



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 80

Thursday,

No. 117

June 18, 2015

---

Part XII

Department of Transportation

---

Unified Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Office of the Secretary****14 CFR Chs. I–III****23 CFR Chs. I–III****33 CFR Chs. I and IV****46 CFR Chs. I–III****48 CFR Ch. 12****49 CFR Subtitle A, Chs. I–VI, and Chs. X–XII**

[OST Docket 99–5129]

**Department Regulatory Agenda; Semiannual Summary**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DOT.  
**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Regulatory Agenda is a semiannual summary of all current and projected rulemakings, reviews of existing regulations, and completed actions of the Department. The intent of the Agenda is to provide the public with information about the Department of Transportation's regulatory activity planned for the next 12 months. It is expected that this information will enable the public to more effectively participate in the Department's regulatory process. The public is also invited to submit comments on any aspect of this Agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:****General**

You should direct all comments and inquiries on the Agenda in general to Jonathan Moss, Acting Assistant General Counsel for Regulation and Enforcement, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; (202) 366–4723.

**Specific**

You should direct all comments and inquiries on particular items in the Agenda to the individual listed for the regulation or the general rulemaking contact person for the operating administration in appendix B.

**Table of Contents**

Supplementary Information:  
 Background  
 Significant/Priority Rulemakings  
 Explanation of Information on the Agenda  
 Request for Comments  
 Purpose  
 Appendix A—Instructions for Obtaining Copies of Regulatory Documents  
 Appendix B—General Rulemaking Contact Persons

Appendix C—Public Rulemaking Dockets  
 Appendix D—Review Plans for Section 610 and Other Requirements

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

Improvement of our regulations is a prime goal of the Department of Transportation (Department or DOT). Our regulations should be clear, simple, timely, fair, reasonable, and necessary. They should not be issued without appropriate involvement of the public; once issued, they should be periodically reviewed and revised, as needed, to ensure that they continue to meet the needs for which they originally were designed. To view additional information about the Department's regulatory activities online, go to <http://www.dot.gov/regulations>. Among other things, this Web site provides a report updated monthly on the status of the DOT significant rulemakings listed in the semiannual regulatory agenda.

To help the Department achieve its goals and in accordance with Executive Order (EO) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review," (58 FR 51735; Oct. 4, 1993) and the Department's Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; Feb. 26, 1979), the Department prepares a semiannual regulatory agenda. It summarizes all current and projected rulemakings, reviews of existing regulations, and completed actions of the Department. These are matters on which action has begun or is projected during the next 12 months or for which action has been completed since the last Agenda.

The Agendas are based on reports submitted by the offices initiating the rulemaking and are reviewed by OST.

The Internet is the basic means for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), DOT's printed Agenda entries include only:

1. The agency's Agenda preamble;
2. Rules that are in the agency's regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and
3. Any rules that the agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act's Agenda requirements. These elements are: Sequence Number; Title; Section 610 Review, if applicable; Legal Authority; Abstract; Timetable; Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required; Agency Contact; and Regulation Identifier Number (RIN). Additional information (for detailed list, see section heading "Explanation of Information on the Agenda") on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

**Significant Rulemakings**

The Agenda covers all rules and regulations of the Department. We have classified rules as significant in the Agenda if they are, essentially, very beneficial, controversial, or of substantial public interest under our Regulatory Policies and Procedures. All DOT significant rulemaking documents are subject to review by the Secretary of Transportation. If the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) decided a rule is subject to its review under Executive Order 12866, we have also classified it as significant in the Agenda.

**Explanation of Information on the Agenda**

An Office of Management and Budget memorandum, dated February 23, 2015, requires the format for this Agenda.

First, the Agenda is divided by initiating offices. Then the Agenda is divided into five categories: (1) Prerule stage, (2) proposed rule stage, (3) final rule stage, (4) long-term actions, and (5) completed actions. For each entry, the Agenda provides the following information: (1) Its "significance"; (2) a short, descriptive title; (3) its legal basis; (4) the related regulatory citation in the Code of Federal Regulations; (5) any legal deadline and, if so, for what action (e.g., NPRM, final rule); (6) an abstract; (7) a timetable, including the earliest expected date for a decision on whether to take the action; (8) whether the rulemaking will affect small entities and/or levels of Government and, if so, which categories; (9) whether a Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) analysis is required (for rules that would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities); (10) a listing of any analyses an office will prepare or has prepared for the action (with minor exceptions, DOT requires an economic analysis for all its rulemakings); (11) an agency contact office or official who can provide further information; (12) a Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) assigned to identify an individual rulemaking in the

Agenda and facilitate tracing further action on the issue; (13) whether the action is subject to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act; (14) whether the action is subject to the Energy Act; and (15) whether the action is major under the congressional review provisions of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. If there is information that does not fit in the other categories, it will be included under a separate heading entitled "Additional Information." One such example of this is the letters "SB," "IC," and "SLT." These refer to information used as part of our required reports on Retrospective Review of DOT rulemakings. A "Y" or an "N," for yes and no, respectively, follow the letters to indicate whether or not a particular rulemaking would have effects on: Small businesses (SB); information collections (IC); or State, local, or tribal (SLT) governments.

For nonsignificant regulations issued routinely and frequently as a part of an established body of technical requirements (such as the Federal Aviation Administration's Airspace Rules), to keep those requirements operationally current, we only include the general category of the regulations, the identity of a contact office or official, and an indication of the expected number of regulations; we do not list individual regulations.

In the "Timetable" column, we use abbreviations to indicate the particular documents being considered. ANPRM stands for Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, SNPRM for Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and NPRM for Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Listing a future date in this column does not mean we have made a decision to issue a document; it is the earliest date on which we expect to make a decision on whether to issue it. In addition, these dates are based on current schedules. Information received after the issuance of this Agenda could result in a decision not to take regulatory action or in changes to proposed publication dates. For example, the need for further evaluation could result in a later publication date; evidence of a greater need for the regulation could result in an earlier publication date.

Finally, a dot (•) preceding an entry indicates that the entry appears in the Agenda for the first time.

## Request for Comments

### General

Our agenda is intended primarily for the use of the public. Since its inception, we have made modifications and refinements that we believe provide

the public with more helpful information, as well as making the Agenda easier to use. We would like you, the public, to make suggestions or comments on how the Agenda could be further improved.

### Reviews

We also seek your suggestions on which of our existing regulations you believe need to be reviewed to determine whether they should be revised or revoked. We particularly draw your attention to the Department's review plan in appendix D. In response to Executive Order 13563 "Retrospective Review and Analysis of Existing Rules," in 2011 we prepared a retrospective review plan providing more detail on the process we use to conduct reviews of existing rules, including changes in response to Executive Order 13563. We provided the public opportunities to comment at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and Idea Scale on both our process and any existing DOT rules the public thought needed review. The plan and the results of our review can be found at <http://www.dot.gov/regulations>.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department is especially interested in obtaining information on requirements that have a "significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities" and, therefore, must be reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. If you have any suggested regulations, please submit them to us, along with your explanation of why they should be reviewed.

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, comments are specifically invited on regulations that we have targeted for review under section 610 of the Act. The phrase (sec. 610 Review) appears at the end of the title for these reviews. Please see appendix D for the Department's section 610 review plans.

### Consultation With State, Local, and Tribal Governments

Executive Orders 13132 and 13175 require us to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input" by State, local, and tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism or tribal implications. These policies are defined in the Executive orders to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects" on States or Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and them, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and various levels of

Government or Indian tribes. Therefore, we encourage State and local Governments or Indian tribes to provide us with information about how the Department's rulemakings impact them.

### Purpose

The Department is publishing this regulatory Agenda in the **Federal Register** to share with interested members of the public the Department's preliminary expectations regarding its future regulatory actions. This should enable the public to be more aware of the Department's regulatory activity and should result in more effective public participation. This publication in the **Federal Register** does not impose any binding obligation on the Department or any of the offices within the Department with regard to any specific item on the Agenda. Regulatory action, in addition to the items listed, is not precluded.

Dated: April 16, 2015.

**Anthony R. Foxx**,  
Secretary of Transportation.

### Appendix A—Instructions for Obtaining Copies of Regulatory Documents

To obtain a copy of a specific regulatory document in the Agenda, you should communicate directly with the contact person listed with the regulation at the address below. We note that most, if not all, such documents, including the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, are available through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See appendix C for more information.

(Name of contact person), (Name of the DOT agency), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. (For the Federal Aviation Administration, substitute the following address: Office of Rulemaking, ARM-1, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591).

### Appendix B—General Rulemaking Contact Persons

The following is a list of persons who can be contacted within the Department for general information concerning the rulemaking process within the various operating administrations.

