

destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: April 1, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix I

### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

1. The Time Period Examined
2. Whether the Department Should Distinguish Between Incremental vs. Rapid Changes
3. Changes to the Four Factors Considered in Successor-in-Interest Determinations Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-08222 Filed 4-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-405-803]

#### Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from Ashland Specialty Ingredients, a division of Hercules Inc., (Petitioner), and CP Kelco Oy (CP Kelco), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from Finland. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014. The review covers one respondent, CP Kelco. We preliminarily find that sales of the subject merchandise by CP Kelco have not been made at prices below normal value (NV) during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 9, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is all purified CMC, sometimes also referred to as purified sodium CMC, polyanionic cellulose, or cellulose gum, which is a white to off-white, non-toxic, odorless, biodegradable powder, comprising sodium CMC that has been refined and purified to a minimum assay of 90 percent. The merchandise subject to the order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States at subheading 3912.31.00.<sup>1</sup>

##### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following

<sup>1</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see the memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary Enforcement & Compliance, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with this notice, and is hereby incorporated by reference. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum appears in Appendix I of this notice.

weighted-average dumping margin for the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014.

Exporter/manufacturer	Margin (percent)
CP Kelco Oy .....	0.00

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>4</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>5</sup> An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the date the document is due.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Departments electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>6</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the date and time for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any

<sup>2</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

written briefs, within 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If CP Kelco's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of such sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If CP Kelco's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to dumping margins.<sup>7</sup>

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.<sup>8</sup> This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by CP Kelco for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for CP Kelco Oy will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review except if the rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered

in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period in which the manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 6.65 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>9</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 2, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Scope of The Order  
Methodology  
Fair Value Comparisons  
Product Comparisons  
Determination of Comparison Margins  
Results of Differential Pricing Analysis  
Date of Sale  
U.S. Price  
Export Price  
Constructed Export Price  
U.S. Sample Sales  
Normal Value  
Home Market Viability as Comparison Market  
Calculation of NV Based On Comparison Market Prices  
Home Market Sample Sales

Cost of Production Analysis  
Level of Trade Analysis  
CEP Offset  
Calculation of Normal Value Based on Constructed Value  
Currency Conversion  
Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015-08210 Filed 4-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

#### Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 26, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of initiation and the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (pencils) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), in which the Department preliminarily determined that Beijing FILA Dixon Stationery Co., Ltd.<sup>1</sup> (Beijing Dixon), as currently structured under its new business license, is the successor-in-interest to Beijing Dixon.<sup>2</sup> For these final results, the Department continues to find that Beijing Dixon is the successor-in-interest to Beijing Dixon as that entity existed at the time the Department revoked the order<sup>3</sup> with respect to Beijing Dixon.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, the *Revocation* of the antidumping duty Order with respect to Beijing Dixon continues to apply to Beijing Dixon as currently structured.

**DATES:** *Effective:* April 9, 2015.

<sup>1</sup> A/k/a Beijing Dixon Ticonderoga Stationery Company, Ltd., and Beijing Dixon Stationery Company.

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 80 FR 10457 (February 26, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review Requested by the Dixon Ticonderoga Companies" dated February 18, 2015 (*Preliminary Decision Memorandum*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 66909 (December 28, 1994) (*Order*).

<sup>4</sup> See *Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination To Revoke Order In Part; 2010-2011*, 78 FR 42932 (July 18, 2013) (*Revocation*) and accompanying issues and decision memorandum (IDM).

<sup>7</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>8</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>9</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Mexico, the Netherlands and Sweden*, 70 FR 39734 (July 11, 2005).