

volatile organic compounds was not detected at the residential wells sampled. MDEQ stated they planned to include metals in the residential well sampling program beginning in 2008.

Since the 2008 five year review, MDEQ coordinated with Berrien County to collect samples at local residential wells. The 2012 and 2013 results continued to show no detections of volatile organic compounds. Some of the locations were also sampled for metals analysis. None of the metals were detected at concentrations above MCLs although residences exceeded the aesthetic drinking water value of 0.3 mg/l for iron in a range of 0.72–1.42 mg/l. Since no site-related impacts have been seen in the area residential well monitoring conducted over the last several years, MDEQ now believes that it is appropriate to delete the site from the NPL.

While MDEQ had historical concerns regarding the adequacy of groundwater plume characterization, MDEQ now agrees that the implemented remedial actions have been sufficient to address the known risks at the Site.

EPA has determined that the Site is subject to zoning by the local government and the Site is currently zoned for agricultural use. However, limiting the Site to agricultural land use is not a condition of the Superfund remedy.

#### *Operation and Maintenance*

The implemented remedial actions have been sufficient to address the known risks at the Site. In addition, no-site related impacts have been seen in the residential wells that have been monitored by the MDEQ over the past several years. Effective immediately, the MDEQ will terminate monitoring of the residential wells in the vicinity of the Burrows Sanitation Superfund Site.

#### *Five-Year Review*

A Five Year Review Report for the Site was completed in February 2013. In the report, EPA viewed the Burrows Sanitation Site as eligible for deletion from the NPL. There were no recommendations and follow-up actions noted in the 2013 Five Year Review Report. Since all clean up goals have been achieved and the site is now unlimited use/unrestricted exposure no additional Five Year Reviews are necessary.

#### *Community Involvement*

Public participation activities have been satisfied as required in CERCLA Section 113(k), 42 U.S.C. 9613(k), and CERCLA section 117, 42 U.S.C. 9617. Documents in the deletion docket which

EPA relied on for recommendation of the deletion of this site from the NPL are available to the public in the information repositories and at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

#### *Determination That the Site Meets the Criteria for Deletion in the NCP*

The implemented remedy achieves the degree of cleanup specified in the ROD for all pathways of exposure. All selected remedial action objectives and clean-up goals are consistent with agency policy and guidance. No further Superfund response is needed to protect human health and the environment at the Site.

The NCP (40 CFR 300.425(e)) states that a site may be deleted from the NPL when no further response action is appropriate. EPA, in consultation with the State of Michigan, has determined that all required response actions have been implemented and no further response action by the responsible parties is appropriate.

#### **V. Deletion Action**

EPA, with concurrence from the State of Michigan through the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, has determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA have been completed. Therefore, EPA is deleting the Site from the NPL.

Because EPA considers this action to be noncontroversial and routine, EPA is taking it without prior publication. This action will be effective July 14, 2015 unless EPA receives adverse comments by June 15, 2015. If adverse comments are received within the 30-day public comment period, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of this direct final Notice of Deletion before the effective date of the deletion, and it will not take effect. EPA will prepare a response to comments and continue with the deletion process on the basis of the Notice of Intent to Delete and the comments already received. There will be no additional opportunity to comment.

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, and Water supply.

Dated: April 30, 2015.

**Susan Hedman,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

For the reasons set out in this document, 40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

### **PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 300 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1321(d); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 13626, 77 FR 56749, 3CFR, 2013 Comp., p. 306; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

#### **Appendix B to Part 300—[Amended]**

■ 2. Table 1 of appendix B to part 300 is amended by removing the entry “MI Burrows Sanitation, Hartford.”

[FR Doc. 2015–11801 Filed 5–14–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

### **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

#### **42 CFR Part 37**

#### **Specifications for Medical Examinations of Coal Miners**

##### *CFR Correction*

In Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 1 to 399, revised as of October 1, 2014, on page 195, in § 37.204, remove the second introductory paragraph.

[FR Doc. 2015–11722 Filed 5–14–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1505–01–D**

### **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

#### **47 CFR Part 74**

[DA 15–486]

#### **Suspension of September 1, 2015 Digital Transition Date for Low Power Television and TV Translator Stations**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; suspension of regulations.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Media Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) announced that, effective May 15, 2015, the September 1, 2015 digital transition date for low power television (LPTV) and TV translator stations is hereby suspended. The Commission will decide on a new transition date in the rulemaking proceeding in MB Docket No. 03–185. Until a decision is reached in the rulemaking and the Commission can determine the effect of the future incentive auction and repacking, LPTV and TV translator stations may delay