FAA—Mark Bury, Chief Counsel, International Law, Legislation and Regulations Division, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Room 915A, Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3110.

FHWA—Jennifer Outhouse, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0761.

FMCSA—Steven J. LaFreniere, Regulatory Ombudsman, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0596.

NHTSA—Steve Wood, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-2992.

FRA—Kathryn Gresham, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W31-214, Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 493-6063.

FTA—Bonnie Graves, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room E56-308, Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0675.

SLSDC—Carrie Mann Lavigne, Chief Counsel, 180 Andrews Street, Massena, NY 13662; telephone (315) 764-3200.

PHMSA—Karin Christian, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-4400.

MARAD—Christine Gurland, Office of Chief Counsel, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-5157.

OST—Jonathan Moss, Office of Regulation and Enforcement, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-4723.

### Appendix C—Public Rulemaking Dockets

All comments via the Internet are submitted through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at the following address: <http://www.regulations.gov>. The FDMS allows the public to search, view, download, and comment on all Federal agency rulemaking documents in one central online system. The above referenced Internet address also allows the public to sign up to receive notification when certain documents are placed in the dockets.

The public also may review regulatory dockets at or deliver comments on proposed rulemakings to the Dockets Office at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590, 1-800-647-5527. Working Hours: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

### Appendix D—Review Plans for Section 610 and Other Requirements

#### Part I—The Plan

##### General

The Department of Transportation has long recognized the importance of regularly reviewing its existing regulations to determine whether they need to be revised or revoked. Our Regulatory Policies and Procedures require such reviews. We also have responsibilities under Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” and section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to conduct such reviews. This includes the use of

plain language techniques in new rules and considering its use in existing rules when we have the opportunity and resources to permit its use. We are committed to continuing our reviews of existing rules and, if it is needed, will initiate rulemaking actions based on these reviews.

In accordance with Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review,” issued by the President on January 18, 2011, the Department has added other elements to its review plan. The Department has decided to improve its plan by adding special oversight processes within the Department, encouraging effective and timely reviews, including providing additional guidance on particular problems that warrant review, and expanding opportunities for public participation. These new actions are in addition to the other steps described in this appendix.

#### Section 610 Review Plan

Section 610 requires that we conduct reviews of rules that: (1) Have been published within the last 10 years, and (2) have a “significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities” (SEIOSNOSE). It also requires that we publish in the **Federal Register** each year a list of any such rules that we will review during the next year. The Office of the Secretary and each of the Department’s Operating Administrations have a 10-year review plan. These reviews comply with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### Changes to the Review Plan

Some reviews may be conducted earlier than scheduled. For example, to the extent resources permit, the plain language reviews will be conducted more quickly. Other events, such as accidents, may result in the need to conduct earlier reviews of some rules. Other factors may also result in the need to make changes; for example, we may make changes in response to public comment on this plan or in response to a presidentially mandated review. If there is any change to the review plan, we will note the change in the following Agenda. For any section 610 review, we will provide the required notice prior to the review.

#### Part II—The Review Process

##### The Analysis

Generally, the agencies have divided their rules into 10 different groups and plan to analyze one group each year. For purposes of these reviews, a year will coincide with the fall-to-fall schedule

for publication of the Agenda. Thus, Year 1 (2008) begins in the fall of 2008 and ends in the fall of 2009; Year 2 (2009) begins in the fall of 2009 and ends in the fall of 2010, and so on. We request public comment on the timing of the reviews. For example, is there a reason for scheduling an analysis and review for a particular rule earlier than we have? Any comments concerning the plan or particular analyses should be submitted to the regulatory contacts listed in appendix B, General Rulemaking Contact Persons.

#### Section 610 Review

The agency will analyze each of the rules in a given year’s group to determine whether any rule has a SEIOSNOSE and, thus, requires review in accordance with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The level of analysis will, of course, depend on the nature of the rule and its applicability. Publication of agencies’ section 610 analyses listed each fall in this Agenda provides the public with notice and an opportunity to comment consistent with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. We request that public comments be submitted to us early in the analysis year concerning the small entity impact of the rules to help us in making our determinations.

In each fall Agenda, the agency will publish the results of the analyses it has completed during the previous year. For rules that had a negative finding on SEIOSNOSE, we will give a short explanation (*e.g.*, “these rules only establish petition processes that have no cost impact” or “these rules do not apply to any small entities”). For parts, subparts, or other discrete sections of rules that do have a SEIOSNOSE, we will announce that we will be conducting a formal section 610 review during the following 12 months. At this stage, we will add an entry to the Agenda in the prerulemaking section describing the review in more detail. We also will seek public comment on how best to lessen the impact of these rules and provide a name or docket to which public comments can be submitted. In some cases, the section 610 review may be part of another unrelated review of the rule. In such a case, we plan to clearly indicate which parts of the review are being conducted under section 610.

#### Other Reviews

The agency will also examine the specified rules to determine whether any other reasons exist for revising or revoking the rule or for rewriting the rule in plain language. In each fall Agenda, the agency will also publish

information on the results of the examinations completed during the previous year.

**Part III—List of Pending Section 610 Reviews**

The Agenda identifies the pending DOT section 610 Reviews by inserting

“(Section 610 Review)” after the title for the specific entry. For further information on the pending reviews, see the Agenda entries at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov). For example, to obtain a list of all entries that are in section 610 Reviews under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, a

user would select the desired responses on the search screen (by selecting “advanced search”) and, in effect, generate the desired “index” of reviews.  
*Office of the Secretary*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 91 through 99 and 14 CFR parts 200 through 212	2008	2009
2	48 CFR parts 1201 through 1253 and new parts and subparts	2009	2010
3	14 CFR parts 213 through 232	2010	2011
4	14 CFR parts 234 through 254	2011	2012
5	14 CFR parts 255 through 298 and 49 CFR part 40	2012	2013
6	14 CFR parts 300 through 373	2013	2014
7	14 CFR parts 374 through 398	2014	2015
8	14 CFR part 399 and 49 CFR parts 1 through 11	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 17 through 28	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 29 through 39 and parts 41 through 89	2017	2018

**Year 1 (Fall 2008) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 49 CFR part 91—International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices
- 49 CFR part 92—Recovering Debts to the United States by Salary Offset
- 49 CFR part 98—Enforcement of Restrictions on Post-Employment Activities
- 49 CFR part 99—Employee Responsibilities and Conduct
- 14 CFR part 200—Definitions and Instructions
- 14 CFR part 201—Air Carrier Authority Under Subtitle VII of Title 49 of the United States Code [Amended]
- 14 CFR part 203—Waiver of Warsaw Convention Liability Limits and Defenses
- 14 CFR part 204—Data to Support Fitness Determinations
- 14 CFR part 205—Aircraft Accident Liability Insurance
- 14 CFR part 206—Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity: Special Authorizations and Exemptions
- 14 CFR part 207—Charter Trips by U.S. Scheduled Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 208—Charter Trips by U.S. Charter Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 211—Applications for Permits to Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 212—Charter Rules for U.S. and Foreign Direct Air Carriers

**Year 3 (Fall 2010) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 213—Terms, Conditions, and Limitations of Foreign Air Carrier Permits
- 14 CFR part 214—Terms, Conditions, and Limitations of Foreign Air Carrier Permits Authorizing Charter Transportation Only

- 14 CFR part 215—Use and Change of Names of Air Carriers, Foreign Air Carriers, and Commuter Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 216—Commingling of Blind Sector Traffic by Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 217—Reporting Traffic Statistics by Foreign Air Carriers in Civilian Scheduled, Charter, and Nonscheduled Services
- 14 CFR part 218—Lease by Foreign Air Carrier or Other Foreign Person of Aircraft With Crew
- 14 CFR part 221—Tariffs
- 14 CFR part 222—Intermodal Cargo Services by Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 223—Free and Reduced-Rate Transportation
- 14 CFR part 232—Transportation of Mail, Review of Orders of Postmaster General
- 14 CFR part 234—Airline Service Quality Performance Reports

**Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 240—Inspection of Accounts and Property
- 14 CFR part 241—Uniform System of Accounts and Reports for Large Certificated Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 243—Passenger Manifest Information
- 14 CFR part 247—Direct Airport-to-Airport Mileage Records
- 14 CFR part 248—Submission of Audit Reports
- 14 CFR part 249—Preservation of Air Carrier Records

**Year 5 (Fall 2012) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 255—Airline Computer Reservations Systems
- 14 CFR part 256—[Reserved]

