconsultants	100	100	250	25,000
Individual Nominations Submitted to State & Local Gov’t by Consultants (Forms 10-900 and 10-900-a)				
Consultants	200	635	120	76,200

	Total annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
District Nominations Submitted to State and Local Gov't by Consultants (Form 10-900 and 10-900-a)				
Consultants	100	435	230	100,050
Nominations Submitted under Existing MPS Covers to State & Local Gov't by Consultants (Forms 10-900 and 10-900-a)				
Consultants	12	75	100	7,500
Newly Proposed MPS Cover Document Submitted to State & Local Gov't by Consultants (Forms 10-900-b and 10-900-a)				
Consultants	37	37	280	10,360
Totals:	449	1,282	219,110

Detailed annual burden hour breakdown by package submission type and state:

36 CFR 60 and 63, National Register of Historic Places Registration Nomination Form; Continuation Sheet; NR Multiple Property Submission Multiple Property Documentation Form Submitted to State & Local Gov't by Individuals or Households (aka "Nonconsultant"—Forms 10-900, 10-900-a and 10-900-b)

INDIVIDUALS OR HOUSEHOLDS
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Alabama	2	2	250	500
Alaska	2	2	250	500
Arizona	2	2	250	500
Arkansas	2	2	250	500
California	2	2	250	500
Colorado	2	2	250	500
Connecticut	2	2	250	500
Delaware	2	2	250	500
Florida	2	2	250	500
Georgia	2	2	250	500
Hawaii	2	2	250	500
Idaho	2	2	250	500
Illinois	2	2	250	500
Indiana	2	2	250	500
Iowa	2	2	250	500
Kansas	2	2	250	500
Kentucky	2	2	250	500
Louisiana	2	2	250	500
Maine	2	2	250	500
Maryland	2	2	250	500
Massachusetts	2	2	250	500
Michigan	2	2	250	500
Minnesota	2	2	250	500
Mississippi	2	2	250	500
Missouri	2	2	250	500
Montana	2	2	250	500
Nebraska	2	2	250	500
Nevada	2	2	250	500
New Hampshire	2	2	250	500
New Jersey	2	2	250	500
New Mexico	2	2	250	500
New York	2	2	250	500
North Carolina	2	2	250	500
North Dakota	2	2	250	500
Ohio	2	2	250	500
Oklahoma	2	2	250	500
Oregon	2	2	250	500
Pennsylvania	2	2	250	500
Rhode Island	2	2	250	500

INDIVIDUALS OR HOUSEHOLDS—Continued
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
South Carolina	2	2	250	500
South Dakota	2	2	250	500
Tennessee	2	2	250	500
Texas	2	2	250	500
Utah	2	2	250	500
Vermont	2	2	250	500
Virginia	2	2	250	500
Washington	2	2	250	500
West Virginia	2	2	250	500
Wisconsin	2	2	250	500
Wyoming	2	2	250	500
Subtotals:	100	100	25,000

Individual Nominations Submitted to
State & Local Gov't by Consultants
(Forms 10-900 and 10-900-a)

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Alabama	4	15	120	1,800
Alaska	4	12	120	1,440
Arizona	4	12	120	1,440
Arkansas	4	12	120	1,440
California	4	12	120	1,440
Colorado	4	12	120	1,440
Connecticut	4	12	120	1,440
Delaware	4	12	120	1,440
Florida	4	12	120	1,440
Georgia	4	12	120	1,440
Hawaii	4	12	120	1,440
Idaho	4	12	120	1,440
Illinois	4	15	120	1,800
Indiana	4	12	120	1,440
Iowa	4	12	120	1,440
Kansas	4	12	120	1,440
Kentucky	4	12	120	1,440
Louisiana	4	12	120	1,440
Maine	4	12	120	1,440
Maryland	4	12	120	1,440
Massachusetts	4	15	120	1,800
Michigan	4	12	120	1,440
Minnesota	4	12	120	1,440
Mississippi	4	12	120	1,440
Missouri	4	15	120	1,800
Montana	4	12	120	1,440
Nebraska	4	12	120	1,440
Nevada	4	12	120	1,440
New Hampshire	4	12	120	1,440
New Jersey	4	12	120	1,440
New Mexico	4	12	120	1,440
New York	4	25	120	3,000
North Carolina	4	12	120	1,440
North Dakota	4	12	120	1,440
Ohio	4	12	120	1,440
Oklahoma	4	12	120	1,440
Oregon	4	12	120	1,440
Pennsylvania	4	15	120	1,800
Rhode Island	4	12	120	1,440
South Carolina	4	12	120	1,440
South Dakota	4	16	120	1,920
Tennessee	4	12	120	1,440

