

date? If so, what date would be advisable?

b. Eligibility and Access. Please describe any appropriate limitations on the end-users who should be eligible to access a digital collection under a qualifying mass digitization project. For example, should access be limited to students, affiliates, and employees of the digitizing institution, or should ECL licensees be permitted to provide access to the general public? In addition, please describe any appropriate restrictions on methods of access. Should licensees be permitted to offer access to a collection remotely, or only through onsite computer terminals?

c. Security Requirements. The Office has recommended that CMOs and users be required to include, as part of any ECL license, terms requiring the user to implement and reasonably maintain adequate digital security measures to control access to the collection, and to prevent unauthorized reproduction, distribution, or display of the licensed works. Please describe any specific technical measures that should be required as part of this obligation. In addition, the Office invites stakeholder views on the extent to which specific security requirements should be set forth by statute or defined through Copyright Office regulations.

2. Dispute Resolution Process. The Office has recommended that the ECL pilot provide for a dispute resolution process before the Copyright Royalty Board (CRB) when an authorized CMO and a prospective user are unable to agree to licensing terms. The Office is interested in receiving public comment on what form this process should take. Should the legislation authorize informal mediation, with the CRB's role limited to that of a facilitator of negotiations? Or should the statute provide for binding arbitration? Some foreign ECL laws provide voluntary procedures under which parties can agree to submit their dispute to a binding proceeding, but are not required to do so.³ Do those laws provide a

³ See LOV 1961–05–12 nr 02: Lov om opphavsrett til åndsverk m.v. (åndsverkloven) [Act No. 2 of May 12, 1961 Relating to Copyright in Literary, Scientific and Artistic Works], as amended on Dec. 22, 2006, § 38 (Nor.), translated at http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file_id=248181 (unofficial translation), last amended by LOV–2014–06–13 nr 22 [Act No. 22 of June 13, 2014] (translation unavailable); Lag om medling i vissa upphovsrättstvister (Svensk författningssamling [SFS] 1980:612) [Act on Mediation in Certain Copyright Disputes] (1995) art. 5 (Swed.), translated at http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file_id=241666 (unofficial translation), as amended by Lag, May 26, 2005 (2005:361), translated at http://www.wipo.int/wipolex/en/text.jsp?file_id=129617 (unofficial translation), last amended by Lag, June 27, 2013 (2013:690) (translation unavailable).

workable dispute resolution model for a U.S. ECL program?

3. Distribution of Royalties. To ensure that rightsholders receive compensation within a reasonable time, the Office has recommended that the legislation or regulations establish a specific period within which a CMO must distribute royalties to rightsholders whom it has identified and located. Both the United Kingdom's ECL regulations and the European Union's February 2014 Directive on collective rights management generally require that such payments be made no later than nine months from the end of the financial year in which the royalties were collected.⁴ In the United States, there is some industry precedent for distributions by CMOs on a quarterly basis.⁵ What would be an appropriate timeframe for required distributions under a U.S. ECL program?

4. Diligent Search. The Office has recommended that a CMO be required to conduct diligent searches for non-member rightsholders for whom it has collected royalties. The Office believes that this obligation should include, but not be limited to, maintaining a publicly available list of information on all licensed works for which one or more rightsholders have not been identified or located.⁶ What additional actions should be required as part of a CMO's diligent search obligation?

5. Other Issues. Please comment on any additional issues that the Copyright Office may wish to consider in developing draft ECL legislation.

Dated: June 4, 2015.

Karyn A. Temple Claggett,

Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of Policy and International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2015–14116 Filed 6–8–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

⁴ Copyright and Rights in Performances (Extended Collective Licensing) Regulations 2014, S.I. 2014/2588, art. 18, ¶ 3 (U.K.) (“U.K. ECL Regulations”); Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on Collective Management of Copyright and Related Rights and Multi-Territorial Licensing of Rights in Musical Works for Online Use in the Internal Market, art. 13(1), 2014 O.J. (L 84) 72, 87, available at <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014L0026&from=EN>.

⁵ See, e.g., Copyright Clearance Center, Royalty Payment Schedule (2014), available at <http://www.copyright.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Royaltypaymentsschedule.pdf>; General FAQ, SoundExchange, <http://www.soundexchange.com/about/general-faq/>.

⁶ Cf. U.K. ECL Regulations, S.I. 2014/2588, art. 18, ¶ 5; Directive 2014/26/EU art. 13(3).