- 14 CFR part 271—Guidelines for Subsidizing Air Carriers Providing Essential Air Transportation
- 14 CFR part 272—Essential Air Service to the Freely Associated States
- 14 CFR part 291—Cargo Operations in Interstate Air Transportation
- 14 CFR part 292—International Cargo Transportation
- 14 CFR part 293—International Passenger Transportation
- 14 CFR part 294—Canadian Charter Air Taxi Operators
- 14 CFR part 296—Indirect Air Transportation of Property
- 14 CFR part 297—Foreign Air Freight Forwarders and Foreign Cooperative Shippers Associations
- 14 CFR part 298—Exemptions for Air Taxi and Commuter Air Carrier Operations

**Year 6 (2013) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 300—Rules of Conduct in DOT Proceedings Under This Chapter
- 14 CFR part 302—Rules of Practice in Proceedings
- 14 CFR part 303—Review of Air Carrier Agreements
- 14 CFR part 305—Rules of Practice in Informal Nonpublic Investigations
- 14 CFR part 313—Implementation of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act
- 14 CFR part 323—Terminations, Suspensions, and Reductions of Service
- 14 CFR part 325—Essential Air Service Procedures
- 14 CFR part 330—Procedures For Compensation of Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 372—Overseas Military Personnel Charters

**Year 7 (2014) List of Rules That Will be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 14 CFR part 374—Implementation of the Consumer Credit Protection Act with Respect to Air Carriers and Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 374a—Extension of Credit by Airlines to Federal Political Candidates
- 14 CFR part 375—Navigation of Foreign Civil Aircraft within the United States
- 14 CFR part 377—Continuance of Expired Authorizations by Operation of Law Pending Final Determination of Applications for Renewal Thereof
- 14 CFR part 380—Public Charters

- 14 CFR part 381—Special Event Tours
- 14 CFR part 382—Nondiscrimination On The Basis Of Disability in Air Travel
- 14 CFR part 383—Civil Penalties
- 14 CFR part 385—Staff Assignments and Review of Action under Assignments
- 14 CFR part 389—Fees and Charges for Special Services
- 14 CFR part 398—Guidelines for Individual Determinations of Basic Essential Air Service

*Federal Aviation Administration*

Section 610 Review Plan

The FAA has elected to use the two-step, two-year process used by most

DOT modes in past plans. As such, the FAA has divided its rules into 10 groups as displayed in the table below. During the first year (the “analysis year”), all rules published during the previous 10 years within a 10% block of the regulations will be analyzed to identify those with a SEIOSNOSE. During the second year (the “review year”), each rule identified in the analysis year as having a SEIONOSE will be reviewed in accordance with Section 610 (b) to determine if it should be continued without change or changed to minimize impact on small entities. Results of those reviews will be published in the DOT Semiannual Regulatory Agenda.

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	14 CFR parts 119 through 129 and parts 150 through 156	2008	2009
2	14 CFR parts 133 through 139 and parts 157 through 169	2009	2010
3	14 CFR parts 141 through 147 and parts 170 through 187	2010	2011
4	14 CFR parts 189 through 198 and parts 1 through 16	2011	2012
5	14 CFR parts 17 through 33	2012	2013
6	14 CFR parts 34 through 39 and parts 400 through 405	2013	2014
7	14 CFR parts 43 through 49 and parts 406 through 415	2014	2015
8	14 CFR parts 60 through 77	2015	2016
9	14 CFR parts 91 through 105	2016	2017
10	14 CFR parts 417 through 460	2017	2018

**Year 7 (2014) List of Rules Analyzed and Summary of Results**

- 14 CFR part 43—Maintenance, Preventive maintenance, Rebuilding, and Alteration
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 45—Identification and Registration Marking
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 47—Aircraft Registration
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

- 14 CFR part 49—Recording of Aircraft Titles and Security Documents
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 406—Investigations, Enforcement, and Administrative Review
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 413—License Application Procedures
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 414—Safety Approvals
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part

- and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 415—Launch License
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

**Year 8 (2015) List of Rules To Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 14 CFR part 60—Flight Simulation Training Device Initial and Continuing Qualification and Use
- 14 CFR part 61—Certification: Pilots, Flight Instructors, and Ground Instructors
- 14 CFR part 63—Certification: Flight Crewmembers other than Pilots
- 14 CFR part 65—Certification: Airmen other than Flight Crewmembers
- 14 CFR part 67—Medical Standards and Certification
- 14 CFR part 71—Designation of Class A, B, C, D, and E Airspace Areas; Air Traffic Service Routes; and Reporting Points
- 14 CFR part 73—Special Use Airspace

14 CFR part 77—Safe, Efficient Use, and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace *Federal Highway Administration*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	None	2008	2009
2	23 CFR parts 1 to 260	2009	2010
3	23 CFR parts 420 to 470	2010	2011
4	23 CFR part 500	2011	2012
5	23 CFR parts 620 to 637	2012	2013
6	23 CFR parts 645 to 669	2013	2014
7	23 CFR parts 710 to 924	2014	2015
8	23 CFR parts 940 to 973	2015	2016
9	23 CFR parts 1200 to 1252	2016	2017
10	New parts and subparts	2017	2018

*Federal-Aid Highway Program*

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has adopted regulations in title 23 of the CFR, chapter I, related to the Federal-Aid Highway Program. These regulations implement and carry out the provisions of Federal law relating to the administration of Federal aid for highways. The primary law authorizing Federal aid for highway is chapter I of title 23 of the U.S.C. 145 of title 23, expressly provides for a federally assisted State program. For this reason, the regulations adopted by the FHWA in title 23 of the CFR primarily relate to the requirements that States must meet to receive Federal funds for the construction and other work related to highways. Because the regulations in title 23 primarily relate to States, which are not defined as small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the FHWA believes that its regulations in title 23 do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The FHWA solicits public comment on this preliminary conclusion.

Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results

23 CFR part 645—Utilities

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 646—Railroads

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 FR part 650—Bridges, Structures, and Hydraulics

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 652—Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations and Projects

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 655—Traffic Operations

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 656—Carpool and Vanpool Projects

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 657—Certification of Size and Weight Enforcement

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 658—Truck Size and Weight, Route Designations—Length, Width and Weight Limitations

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 660—Special Programs (Direct Federal)

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 661—Indian Reservation Road Bridge Program

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 668—Emergency Relief Program

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

23 CFR part 669—Enforcement of Heavy Vehicle Use Tax

- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year	23 CFR part 771—Environmental Impact and Related Procedures	23 CFR part 777—Mitigation of Impacts to Wetlands and Natural Habitat
23 CFR part 710—Right-of-Way and Real Estate	23 CFR part 772—Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise	23 CFR part 810—Mass Transit and Special Use Highway Projects
23 CFR part 750—Highway Beautification	23 CFR part 773—Surface Transportation Project Delivery Pilot Program	23 CFR part 924—Highway Safety Improvement Program
23 CFR part 751—Junkyard Control and Acquisition	23 CFR part 774—Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, and Historic Sites (Section 4(f))	<i>Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration</i>
23 CFR part 752—Landscape and Roadside Development		Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR part 372, subpart A	2008	2009
2	49 CFR part 386	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 325 and 390 (General)	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 390 (Small Passenger-Carrying Vehicles), 391 to 393 and 396 to 399	2011	2012
5	49 CFR part 387	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 356, 367, 369 to 371, 372 (subparts B and C)	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 373, 374, 376, and 379	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 360, 365, 366, and 368	2015	2016
9	49 CFR part 395	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 375, 377, 378	2017	2018

Year 3 (Fall 2010) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis

49 CFR part 325—Compliance With Interstate Motor Carrier Noise Emission

49 CFR part 390—Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, General

49 CFR part 393—Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation

49 CFR part 396—Inspection, Repair and Maintenance of Commercial Motor Vehicles

49 CFR part 397—Transportation of Hazardous Materials; Driving and Parking Rules

49 CFR part 398—Transportation of Migrant Workers

FMCSA issued this report in April 2014. Section 32104 also directed the Secretary to determine the appropriateness of these requirements every four years and to issue similar reports to Congress. In its April 2014 report, FMCSA concluded that the current financial responsibility minimums are inadequate to cover the costs of some crashes. FMCSA is drafting an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to consider increasing the current levels of minimum financial responsibility.

Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results

49 CFR part 399—Employee Safety and Health Standards

- Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of these parts and found no SEIOSNOSE. While these parts affect a substantial number of small entities, the current requirements are prudent business practices and do *not* impose a significant economic impact.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FMCSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

Year 5 (Fall 2012) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results

49 CFR part 387—Minimum Levels of Financial Responsibility for Motor Carriers

- Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEIOSNOSE. While part 387 affects a substantial number of small entities, the currently required minimum levels of financial responsibility do *not* impose a significant economic impact because the industry standard imposed by lenders requires an even higher level of coverage.
- General: On July 6, 2012, the President signed Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) into law. Section 32104 of MAP-21 directed the Secretary to issue a report on the appropriateness of: (1) The current minimum financial responsibility requirements for the transportation of passengers and property and (2) the current bond and insurance requirements for freight forwarders and brokers, including for brokers for motor carriers of passengers.

Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rule(s) With Ongoing Analysis

49 CFR part 356—Motor Carrier Routing Regulations

49 CFR part 367—Standards for Registration With States

49 CFR part 369—Reports of Motor Carriers

49 CFR part 370—Principles and Practices for the Investigation and Voluntary Disposition of Loss and Damage Claims and Processing Salvage

49 CFR part 371—Brokers of Property

49 CFR part 372 (subparts B and C)—Exemptions, Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas

Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis

49 CFR part 390—Definition of Commercial Motor Vehicle (CMV)—Requirements for Operators of Small Passenger-Carrying CMVs.

- This rule was moved up from Year 4 as a result of the Department's Retrospective Regulatory Review.

49 CFR part 391—Driver Qualifications

49 CFR part 392—Driving of Commercial Motor Vehicles

Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rule(s) That Will Be Analyzed This Year

49 CFR part 373—Receipts and Bills

49 CFR part 374—Discrimination in Operations of Interstate Motor Common Carriers of Passengers



49 CFR part 376—Lease and Interchange of Vehicles

49 CFR part 379—Preservation of Records

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 571.223 through 571.500, and parts 575 and 579	2008	2009
2	23 CFR parts 1200 through 1300	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 501 through 526 and 571.213	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 571.131, 571.217, 571.220, 571.221, and 571.222	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 571.101 through 571.110, and 571.135, 571.138, and 571.139	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 529 through 578, except parts 571 and 575	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 571.111 through 571.129 and parts 580 through 588	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 571.201 through 571.212	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 571.214 through 571.219, except 571.217	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 591 through 595 and new parts and subparts	2017	2018

Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rules

Analyzed and a Summary of the Results

49 CFR part 529—Manufacturers of Multistage Automobiles

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 531—Passenger Automobile Average Fuel Economy

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 533—Light Truck Fuel Economy Standards

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 534—Rights and Responsibilities of Manufacturers in the Context of Changes in Corporate Relationships

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 535—Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Fuel Efficiency Program

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective

and impose the least burden.

NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 536—Transfer and Trading of Fuel Economy Credits

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 537—Automotive Fuel Economy Reports

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 538—Manufacturing Incentives for Alternative Fuel Vehicles

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 541—Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 542—Procedures for Selecting Light Duty Truck Lines to be Covered by the Theft Prevention Standard

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.

- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden.

NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 543—Exemption From Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 545—Federal Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard Phase-In and Small-Volume Line Reporting Requirements

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 551—Procedural Rules

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 552—Petitions for Rulemaking, Defect, and Noncompliance Orders

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 553—Rulemaking Procedures

- Section 610: There is no

- SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 554—Standards Enforcement and Defects Investigation
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 555—Temporary Exemption from Motor Vehicle Safety and Bumper Standards
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 556—Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 557—Petitions for Hearings on Notification and Remedy of Defects
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 563—Event Data Recorders
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 564—Replaceable Light Source and Sealed Beam Headlamp Information
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of
- these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 565—Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) Requirements
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 566—Manufacturer Identification
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 567—Certification
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 568—Vehicles Manufactured in Two or More Stages—All Incomplete, Intermediate and Final-Stage Manufacturers of Vehicles Manufactured in Two or More Stages
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 569—Regrooved Tires
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 570—Vehicle In Use Inspection Standards
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 572—Anthropomorphic Test Devices
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 573—Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 574—Tire Identification and Recordkeeping
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 576—Record Retention
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 577—Defect and Noncompliance Notification
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 578—Civil and Criminal Penalties
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year
- 49 CFR part 571.111—Rear Visibility
- 49 CFR part 571.112—[Reserved]
- 49 CFR part 571.113—Hood Latch System
- 49 CFR part 571.114—Theft Protection and Rollaway Prevention
- 49 CFR part 571.115—[Reserved]
- 49 CFR part 571.116—Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids
- 49 CFR part 571.117—Retreaded Pneumatic Tires

49 CFR part 571.118—Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems	49 CFR part 571.122—Motorcycle Brake Systems	49 CFR part 581—Bumper Standard
49 CFR part 571.119—New Pneumatic Tires For Motor Vehicles With a GVWR of More Than 4,536 Kilograms (10,000 Pounds) and Motorcycles	49 CFR part 571.122a—Motorcycle Brake Systems	49 CFR part 582—Insurance Cost Information Regulation
49 CFR part 571.120—Tire Selection and Rims and Motor Home/ Recreation Vehicle Trailer Load Carrying Capacity Information For Motor Vehicles With a GVWR of More Than 4,536 Kilograms (10,000 Pounds)	49 CFR part 571.123—Motorcycle Controls and Displays	49 CFR part 583—Automobile Parts Content Labeling
49 CFR part 571.121—Air Brake Systems	49 CFR part 571.124—Accelerator Control Systems	49 CFR part 585—Phase-In Reporting Requirements
	49 CFR part 571.125—Warning Devices	49 CFR part 586—[Reserved]
	49 CFR part 571.126—Electronic Stability Control Systems	49 CFR part 587—Deformable Barriers
	49 CFR part 571.127–571.128—[Reserved]	49 CFR part 588—Child Restraint Systems Recordkeeping Requirements
	49 CFR part 571.129—New Non-pneumatic Tires For Passenger Cars	<i>Federal Railroad Administration</i>
	49 CFR part 580—Odometer Disclosure Requirements	Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 200 and 201	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 207, 209, 211, 215, 238, and 256	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 210, 212, 214, 217, and 268	2010	2011
4	49 CFR part 219	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 218, 221, 241, and 244	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 216, 228, and 229	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 223 and 233	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 224, 225, 231, and 234	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 222, 227, 235, 236, 250, 260, and 266	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 213, 220, 230, 232, 239, 240, and 265	2017	2018

Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rules

Analyzed and a Summary of Results

49 CFR part 216—Special Notice and Emergency Order Procedures: Railroad Track, Locomotive and Equipment

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: Since the rule deals with the special notices for repairs of railroad freight car, locomotive, passenger equipment, and track class and prescribes for the issuance and review of emergency orders for removing dangerously substandard track from service, it will provide safety and security for railroad employees and the public. FRA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 228—Hours of Service of Railroad Employees

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: Since the rule prescribes reporting and recordkeeping requirements regarding the hours of

service of certain railroad employees, railroad contractors and subcontractors; establishes requirements for electronic recordkeeping systems for the creation and maintenance of required hours of service records; establishes standards and procedures concerning the construction or reconstruction of sleeping quarters; establishes minimum safety and health standards for camp cars provided by a railroad as sleeping quarters; and prescribes substantive hours of service requirements for train employees engaged in commuter or intercity rail passenger transportation. It promotes the safety of railroad operations and employees. FRA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 229—Railroad Locomotive Safety Standards

- Section 610: There is a SEIOSNOSE. These are minimum Federal standards for railroad locomotive safety. The FRA will

conduct a formal review to identify whether opportunities may exist to reduce the burden on small railroads without compromising safety standards.

- General: Since the rule prescribes minimum Federal safety standards for all locomotives except those propelled by steam power, these regulations are necessary to achieve better and effective compliance of railroad locomotive safety standards and to minimize the number of casualties. FRA’s plain language review of this rule indicates that there is no need for substantial revision.

Year 7 (fall 2014) List of rule(s) that will be analyzed during next year

49 CFR part 223—Safety Glazing Standards—Locomotives, Passenger Cars and Caboose

49 CFR part 233—Signal System Reporting Requirements

*Federal Transit Administration*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 604, 605, and 633	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 661 and 665	2009	2010
3	49 CFR part 633	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 609 and 611	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 613 and 614	2012	2013
6	49 CFR part 622	2013	2014

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
7	49 CFR part 630	2014	2015
8	49 CFR part 639	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 659 and 663	2016	2017
10	49 CFR part 665	2017	2018

**Year 6 (fall 2013) List of rules analyzed and summary of results**

49 CFR part 622—Environmental Impact and Related Procedures

- Section 610: The agency has determined that the rule does not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities. FTA and FHWA recently revised the rule and evaluated the likely effects of the final rule on small entities and requested public comment during the rulemaking process. FTA and FHWA determined that the rule does not have a significant economic impact on entities of any size. FTA and FHWA expect the revisions to the rule will expedite environmental review. Thus, FTA and FHWA

determined that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. FTA and FHWA received no comment on this issue in the rulemaking process.