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS—Continued
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Texas	4	12	120	1,440
Utah	4	12	120	1,440
Vermont	4	12	120	1,440
Virginia	4	12	120	1,440
Washington	4	12	120	1,440
West Virginia	4	15	120	1,800
Wisconsin	4	12	120	1,440
Wyoming	4	12	120	1,440
Subtotals:	200	635	76,200

**District Nominations Submitted to State
and Local Gov't by Consultants (Form
10-900 and 10-900-a)**

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Alabama	2	12	230	2,760
Alaska	2	8	230	1,840
Arizona	2	8	230	1,840
Arkansas	2	8	230	1,840
California	2	8	230	1,840
Colorado	2	8	230	1,840
Connecticut	2	8	230	1,840
Delaware	2	12	230	2,760
Florida	2	8	230	1,840
Georgia	2	8	230	1,840
Hawaii	2	8	230	1,840
Idaho	2	8	230	1,840
Illinois	2	8	230	1,840
Indiana	2	8	230	1,840
Iowa	2	8	230	1,840
Kansas	2	8	230	1,840
Kentucky	2	8	230	1,840
Louisiana	2	8	230	1,840
Maine	2	8	230	1,840
Maryland	2	8	230	1,840
Massachusetts	2	12	230	2,760
Michigan	2	8	230	1,840
Minnesota	2	8	230	1,840
Mississippi	2	8	230	1,840
Missouri	2	8	230	1,840
Montana	2	8	230	1,840
Nebraska	2	8	230	1,840
Nevada	2	8	230	1,840
New Hampshire	2	8	230	1,840
New Jersey	2	8	230	1,840
New Mexico	2	8	230	1,840
New York	2	15	230	3,450
North Carolina	2	12	230	2,760
North Dakota	2	8	230	1,840
Ohio	2	12	230	2,760
Oklahoma	2	8	230	1,840
Oregon	2	8	230	1,840
Pennsylvania	2	8	230	1,840
Rhode Island	2	8	230	1,840
South Carolina	2	8	230	1,840
South Dakota	2	12	230	2,760
Tennessee	2	8	230	1,840
Texas	2	8	230	1,840
Utah	2	8	230	1,840
Vermont	2	8	230	1,840

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS—Continued
 [Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Virginia	2	12	230	2,760
Washington	2	8	230	1,840
West Virginia	2	8	230	1,840
Wisconsin	2	8	230	1,840
Wyoming	2	8	230	1,840
Subtotals:	100	435	100,050

**Nominations Submitted under Existing
 MPS Covers to State & Local Gov't by
 Consultants (Forms 10-900 and 10-
 900-a)**

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS
 [Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Alabama	0	0	100	0
Alaska	0	0	100	0
Arizona	0	0	100	0
Arkansas	0	0	100	0
California	1	6	100	600
Colorado	0	0	100	0
Connecticut	0	0	100	0
Delaware	0	0	100	0
Florida	0	0	100	0
Georgia	0	0	100	0
Hawaii	0	0	100	0
Idaho	0	0	100	0
Illinois	0	0	100	0
Indiana	0	0	100	0
Iowa	0	0	100	0
Kansas	0	0	100	0
Kentucky	0	0	100	0
Louisiana	0	0	100	0
Maine	0	0	100	0
Maryland	0	0	100	0
Massachusetts	1	7	100	700
Michigan	0	0	100	0
Minnesota	0	0	100	0
Mississippi	0	0	100	0
Missouri	1	6	100	600
Montana	0	0	100	0
Nebraska	1	6	100	600
Nevada	0	0	100	0
New Hampshire	0	0	100	0
New Jersey	0	0	100	0
New Mexico	0	0	100	0
New York	3	18	100	1,800
North Carolina	1	6	100	600
North Dakota	0	0	100	0
Ohio	1	6	100	600
Oklahoma	0	0	100	0
Oregon	1	6	100	600
Pennsylvania	1	6	100	600
Rhode Island	0	0	100	0
South Carolina	0	0	100	0
South Dakota	0	0	100	0
Tennessee	0	0	100	0
Texas	0	0	100	0
Utah	0	0	100	0
Vermont	0	0	100	0
Virginia	1	8	100	800
Washington	0	0	100	0

