reports, and content on the commission Web site. Proposed for permanent retention are records associated with the executive director, program correspondence files, annual reports, congressional testimony and legislation records, policy files, energy and species program files, fisheries subject files, and master files of an electronic information system containing Federally-funded research and data collection records.

15. Office of Personnel Management, Healthcare and Insurance Program (DAA–0478–2015–0001, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Records relating to health plan benefit reviews including requests for reviews, claim and medical histories files, and final determination letters.

Dated: April 15, 2015.

Paul M. Wester, Jr.

Chief Records Officer for the U.S. Government.

[FR Doc. 2015–09423 Filed 4–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance for this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than three years.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by June 22, 2015, to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 1265, Arlington, VA 22230, or by email to *splimpto@nsf.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292–7556 or send email to *splimpto@nsf.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: DUE Project Data Form.

OMB Control No.: 3145–0201.

Expiration Date of Approval: September 30, 2015.

Abstract: The Division of Undergraduate Education (DUE) Project Data Form is a component of all grant proposals submitted to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education. This form collects information needed to direct proposals to appropriate reviewers and to report the estimated collective impact of proposed projects on institutions, students, and faculty members. Requested information includes the discipline of the proposed project, collaborating organizations involved in the project, the academic level on which the project focuses (*e.g.,* lower-level undergraduate courses, upper-level undergraduate courses), characteristics of the organization submitting the proposal, special audiences (if any) that the project would target (*e.g.,* women, minorities, persons with disabilities), strategic foci (if any) of the project (*e.g.,* research on teaching and learning, international activities, integration of research and education), and the number of students and faculty at different educational levels who would benefit from the project.

Respondents: Investigators who submit proposals to NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 2,700.

Burden on the Public: 20 minutes (per response) for an annual total of 900 hours.

Dated: April 17, 2015.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2015–09364 Filed 4–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL WOMEN'S BUSINESS COUNCIL

Quarterly Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Women's Business Council.

ACTION: Notice of open public meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 23, 2015 from 9:45 a.m. to 11:15 a.m. CST.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at The Neal Kocurek Memorial Austin Convention Center, located at 500 E Cesar Chavez Street in Austin, Texas.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), SBA announces the meeting of the National Women's Business Council. The National Women's Business Council is tasked with providing policy recommendations on issues of importance to women business owners to the President, Congress, and the SBA Administrator.

This meeting is the 3rd quarterly meeting of the Council for Fiscal Year 2015. The meeting will include: Remarks from the Council Chair, Carla Harris, and report outs from each of the NWBC committees—the Group of Six, Communications and Engagement, and Research and Policy. Updates will be shared on the current research projects, including: Women's participation in accelerators and incubators (qualitative), women's participation in corporate supplier diversity programs (qualitative), undercapitalization as a contributing factor to failure (quantitative), women's use of social networks (quantitative), and an impact study of the Women Business Center program. The Council will also announce the FY2015 research portfolio. Time will be reserved at the end for audience participants to address Council Members directly with questions, comments, or feedback. Following this meeting, NWBC partner organization Women's Business National Enterprise Council (WBENC) will kick off their National Conference and Business Fair.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The meeting is open to the public however advance notice of attendance is requested. To RSVP and confirm attendance, the general public should email *nwbcouncil@nwbc.gov* with subject line—''RSVP for Austin.'' Participants will receive confirmation information with the logistical details closer to the date of the meeting. Anyone wishing to make a presentation to the NWBC at this meeting must either email their interest to *chair@nwbc.gov* or call the main office number at 202–205–3850. For more information, please visit the National Women's Business Council Web site at *www.nwbc.gov.*

Miguel J. L'Heureux,

SBA Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–09293 Filed 4–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–74739; File No. SR–BYX–2015–07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Y-Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rules 11.9, 11.12, and 11.13 of BATS Y-Exchange, Inc.

April 16, 2015.

I. Introduction

On January 30, 2015, BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (''BYX'' or the ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission''), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'' or ''Exchange Act'') [1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] a proposed rule change to amend Exchange Rules 11.9, 11.12, and 11.13. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on February 18, 2015.[3] The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order grants approval of the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange conducted a comprehensive review of its system functionality.[4] The proposal adds additional clarity and specificity regarding the current functionality of the Exchange's System,[5] including the operation of its order types and order instructions. The Exchange proposes no substantive modifications to the System.

The changes include: (i) Making clear that orders with a Time-in-Force (''TIF'') of Immediate-or-Cancel (''IOC'') can be routed away from the Exchange; (ii) specifying the methodology used by the Exchange to determine whether BATS Post Only Orders [6] will remove liquidity from the BATS Book; [7] (iii) adding additional detail to and re-structuring the description of Pegged Orders; (iv) adding additional detail to the description of Mid-Point Peg Orders; (v) adding additional detail to the description of Discretionary Orders; (vi) amending Rule 11.12, Priority of Orders, and Rule 11.13, Order Execution, to provide additional specificity and enhance the structure of Exchange rules describing the process for ranking, executing and routing orders; (vii) adding additional detail to the description of orders subject to Re-Route functionality; and (viii) making a series of conforming changes to Rules 11.9, 11.12 and 11.13 to update cross-references.