- General: FTA revised part 622 via a final rule in January 2013, in order to implement recent MAP-21 requirements (see 79 FR 2107). Part 622 cross-references 23 CFR part 771. FTA and FHWA joint procedures at 23 CFR part 771 describe how FTA and FHWA comply with NEPA and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA. Sections 1316 and 1317 of MAP-21 require the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate

regulations designating two types of actions as categorical exclusions in 23 CFR part 771: (1) Any project (as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)) within an existing operational right-of-way and (2) any project that receives less than \$5,000,000 of Federal funds or with a total estimated cost of not more than \$30,000,000 and Federal funds comprising less than 15 percent of the total estimated project cost, respectively.

**Year 7 (fall 2014) List of rules that will be analyzed during the next year**

49 CFR part 630—National Transit Database

*Maritime Administration*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	46 CFR parts 201 through 205	2008	2009
2	46 CFR parts 221 through 232	2009	2010
3	46 CFR parts 249 through 296	2010	2011
4	46 CFR parts 221, 298, 308, and 309	2011	2012
5	46 CFR parts 307 through 309	2012	2013
6	46 CFR part 310	2013	2014
7	46 CFR parts 315 through 340	2014	2015
8	46 CFR parts 345 through 381	2015	2016
9	46 CFR parts 382 through 389	2016	2017
10	46 CFR parts 390 through 393	2017	2018

**Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules Analyzed and Summary of Results**

46 CFR part 221—Foreign Transfer Regulations

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: An updated rule was promulgated, providing technical changes including corrections to statutory references, updates to citations and addresses, and deleted other obsolete references.

46 CFR part 327—Administrative Claims

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: An updated rule was promulgated, providing clarity to the public regarding the filing of administrative claims and adopting a procedural process for effectively resolving claims under the Suits in Admiralty Act, the Admiralty Extension Act and the Clarification Act.

46 CFR part 249—Approval of Underwriters for Marine Hull Insurance

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. MARAD’s plain language review of this rule indicated no need for substantial revision.

46 CFR part 287—Establishment of Construction Reserve Funds

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. MARAD’s plain language review of this rule indicated no need for substantial revision.

46 CFR part 295—Maritime Security Program (MSP)

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. MARAD’s plain language review of this rule indicated no need for substantial revision.

**Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

46 CFR part 381—Cargo Preference—U.S.-Flag Vessels

46 CFR part 383—Cargo Preference—Compromise, Assessment, Mitigation, Settlement, and Collection of Civil Penalties

46 CFR part 272—Requirements and Procedures for Conducting Condition Surveys and Administering Maintenance and Repair Subsidy

46 CFR part 296—Maritime Security Program (MSP)

**Year 5 (2012) List of Rules Analyzed and Summary of Results**

46 CFR part 308—War Risk Insurance

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: An updated rule was promulgated, correcting numerous citations, updating relevant agency contact and underwriting agent information, and removing other

- obsolete references.
- 46 CFR part 309—War Risk Ship Valuation
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. MARAD’s plain language review of this rule indicated no need for substantial revision.

**Year 5 (2012) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 46 CFR part 307—Mandatory Position Report System for Vessels

**Year 6 (2013) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 46 CFR part 310—Merchant Marine Training

**Year 7 (2014) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 46 CFR part 315—Agency Agreements and Appointment of Agents
- 46 CFR part 317—Bonding of Ship’s Personnel

- 46 CFR part 324—Procedural Rules for Financial Transactions Under Agency Agreements
- 46 CFR part 325—Procedure to be Followed by General Agents in Preparation of Invoices and Payment of Compensation Pursuant to Provisions of NSA Order No. 47
- 46 CFR part 326—Marine Protection and Indemnity Insurance Under Agreements with Agents
- 46 CFR part 327—Seamen’s Claims; Administrative Action and Litigation
- 46 CFR part 328—Slop Chests
- 46 CFR part 329—Voyage Data
- 46 CFR part 330—Launch Services
- 46 CFR part 332—Repatriation of Seaman
- 46 CFR part 335—Authority and Responsibility of General Agents to Undertake Emergency Repairs in Foreign Ports
- 46 CFR part 336—Authority and Responsibility of General Agents to Undertake in Continental United States Ports Voyage Repairs and Service Equipment of Vessels

- Operated for the Account of the National Shipping Authority Under General Agency Agreement
- 46 CFR part 337—General Agent’s responsibility in Connection with Foreign Repair Custom’s Entries
- 46 CFR part 338—Procedure for Accomplishment of Vessel Repairs Under National Shipping Authority Master Lump Sum Repair Contract—NSA—Lumpsumrep
- 46 CFR part 339—Procedure for Accomplishment of Ship Repairs Under National Shipping Authority Individual Contract for Minor Repairs—NSA—Worksmalrep
- 46 CFR part 340—Priority Use and Allocation of Shipping Services, Container and Chassis and Port Facilities and Services for National Security and National Defense Related Operations.
- Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)*
- Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR part 178	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 178 through 180	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 172 and 175	2010	2011
4	49 CFR part 171, sections 171.15 and 171.16	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 106, 107, 171, 190, and 195	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 174, 177, 191, and 192	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 176 and 199	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 172 through 178	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 172, 173, 174, 176, 177, and 193	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 173 and 194	2017	2018

**Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results**

- 49 CFR part 174—Carriage by Rail
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. On August 27–28, 2013 (78 FR 42998)
- PHMSA and FRA held a public meeting to address the transportation of hazardous materials by rail. This meeting was part of PHMSA and FRA’s comprehensive review of operational factors that affect the safety of the transportation of hazardous materials by rail and sought input from stakeholders and interested parties. Specifically, this meeting sought comment from the regulated community including small entities on revision to part 174. PHMSA and FRA have evaluated the comments from this meeting. The comments to this public meeting noted that some small entities may be affected, but the economic impact on small entities will not be significant. As a result, the agency determined that the rules do not have a significant economic impact on

- a substantial number of small entities. A response to the public comments, including those of small entities, and proposals for corresponding revisions to part 174 will be included in a future rulemaking.
- General: The requirements in this rule are necessary to protect rail transportation workers and the general public from the dangers associated with hazardous materials incidents in rail transportation. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision; however, any revisions to part 174 as part of a future rulemaking will take into account plain language principles and where appropriate clarify unclear language.
- 49 CFR part 177—Carriage by Public Highway
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. This rule prescribes minimum safety standards for the transportation of hazardous

- materials for highway transportation. Some small entities may be affected, but the economic impact on small entities will not be significant.
- General: The requirements in this rule are necessary to protect highway transportation workers and the general public from the dangers associated with hazardous materials incidents in highway transportation. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 191—Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline; Annual Reports, Incident Reports, and Safety-Related Condition Reports
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. Based on regulated entities, PHMSA found that the majority of operators are not small businesses. Therefore, though some small entities

may be affected, the economic impact on small entities will not be significant.

- General: No changes are needed.

These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 192—Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. Based on regulated

entities, PHMSA found that the majority of operators are not small businesses. Therefore, though some small entities may be affected, the economic impact on small entities will not be significant.

- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for

substantial revision.

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

49 CFR part 176—Carriage by Vessel  
49 CFR part 199—Drug and Alcohol Testing

*Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1 .....	33 CFR parts 401 through 403 .....	2008	2009

**Year 1 (Fall 2008) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

33 CFR part 401—Seaway Regulations and Rules

33 CFR part 402—Tariff of Tolls  
33 CFR part 403—Rules of Procedure of the Joint Tolls Review Board

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
184 .....	+ Airline Pricing Transparency and Other Consumer Protection Issues .....	2105-AE11
+ DOT-designated significant regulation.		

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—PRERULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
185 .....	+ Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Rules of 14 CFR part 135 to Tail-End Ferry Operations (FAA Reauthorization).	2120-AK26
+ DOT-designated significant regulation.		

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
186 .....	+ Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems .....	2120-AJ60
187 .....	Changing the Collective Risk Limits for Launches and Reentries, and Clarifying the Risk Limit Used to Establish Hazard Areas for Ships and Aircraft.	2120-AK06
188 .....	Flight Simulation Training Device (FSTD) Qualification Standards for Extended Envelope and Adverse Weather Event Training.	2120-AK08
189 .....	+ Applying the Flight, Duty and Rest Requirements to Ferry Flights That Follow Domestic, Flag, or Supplemental All-Cargo Operations; (Reauthorization).	2120-AK22
190 .....	Reciprocal Waivers of Claims for Licensed or Permitted Launch and Reentry Activities (RRR) .....	2120-AK44
191 .....	+ Reorganization .....	2120-AK65
+ DOT-designated significant regulation.		

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
192 .....	Acceptance Criteria for Portable Oxygen Concentrators Used Onboard Aircraft (RRR) .....	2120-AK32
193 .....	+ Prohibition Against Certain Flights Within the Baghdad (ORBB) Flight Information Region (FIR) Amendment.	2120-AK60
+ DOT-designated significant regulation.		