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS—Continued
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
West Virginia	0	0	100	0
Wisconsin	0	0	100	0
Wyoming	0	0	100	0
Subtotals:	12	75	7,500

**Newly Proposed MPS Cover Document
Submitted to State & Local Gov't by
Consultants (Forms 10-900-b and 10-
900-a)**

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Alabama	1	1	280	280
Alaska	0	0	280	0
Arizona	0	0	280	0
Arkansas	0	0	280	0
California	3	3	280	840
Colorado	0	0	280	0
Connecticut	1	1	280	280
Delaware	0	0	280	0
Florida	0	0	280	0
Georgia	0	0	280	0
Hawaii	0	0	280	0
Idaho	0	0	280	0
Illinois	0	0	280	0
Indiana	4	4	280	1,120
Iowa	3	3	280	840
Kansas	0	0	280	0
Kentucky	2	2	280	560
Louisiana	2	2	280	560
Maine	0	0	280	0
Maryland	0	0	280	0
Massachusetts	2	2	280	560
Michigan	0	0	280	0
Minnesota	0	0	280	0
Mississippi	1	1	280	280
Missouri	0	0	280	0
Montana	0	0	280	0
Nebraska	0	0	280	0
Nevada	0	0	280	0
New Hampshire	1	1	280	280
New Jersey	0	0	280	0
New Mexico	0	0	280	0
New York	5	5	280	1,400
North Carolina	0	0	280	0
North Dakota	0	0	280	0
Ohio	0	0	280	0
Oklahoma	0	0	280	0
Oregon	0	0	280	0
Pennsylvania	5	5	280	1,400
Rhode Island	0	0	280	0
South Carolina	1	1	280	280
South Dakota	0	0	280	0
Tennessee	0	0	280	0
Texas	0	0	280	0
Utah	0	0	280	0
Vermont	1	1	280	280
Virginia	1	1	280	280
Washington	0	0	280	0
West Virginia	3	3	280	840
Wisconsin	0	0	280	0

STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS—Continued
[Burden broken down by state]

	Annual respondents	Total annual responses	Average time per response (hours)	Total annual burden hours
Wyoming	1	1	280	280
Subtotals:	37	37	10,360

III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 23, 2015.

Madonna L. Baucum,
Information Collection Clearance Officer,
National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2015-15766 Filed 6-25-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-EH-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Comment Request for Information Collection for Monitoring of Short Time Compensation (STC) Grants for Program Implementation or Improvement and Promotion and Enrollment in the Program, Extension With Revisions

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (Department), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, ETA is soliciting comments concerning the continuation of the collection of data, with revisions, concerning the monitoring of grants for the STC program beyond the current expiration date of 1/31/2016. The burden estimates were revised to account for the number of states that were awarded an STC grant(s). Additionally, previous burden estimates related to the temporary financing of STC payments by the Federal Government, applying for an STC grant(s), and applying to operate a temporary Federal STC program (for states without STC programs in state law), were removed as such estimates are not applicable beyond the current expiration date.

DATES: Submit written comments to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before August 25, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Lidia Fiore, Office of Unemployment Insurance, Room S-4524, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone number: 202-693-2716 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with hearing or speech impairments may access the telephone number above via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1-877-889-5627 (TTY/TDD). Email: fiore.lidia@dol.gov. To obtain a copy of the proposed information collection

request (ICR), please contact the person listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The enactment of Public Law 112-96 (The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, referred to hereafter as “MCTRJC” or “the act”) contains Subtitle D, Short-Time Compensation Program, also known as the “Layoff Prevention Act of 2012”. The sections of the law under this subtitle concern states that participate in a layoff aversion program known as short time compensation (STC) or work sharing. Section 2164 covers grants the Federal Government provided to states for the purpose of implementation or improved administration of an STC program, or for promotion and enrollment in the program. ETA has principal oversight responsibility for monitoring the STC grants awarded to state workforce agencies (SWA). As part of the monitoring process, SWAs submit a quarterly narrative progress report (QPR). The QPR serves as a monitoring instrument to track the SWA’s progress toward completing STC grant activities. ETA also needs to allow for this reporting for proper oversight of state STC programs.

II. Review Focus

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or