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: (15–045)]

National Space-Based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing (PNT) Advisory Board; Charter Renewal

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee renewal.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the 2004 U.S. Space-Based PNT Policy and continuing and consistent Executive Branch PNT policy objectives since that time, it has been determined that the PNT Advisory Board comprised of experts from outside the United States Government continues to be necessary and in the public interest. Accordingly, NASA has renewed the charter of the National Space-Based PNT Advisory Board, effective May 8, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James J. Miller, Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–4417, fax (202) 358–4297, or jj.miller@nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Space-Based PNT Advisory Board provides advice on U.S. space-based PNT policy, planning, program management, and funding profiles in relation to the current state of national and international space-based PNT services. The National Space-Based PNT Advisory Board functions solely as an advisory body and complies fully with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). Copies of the charter are filed with the General Services Administration, the appropriate Committees of the U.S. Congress, and the Library of Congress.

Patricia D. Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 2015–13977 Filed 6–8–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

[NARA–2015–046]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: NARA gives public notice that it proposes to request an extension of an approved information collection, Independent Researcher Listing Application, NA Form 14115, used by independent researchers to provide their contact information. We invite you to comment on this proposed information collection pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: We must receive written comments on or before August 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Paperwork Reduction Act Comments (ISSD), Room 4400; National Archives and Records Administration; 8601 Adelphi Road; College Park, MD 20740-6001, fax them to 301-713-7409, or email them to tamee.fechhelm@nara.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Tamee Fechhelm by telephone at 301-837-1694 or fax at 301-713-7409 with requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information collections and supporting statements.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), NARA invites the public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. The comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for NARA to properly perform its functions; (b) NARA's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection and its accuracy; (c) ways NARA could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information it collects; (d) ways NARA could minimize the burden on respondents of collecting the information, including through information technology; and (e) whether the collection affects small businesses. We will summarize any comments you submit and include the summary in our request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this notice, NARA solicits comments concerning the following information collection:

Title: Independent Researcher Listing Application.

OMB number: 3095-0054.

Agency form numbers: NA Form 14115.

Type of review: Regular.

Affected public: Individuals or households.

Estimated number of respondents: 458.

Estimated time per response: 10 minutes.

Frequency of response: On occasion.
Estimated total annual burden hours: 76.

Abstract: To accommodate both the public and NARA staff, the Customer Services Division (RD-DC) of the National Archives maintains a listing of independent researchers for the public. We make use of various lists of independent researchers who perform freelance research for hire in the Washington, DC, area and send them, upon request, to researchers who cannot travel to the metropolitan area to conduct their own research. All interested independent researchers provide their contact information via this form. Collecting contact and other key information from each independent researcher and providing such information to the public when deemed appropriate will only increase business. This form is not a burden in any way to any independent researcher who voluntarily submits a completed form. Inclusion on the list will not be viewed or advertised as an endorsement by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). The listing is compiled and disseminated as a service to the public.

Dated: May 28, 2015.

Swarnali Haldar,

Executive for Information Services/CIO.

[FR Doc. 2015-14064 Filed 6-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering (CEOSE) Advisory Committee Meeting (1173).

Dates/Time: June 24, 2015 1:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m.; June 25, 2015 8:30 a.m.-3:30 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation (NSF), 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

To help facilitate your entry into the building, please contact Vickie Fung (vfung@nsf.gov) on or prior to June 18, 2015.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Dr. Joan Burrelli, Acting CEOSE Executive Secretary, Office of Integrative Activities (OIA), National Science Foundation, 4201

Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone Numbers: 703-292-8040/ Email: jburrell@nsf.gov.

Minutes: Meeting minutes and other information may be obtained from the Acting CEOSE Executive Secretary at the above address or the Web site at <http://www.nsf.gov/od/iaa/activities/ceose/index.jsp>.

Purpose of Meeting: To study data, programs, policies, and other information pertinent to the National Science Foundation and to provide advice and recommendations concerning broadening participation in science and engineering.

Agenda

Opening Statement by the CEOSE Chair
NSF Executive Liaison Report
Discussions:

Leadership Panel Discussion: NSF INCLUDES (Inclusion across the Nation of Communities of Learners that have been Underrepresented for Diversity in Engineering and Science)

NSF Broadening Participation Framework for Action
Thematic Focus of Women and Girls in STEM

Reports of CEOSE Liaisons to NSF Advisory Committees
2015-2016 CEOSE Biennial Report to Congress

Updates from the Federal Liaisons

Dated: June 4, 2015.

Crystal Robinson,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015-14036 Filed 6-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2015-0123]

Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Considerations and Containing Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information and Order Imposing Procedures for Access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: License amendment request; opportunity to comment, request a hearing, and petition for leave to intervene; order.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) received and is considering approval of two amendment