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
194 .....	+ Drug and Alcohol Testing of Certain Maintenance Provider Employees Located Outside of the United States.	2120-AK09

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
195 .....	+ Carrier Safety Fitness Determination .....	2126-AB11

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
196 .....	+ Commercial Driver's License Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse (MAP-21) .....	2126-AB18
197 .....	+ Electronic Logging Devices and Hours of Service Supporting Documents (MAP-21) (RRR) .....	2126-AB20
198 .....	+ Lease and Interchange of Vehicles; Motor Carriers of Passengers .....	2126-AB44

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
199 .....	+ Entry-Level Driver Training ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	2126-AB66

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
200 .....	+ Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance; Driver-Vehicle Inspection Report (RRR) .....	2126-AB46

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
201 .....	+ Passenger Equipment Safety Standards .....	2130-AC46
202 .....	+ Train Crew Staffing and Location .....	2130-AC48

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
203 .....	+ Pipeline Safety: Safety of Onshore Liquid Hazardous Pipelines .....	2137-AE66
204 .....	Pipeline Safety: Issues Related to the Use of Plastic Pipe in Gas Pipeline Industry .....	2137-AE93
205 .....	+ Pipeline Safety: Operator Qualification, Cost Recovery, Accident and Incident Notification, and Other Changes (RRR).	2137-AE94
206 .....	+ Pipeline Safety: Amendments to Parts 192 and 195 to Require Valve Installation and Minimum Rupture Detection Standards.	2137-AF06

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
207 .....	+ Hazardous Materials: Enhanced Tank Car Standards and Operational Controls for High-Hazard Flammable Trains.	2137—AE91

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
208 .....	+ Cargo Preference .....	2133—AB74

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Office of the Secretary (OST)*

Final Rule Stage

**184. + Airline Pricing Transparency and Other Consumer Protection Issues**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 41712; 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 41702

*Abstract:* The Department is seeking comment on a number of proposals to enhance protections for air travelers and to improve the air travel environment, including a proposal to clarify and codify the Department’s interpretation of the statutory definition of ticket agent.” This NPRM also would require airlines and ticket agents to disclose at all points of sale the fees for certain basic ancillary services associated with the air transportation consumers are buying or considering buying. Other proposals in this NPRM to enhance airline passenger protections include: expanding the pool of reporting” carriers; requiring enhanced reporting by mainline carriers for their domestic code-share partner operations; requiring large travel agents to adopt minimum customer service standards; codifying the statutory requirement that carriers and ticket agents disclose any code-share arrangements on their Web sites; and prohibiting unfair and deceptive practices such as undisclosed biasing and post-purchase price increases. The Department also is considering whether to require ticket agents to disclose the carriers whose tickets they sell in order to avoid having consumers mistakenly believe they are searching all possible flight options for a particular city-pair market when there may be other options available. Additionally, this NPRM would correct drafting errors and make minor changes to the Department’s second Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections rule to conform to guidance issued by the Department’s Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings

(Enforcement Office) regarding its interpretation of the rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/23/14	79 FR 29970
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/21/14	
Final Rule .....	12/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Blane A Workie, Principal Deputy Assistant General Counsel, Department of Transportation, Office of the Secretary, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366–9342, *TDD Phone:* 202 755–7687, *Fax:* 202 366–7152, *Email:* [blane.workie@dot.gov](mailto:blane.workie@dot.gov).  
*RIN:* 2105–AE11  
**BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Prerule Stage

**185. + Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Rules of 14 CFR Part 135 to Tail-End Ferry Operations (FAA Reauthorization)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1153; 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 40102; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44105; 49 U.S.C. 44106; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44701 to 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 44901; 49 U.S.C. 44903; 49 U.S.C. 44904; 49 U.S.C. 44906; 49 U.S.C. 44912; 49 U.S.C. 44914; 49 U.S.C. 44936; 49 U.S.C. 44938; 49 U.S.C. 45101 to 45105; 49 U.S.C. 46103

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would require a flightcrew member who is employed by an air carrier conducting operations under part 135, and who

accepts an additional assignment for flying under part 91 from the air carrier or from any other air carrier conducting operations under part 121 or 135, to apply the period of the additional assignment toward any limitation applicable to the flightcrew member relating to duty periods or flight times under part 135.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	08/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dale Roberts, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267–5749, *Email:* [dale.roberts@faa.gov](mailto:dale.roberts@faa.gov).  
*RIN:* 2120–AK26

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**186. + Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 44701; Pub. L. 112–95

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would adopt specific rules to allow the operation of small unmanned aircraft systems in the National Airspace System. These changes would address the operation of unmanned aircraft systems, certification of their operators, registration, and display of registration markings. The rulemaking also would find that airworthiness certification is not required for small unmanned aircraft system operations that would be subject to this proposed rule. Lastly, the rulemaking would prohibit model



aircraft from endangering the safety of the National Airspace System.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/23/15	80 FR 9544
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/24/15	
Analyzing Comments.	08/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lance Nuckolls, Unmanned Aircraft Systems Integration Office, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 490 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20024, *Phone:* 202 267-8447, *Email:* uas-rule@faa.gov.

RIN: 2120-AJ60

**187. Changing the Collective Risk Limits for Launches and Reentries, and Clarifying the Risk Limit Used To Establish Hazard Areas for Ships and Aircraft**

*Legal Authority:* 51 U.S.C. 50901 to 50923

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would revise the collective risk limits for commercial launches and reentries. With this rulemaking, the FAA would separate its expected-number-of-casualties limits for launches and reentries. For commercial launches, the FAA would aggregate the expected-number-of-casualties posed by the following hazards: (1) Impacting inert and explosive debris, (2) toxic release, and (3) far field blast overpressure to one times ten to the minus four. This rulemaking would also clarify the regulatory requirements concerning hazard areas for ships and aircraft.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/21/14	79 FR 42241
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/20/14	
Analyzing Comments.	06/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rene Rey, Licensing and Safety Division, Office of Commercial Space, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 267-7538, *Email:* rene.rey@faa.gov.

RIN: 2120-AK06

**188. Flight Simulation Training Device (FSTD) Qualification Standards for Extended Envelope and Adverse Weather Event Training**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701; Pub. L. 111-216

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would amend evaluation qualifications for simulators to ensure the simulators are technically capable of performing new flight training tasks as identified in the Airline Safety and Federal Aviation Administration Extension Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-216) and that are included in a separate rulemaking (2120-AJ00). By ensuring the simulators provide an accurate and realistic simulation, this rulemaking would allow for training on the following tasks: (1) Full/aerodynamic stall, and (2) upset recognition and recovery, as identified in Pub. L. 111-216. Furthermore, this rulemaking would improve the minimum FSTD evaluation requirements for gusting crosswinds (takeoff/landing), engine and airframe icing, and bounced landing recovery methods in response to NTSB and Aviation Rulemaking Committee recommendations. The intended effect is to ensure an adequate level of simulator fidelity.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/10/14	79 FR 39461
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	09/16/14	79 FR 55407
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/08/14	
Comment Period Extended.	01/06/15	
Analyzing Comments.	06/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Larry McDonald, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, GA 30320, *Phone:* 404-474-5620, *Email:* larry.e.mcdonald@faa.gov.

RIN: 2120-AK08

**189. + Applying the Flight, Duty and Rest Requirements to Ferry Flights That Follow Domestic, Flag, or Supplemental All-Cargo Operations; (Reauthorization)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40119; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44705; 49 U.S.C. 44709 to 44711; 49 U.S.C. 44713; 49 U.S.C. 44716; 49 U.S.C. 44717

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would require a flightcrew member who accepts an additional assignment for flying under part 91 from the air carrier or from any other air carrier conducting operations under part 121 or 135 of such title, to apply the period of the additional assignment toward any limitation applicable to the flightcrew member relating to duty periods or flight times. This rule is necessary to make part 121 flight, duty, and rest limits applicable to tail-end ferries that follow an all-cargo flight.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dale Roberts, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-5749, *Email:* dale.roberts@faa.gov.

RIN: 2120-AK22

**190. Reciprocal Waivers of Claims for Licensed or Permitted Launch and Reentry Activities (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 322; 51 U.S.C. 50910 to 50923

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would extend the waiver of claims for all customers involved in a launch or reentry, amend the requirement describing which entities are required to sign the statutorily-mandated waiver of claims, and add a new waiver template for the customer's use. This rulemaking would ease the administrative burden on customers, licensees, permittees, and the FAA, especially when a new customer is added only a short time before the scheduled launch or reentry.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/13/15	80 FR 2015
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/16/15	
Analyzing Comments.	06/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Shirley McBride, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-7470, *Email:* shirley.mcbride@faa.gov.

