

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION****[Investigation No. 337-TA-957]****Certain Touchscreen Controllers and  
Products Containing the Same  
Institution of investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 21, 2015, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Synaptics Incorporated of San Jose, California. Supplements were filed on May 7, 2015. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain touchscreen controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,874 (“the ‘874 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,338,724 (“the ‘724 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,558,811 (“the ‘811 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,952,916 (“the ‘916 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations,

U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2015).

*Scope Of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 19, 2015, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain touchscreen controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 5, 6, 11, 16, 23-26, 39, 50, 51, 56, 57, 61, 62, and 64 of the ‘874 patent; claims 1-3, 5, 8, 12, and 19-22 of the ‘724 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16-18, 20, 23, and 25 of the ‘811 patent; and claims 1-3, 7, 9, 10, and 13-16 of the ‘916 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Synaptics Incorporated, 1251 McKay Drive, San Jose, CA 95131.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Shenzhen Huiding Technology Co., Ltd. a/k/a, Shenzhen Goodix Technology Co., Ltd., Floor 2 and 13, Phase B, Tengfei Industrial Building, Futian Free-trade Zone, Shenzhen 518000, China.

Goodix Technology Inc., 6370 Lusk Boulevard, Suite F204, San Diego, CA 92121.

BLU Products, Inc., 10814 NW 33rd Street, No. 100, Doral, FL 33172.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in

accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: May 20, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-12630 Filed 5-22-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Notice of Lodging of Proposed  
Consent Decree Under the Clean Air  
Act**

On May 14, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Enviro-Safe Refrigerants, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-1196.

The United States of America, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), filed a claim against Defendant Enviro-Safe Refrigerants, Inc. (“Enviro-Safe”) to obtain injunctive relief and civil penalties pursuant to Clean Air Act Sections 113 and 612, and the Significant New Alternatives Policy program regulations promulgated at 40 CFR part 82, subpart G §§ 82.170-82.184 (commonly known as the “SNAP” program). The United States alleged that Enviro-Safe had marketed and sold flammable hydrocarbon refrigerants as direct replacements for ozone-depleting substances without providing the