Rule 11.9. The Exchange proposes revisions to Rule 11.9 to provide greater detail as to the existing functionality of certain order types and modifiers.[8] Among other things, the Exchange proposes to make clear that orders with an IOC TIF are routable but do not post to the Exchange's book,[9] whereas orders with a Fill-or-Kill (''FOK'') TIF are not routable.[10] The Exchange also proposes to clarify the Exchange's methodology for determining whether BATS Post Only orders will remove liquidity from the Exchange's order book upon entry.[11] In addition, the Exchange proposes to reformat the rule describing the Primary Pegged and Market Pegged orders,[12] and to make clear that Mid-Point Peg Orders are not eligible to execute when the NBBO is crossed but Users may elect whether such orders will be eligible to execute when the NBBO is locked.[13] Further, the Exchange proposes to add additional detail to the rule describing Discretionary Orders so that it specifies: (i) That Discretionary Orders may be fully non-displayed, with a non-displayed ranked price (and discretionary price); (ii) how resting Discretionary Orders interact with incoming contra-side orders, including how the order type, TIF and price of the incoming order affects whether the resting Discretionary Order removes liquidity against the incoming order or the incoming order removes liquidity against the resting Discretionary Order; and (iii) that Discretionary Orders are routed away from the Exchange at their full discretionary price.[14]

Rule 11.12. The Exchange proposes several modifications to Rule 11.12 that are intended to clarify existing functionality relating to order priority. Some of these modifications would revise the structure of Rule 11.12 or add cross references to other rules.[15] In addition, the Exchange proposes to revise Rule 11.12(a)(2) to refer to ranking, rather than executing, equally-priced trading interest because, according to the Exchange, the rule is intended to describe the manner in which resting orders are ranked and maintained.[16] The Exchange also proposes to revise the reference to Pegged Orders in the priority hierarchy set forth in Rule 11.12(a)(2) to make clear that the reference is specifically to non-displayed Pegged Orders.[17] The Exchange notes that the purpose of this revision is to distinguish non-displayed Pegged Orders from Primary Pegged

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

[3] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74250 (February 11, 2015), 80 FR 8734 (''Notice'').

[4] On June 5, 2014, Chair Mary Jo White asked all national securities exchanges to conduct a comprehensive review of each order type offered to members and how it operates in practice. *See* Mary Jo White, Chair, Commission, Speech at the Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. Global Exchange and Brokerage Conference, (June 5, 2014) (*available at http://www.sec.gov/News/Speech/Detail/Speech/1370542004312#.VD2HW610w6Y*).

[5] Exchange Rule 1.5(aa) defines ''System'' as ''the electronic communications and trading facility designated by the Board through which securities orders of Users are consolidated for ranking, execution and, when applicable, routing away.''

[6] *See* Rule 11.9(c)(6).

[7] As defined in Rule 1.5(e).

[8] For additional detail regarding the specific proposed revisions for each order type and modifier, *see* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8734–36, and proposed Rule 11.9.

[9] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(b)(1). In connection with this proposed change the Exchange also proposes to specify that the cancellation of an unfilled balance of an order is one possible outcome after an order has been routed away. *See* proposed Rule 11.13(b)(2). This is what would occur with the unfilled balance of a routed IOC order. *See* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8734.

[10] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(b)(6).

[11] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(c)(6). Due to the Exchange's inverted fee structure, incoming BATS Post Only Orders always execute upon entry (and hence remove liquidity) when marketable against resting contra-side liquidity because it is always economically advantageous for them to do so. The Exchange nevertheless maintains this order type so that the post-only functionality remains available in the event the Exchange's fee structure changes, and proposes the clarifying changes reflected in proposed Rule 11.9(c)(6) so as to reflect the actual functionality of the System, which still performs the economic best interest specified in the rule despite the outcome being pre-determined by the Exchange's fee structure. *See* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8735.

[12] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(c)(8).

[13] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(c)(9).

[14] *See* proposed Rule 11.9(c)(10). In addition, the Exchange proposes to update cross references to rules that would be re-numbered as a result of the proposal. *See* proposed Rules 11.9(c), 11.9(d) and 11.9(g).

[15] *See* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8736–37. *See also* proposed Rule 11.12(a).

[16] *See* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8737. *See also* proposed Rule 11.12(a)(2).

[17] *See* Notice, *supra* note 3 at 8737. *See also* proposed Rule 11.12(a)(2)(C).