RIN: 2120-AK44

**191. • +Reorganization**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44704

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would revise title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 23 as a set of performance-based regulations for the design and certification of small transport category aircraft. This rulemaking would: (1) Reorganize part 23 into performance-based requirements by removing the detailed design requirements from part 23. The detailed design provisions that would assist applicants in complying with the new performance-based requirements would be identified in means of compliance (MOC) documents to support this effort; (2) promote the adoption of the newly created performance-based airworthiness design standard as an internationally accepted standard by the majority of other civil aviation authorities; (3) realign the part 23 requirements to promote the development of entry-level airplanes similar to those certified under Certification Specification for Very Light Aircraft (CS-VLA); (4) enhance the FAA’s ability to address new technology; (5) increase the general aviation (GA) level of safety provided by new and modified airplanes; (6) amend the stall, stall warning, and spin requirements to reduce fatal accidents and increase crashworthiness by allowing new methods for occupant protection; and (7) address icing conditions that are currently not included in part 23 regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Lowell Foster, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust St., Kansas City, MO 64106, *Phone:* 816–329–4125, *Email:* lowell.foster@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120–AK65

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Final Rule Stage

**192. Acceptance Criteria for Portable Oxygen Concentrators Used Onboard Aircraft (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(f); 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1155; 49 U.S.C.

40103; 49 U.S.C. 40105; 49 U.S.C. 40109; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40119; 49 U.S.C. 40120; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44110; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44502; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44704; 49 U.S.C. 44705; 49 U.S.C. 44709 to 44713; 49 U.S.C. 44715 to 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 45101 to 45105; 49 U.S.C. 46102; 49 U.S.C. 46105; 49 U.S.C. 46306; 49 U.S.C. 46315; 49 U.S.C. 46316; 49 U.S.C. 46504; 49 U.S.C. 46506; 49 U.S.C. 46507; 49 U.S.C. 47122; 49 U.S.C. 47508; 49 U.S.C. 47528 to 47531; 61 Stat. 1180—Articles 12 and 29

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would establish FAA acceptance criteria for portable oxygen concentrators (POC) used by passengers in air carrier operations, commercial operations, and certain other operations using large aircraft. To identify POCs that satisfy the FAA acceptance criteria, POC manufacturers will affix a label on the exterior of the device. With the establishment of POC acceptance criteria, the FAA will discontinue the use of Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) No. 106 (“the SFAR”), removing it from title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) parts 121, 125, and 135. POCs currently identified in the SFAR will continue to be identified in the regulatory text of the final rule as approved for use on aircraft and will not require a label prior to use.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/19/14	79 FR 56288
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/14	
Final Rule .....	01/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Denise K Deaderick, Air Transportation Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267–8166, *Email:* dk.deaderick@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120–AK32

**193. • +Prohibition Against Certain Flights Within the Baghdad (ORBB) Flight Information Region (FIR) Amendment**

*Legal Authority:* 126 Stat. 11; 49 U.S.C. 106(f); 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1155; 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 40105; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40120; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44704; 49 U.S.C. 44709; 49 U.S.C.

44711; 49 U.S.C. 44712; 49 U.S.C. 44715; 49 U.S.C. 44716; 49 U.S.C. 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 46306; 49 U.S.C. 46315; 49 U.S.C. 46316; 49 U.S.C. 46504; 49 U.S.C. 46506; 49 U.S.C. 46507; 49 U.S.C. 47122; 49 U.S.C. 47508; 49 U.S.C. 47528 to 47531; 49 U.S.C. 47534; 61 Stat. 1180

*Abstract:* This action amends Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) No. 77, section 91.1605, Prohibition Against Certain Flights Within the Territory and Airspace of Iraq, which prohibits certain flight operations in the territory and airspace of Iraq by all United States (U.S.) air carriers, U.S. commercial operators, persons exercising the privileges of a U.S. airman certificate, except when such persons are operating a U.S.-registered civil aircraft for a foreign air carrier, and operators of U.S. registered civil aircraft, except when such operators are foreign air carriers. On August 8, 2014, the FAA issued a Notice-to-Airmen (NOTAM) prohibiting flight operations in the ORBB FIR at all altitudes, subject to certain limited exceptions, due to the armed conflict in Iraq. This amendment to SFAR No. 77, section 91.1605, incorporates the flight prohibition set forth in the August 8, 2014, NOTAM into the rule. The FAA is also making technical corrections to a previously published amendment to SFAR No. 77, section 91.1605, revising the approval process for this SFAR for other U.S. Government departments, agencies, and instrumentalities, to make it more similar to the approval process for other recently published flight prohibition SFARs, and adding an expiration date.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	05/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Robert Frenzel, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267–7638.

*RIN:* 2120–AK60

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Long-Term Actions

**194. +Drug and Alcohol Testing of Certain Maintenance Provider Employees Located Outside of the United States**

*Legal Authority:* 14 CFR; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44707; 49 U.S.C. 44709; 49 U.S.C. 44717

*Abstract:* This rulemaking is required by the FAA Modernization and Reform 2012. It would require controlled substance testing of some employees working in repair stations located outside the United States. The intended effect is to increase participation by companies outside of the United States in testing of employees who perform safety critical functions and testing standards similar to those used in the repair stations located in the United States. This action is necessary to increase the level of safety of the flying public. This rulemaking is required by the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/17/14	79 FR 14621
Comment Period Extended.	05/01/14	79 FR 24631
ANPRM Comment Period End.	05/16/14	
Comment Period End.	07/17/14	
Next Action Undetermined.	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Vicky Dunne, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-8522, *Email:* vicky.dunne@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120-AK09

**BILLING CODE** 4910-13-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**195. +Carrier Safety Fitness Determination**

*Legal Authority:* Section 4009 of TEA-21

*Abstract:* FMCSA proposes to amend the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) to adopt revised methodologies that would result in a safety fitness determination (SFD). The proposed methodologies would determine when a motor carrier is not fit to operate commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) in or affecting interstate commerce based on (1) the carrier's performance in relation to five of the Agency's Behavioral Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASICs); (2) an investigation; or (3) a combination of on-road safety data and investigation information. The intended effect of this action is to reduce crashes caused by CMV drivers and motor carriers that result in death, injuries, and property damage on U.S. highways by more effectively using FMCSA data and resources to identify unfit motor carriers and remove them from the Nation's roadways.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Bryan Price, Transportation Specialist, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1000 Liberty Ave., Suite 300, Pittsburgh, PA 15222, *Phone:* 412 395-4816, *Email:* bryan.price@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB11

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Final Rule Stage

**196. +Commercial Driver's License Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse (MAP-21)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31306  
*Abstract:* This rulemaking would create a central database for verified, positive controlled substances and alcohol test results for commercial driver's license (CDL) holders and refusals by such drivers to submit to testing. This rulemaking would require employers of CDL holders and service agents to report positive test results and refusals to test into the Clearinghouse. Prospective employers, acting on an application for a CDL driver position with the applicant's written consent to access the Clearinghouse, would query the Clearinghouse to determine if any specific information about the driver

applicant is in the Clearinghouse before allowing the applicant to be hired and to drive CMVs. This rulemaking is intended to increase highway safety by ensuring CDL holders, who have tested positive or have refused to submit to testing, have completed the U.S. DOT's return-to-duty process before driving CMVs in interstate or intrastate commerce. It is also intended to ensure that employers are meeting their drug and alcohol testing responsibilities. Additionally, provisions in this rulemaking would also be responsive to requirements of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act to create the Clearinghouse by 10/01/14.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/20/14	79 FR 9703
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/21/14	
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/22/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/22/14	79 FR 22467
Final Rule .....	01/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Juan Moya, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-4844, *Email:* juan.moya@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB18

**197. +Electronic Logging Devices and Hours of Service Supporting Documents (MAP-21) (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31502; 31136(a); Pub. L. 103.311; 49 U.S.C. 31137(a)

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would establish the following: (1) Minimum performance and design standards for hours-of-service (HOS) electronic logging devices (ELDs); (2) requirements for the mandatory use of these devices by drivers currently required to prepare HOS records of duty status (RODS); (3) requirements concerning HOS supporting documents; and (4) measures to address concerns about harassment resulting from the mandatory use of ELDs.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/01/11	76 FR 5537
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/28/11	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/10/11	76 FR 13121

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	05/23/11	79 FR 17656
SNPRM .....	03/28/14	
SNPRM Comment Period End.	05/27/14	
Final Rule .....	09/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian Routhier, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-1225, *Email:* brian.routhier@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB20

**198. +Lease and Interchange of Vehicles; Motor Carriers of Passengers**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31502; 49 U.S.C. 13301; 49 U.S.C. 31136

*Abstract:* FMCSA adopts regulations governing the lease and interchange of passenger-carrying commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) to: (1) Identify the motor carrier operating a passenger-carrying CMV and responsible for compliance with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and all other applicable Federal regulations; (2) ensure that a lessor surrenders control of the CMV for the full term of the lease, or temporary exchange of CMVs and drivers; and (3) require motor carriers subject to a prohibition on operating in interstate commerce to notify FMCSA in writing before leasing or otherwise transferring control of their vehicles to other carriers. This action would ensure that unsafe passenger carriers do not evade FMCSA oversight and enforcement by operating under the authority of another carrier that exercises no actual control over those operations. This action will enable the FMCSA, the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), and our Federal and State partners to identify motor carriers transporting passengers in interstate commerce and correctly assign responsibility to these entities for regulatory violations during inspections, compliance investigations, and crash studies. It also provides the general public with the means to identify the responsible motor carrier at the time of transportation. While detailed lease and interchange regulations for cargo-carrying vehicles have been in effect since 1950, this final rule for passenger-carrying CMVs is focused entirely on operational safety.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/20/13	78 FR 57822

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/19/13	
Final Rule .....	09/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Wesley Barber, Transportation Specialist, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 385-2428, *Email:* wesley.barber@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB44

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Long-Term Actions

**199. +Entry-Level Driver Training (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31136  
*Abstract:* The Agency is in the pre-rulemaking stage for this project. It will move forward with a product that focuses on the MAP-21 mandate and make the best use of the wealth of information provided by stakeholders since the publication of the 2007 NPRM.  
*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	To Be	Determined

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Sean Gallagher, MC-PRR, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-3740, *Email:* sean.gallagher@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB66

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Completed Actions

**200. +Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance; Driver-Vehicle Inspection Report (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31502(b)  
*Abstract:* This rulemaking would rescind the requirement that commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers operating in interstate commerce submit, and motor carriers retain,

driver-vehicle inspection reports when the driver has neither found nor been made aware of any vehicle defects or deficiencies. Specifically, this rulemaking would remove a significant information collection burden without adversely impacting safety. This rulemaking responds in part to the President's January 2012 Regulatory Review and Reform initiative.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/07/13	78 FR 48125
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/07/13	79 FR 75437
Final Rule .....	12/18/14	
Final Rule Effective.	12/18/14	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sean Gallagher, MC-PRR, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-3740, *Email:* sean.gallagher@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB46

*BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P*

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**201. +Passenger Equipment Safety Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 20103  
*Abstract:* This rulemaking would amend 49 CFR part 238 to update existing safety standards for passenger rail equipment. Specifically, the proposed rulemaking would add standards for alternative compliance with requirements for Tier I passenger equipment, increase the maximum authorized speed for Tier II passenger equipment, and add requirements for a new Tier III category of passenger equipment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathryn Shelton, Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 493-6063, *Email:* kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov.

RIN: 2130-AC46

**202. +Train Crew Staffing and Location**

*Legal Authority:* 28 U.S.C. 2461, note; 49 CFR 1.89; 49 U.S.C. 20103; 49 U.S.C. 20107; 49 U.S.C. 21301 to 21302; 49 U.S.C. 21304

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would add minimum requirements for the size of different train crew staffs, depending on the type of operation. The minimum crew staffing requirements would reflect the safety risks posed to railroad employees, the general public, and the environment. This rulemaking also would establish minimum requirements for the roles and responsibilities of the second train crew member on a moving train, and promote safe and effective teamwork. Additionally, this rulemaking would permit a railroad to submit information to FRA and seek approval if it wants to continue an existing operation with a one-person train crew or start up an operation with less than two crew members.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathryn Shelton, Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 493-6063, *Email:* [kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov](mailto:kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov).

RIN: 2130-AC48

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**203. +Pipeline Safety: Safety of Onshore Liquid Hazardous Pipelines**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would address effective procedures that hazardous liquid operators can use to improve the protection of High Consequence Areas (HCA) and other vulnerable areas along their hazardous liquid onshore pipelines. PHMSA is considering the following: whether changes are needed to the regulations covering hazardous liquid onshore pipelines; whether other areas should be included as HCAs for integrity management (IM) protections; what the

repair timeframes should be for areas outside the HCAs that are assessed as part of the IM program; whether leak detection standards are necessary; whether valve spacing requirements are needed on new construction or existing pipelines; and if PHMSA should extend regulation to certain pipelines currently exempt from regulation. The agency also would address the public safety and environmental aspects of any new requirements, as well as the cost implications and regulatory burden.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	10/18/10	75 FR 63774
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/18/11	
ANPRM Comment Period Extended.	01/04/11	76 FR 303
ANPRM Extended Comment Period End.	02/18/11	
NPRM .....	08/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John A Gale, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-0434, *Email:* [john.gale@dot.gov](mailto:john.gale@dot.gov).

RIN: 2137-AE66

**204. Pipeline Safety: Issues Related to the Use of Plastic Pipe in Gas Pipeline Industry**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would address a number of topics related to the use of plastic pipe in the gas pipeline industry. These topics include certain newer types of plastic pipe such as PE (polyethylene), PA11 (polyamide 11), PA12 (polyamide 12), 50-year markings, design factors, risers, incorporation by reference of certain plastic pipe related standards, and tracking and traceability.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cameron H. Satterthwaite, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-8553, *Email:* [cameron.satterthwaite@dot.gov](mailto:cameron.satterthwaite@dot.gov).

RIN: 2137-AE93

**205. +Pipeline Safety: Operator Qualification, Cost Recovery, Accident and Incident Notification, and Other Changes (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would address miscellaneous issues that have been raised because of the reauthorization of the pipeline safety program in 2012, and petitions for rulemaking from many affected stakeholders. Some of the issues that this rulemaking would address include renewal process for special permits, cost recovery for design reviews, and incident reporting.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John A Gale, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-0434, *Email:* [john.gale@dot.gov](mailto:john.gale@dot.gov).

RIN: 2137-AE94

**206. +Pipeline Safety: Amendments to Parts 192 and 195 To Require Valve Installation and Minimum Rupture Detection Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule would propose installation of automatic shut-off valves, remote controlled valves, or equivalent technology and establish performance-based meaningful metrics for rupture detection for gas and liquid transmission pipelines. Rupture detection metrics will be integrated with ASV and RCV placement to improve overall incident response. Rupture response metrics would focus on mitigating large, unsafe, uncontrolled release events that have a greater potential consequence. The areas proposed to be covered include High Consequence Areas (HCA) for hazardous liquids and HCA, Class 3 and 4, for natural gas (including "could affect" areas).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

Agency Contact: Lawrence White, Attorney-Advisor, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202 366-4400, Fax: 292 366-7041.

RIN: 2137-AF06

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)

Final Rule Stage

207. +Hazardous Materials: Enhanced Tank Car Standards and Operational Controls for High-Hazard Flammable Trains

Legal Authority: 49 U.S.C. 5101 et seq. et seq.

Abstract: This rulemaking would amend operational requirements for certain trains transporting a large volume of flammable materials, provide improvements in tank car standards, and revise the general requirements for offerors to ensure proper classification and characterization of mined gases and liquids. These new requirements are designed to lessen the consequences of derailments involving ethanol, crude oil, and certain trains transporting a large volume of flammable materials. The growing reliance on trains to transport large volumes of flammable

materials poses a significant risk to life, property, and the environment. The proposed changes also address National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) recommendations on accurate classification, enhanced tank cars, rail routing, oversight, and adequate response capabilities.

Timetable:

Table with 3 columns: Action, Date, FR Cite. Rows include ANPRM, ANPRM Comment Period End, Comment Period Extended, NPRM, NPRM Comment Period End, and Final Rule.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Ben Supko, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Av. SE., Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202 366-8553, Email: ben.supko@dot.gov.

RIN: 2137-AE91

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)

Maritime Administration (MARAD)

Proposed Rule Stage

208. +Cargo Preference

Legal Authority: 49 CFR 1.66; 46 app U.S.C. 1101; 46 app U.S.C. 1241; 46 U.S.C. 2302 (e)(1); Pub. L. 91-469

Abstract: This rulemaking would revise and clarify the cargo preference regulations that have not been revised substantially since 1971. The rulemaking would also implement statutory changes, including section 3511, Public Law 110-417, of The National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2009, which provides enforcement authority.

Timetable:

Table with 3 columns: Action, Date, FR Cite. Row includes NPRM with date 05/00/15.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Christine Gurland, Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, Phone: 202 366-5157, Email: christine.gurland@dot.gov.

RIN: 2133-AB74

[FR Doc. 2015-14366 Filed 6-17-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P