Under the No Action Alternative in the Final EIS, the proposed lease and mine plan modifications and special use authorizations would not be approved, and mining would continue under the current mine plan as approved by the 2008 RODs. Under the No Action Alternative, Simplot estimates that approximately 50 percent of the phosphate ore in Panel G, previously considered economically recoverable, would not be mined but the overall disturbance would remain unchanged from the 2008 mine plan approval. In addition, the proposed conveyor system would not be approved, thus no new disturbance associated with the conveyor would occur. The previously approved geologic store and release cover would be used to limit or prevent the release of contaminants to the environment.

A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare this EIS was published in the Federal Register on June 24, 2013. Publication of the NOI in the Federal Register initiated a 30-day public scoping period for the Proposed Action that provided for acceptance of written comments. The scoping process identified concerns that primarily involved impacts to water resources and watersheds, and selenium contamination, but also included potential effects and/or cumulative effects of the proposed project on IRAs, wetlands, climate change, socioeconomics, visual resources, and mitigation and monitoring for mine operations.

The NOA for the Draft EIS was published in the Federal Register on May 30, 2014. A 45-day comment period on the Draft EIS commenced with publication of the Environmental Protection Agency's NOA of the Draft EIS, and ended on July 15, 2014. Agencies, organizations, and interested parties provided comments on the Draft EIS via mail, email, and public meetings. A total of seven comment letters were received. In developing responses to these comments, the agencies have added mitigation features to the Proposed Action and Alternatives 1 and 2 in the Final EIS, for example:

• An Adaptive Management Plan was added as an appendix, which addresses potential surface water and groundwater quality issues through an adaptive approach.

• A fourth wildlife crossing was incorporated into the design of the ore conveyor system at the Sage Creek drainage. • Access to a series of proposed stormwater ponds at Panel G was revised to be south from the mine rather than north from the Wells Canyon Road, eliminating a segment of access road that would have impacted a small wetland area.

• Because the segment of access road to the proposed series of stormwater ponds was eliminated, disturbance and associated impacts to waters of the U.S., including wetlands, were eliminated. Therefore, a revised U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit would not be required.

• Additional water quality data were added to the Final EIS.

• Specific information regarding the timing and construction of the GCLL was added to the Final EIS.

The portion of the proposed project related to USFS special use authorizations for off-lease activities is subject to the objection process pursuant to 36 CFR 218 Subparts A and B. Instructions for filing objections will be provided in the legal notice published in the newspaper of record for the Draft USFS ROD. Objections will be accepted only from those who have previously submitted specific written comments regarding the proposed project either during scoping or other designated opportunities for public comment in accordance with 36 CFR 218.5(a). Issues raised in objections must be based on previously submitted, timely, and specific written comments regarding the proposed project unless based on new information arising after designated opportunities. The portion of any subsequent decision issued by BLM regarding the proposed mine plan and lease modifications would be appealable under procedures found in 43 CFR 4.

Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses, and email addresses of respondents will be available for public review and disclosure at the BLM Pocatello Field Office during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Authorities: 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.;* 40 CFR parts 1500–1508; 43 CFR part 46; 43 U.S.C. 1701; 43 CFR part 3590; 16 U.S.C. 551; 36 CFR part 218; and 36 CFR part 251.50.

Joe Kraayenbrink,

District Manager, Idaho Falls District, Bureau of Land Management.

Garth Smelser,

Forest Supervisor, Caribou-Targhee National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2015–07012 Filed 3–26–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–GG–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLCON05000 L16100000.DT0000]

Notice of Availability of the Proposed Resource Management Plan Amendment and Final Environmental Impact Statement for Oil and Gas Development in the White River Field Office, Colorado

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (FLPMA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Proposed Resource Management Plan (RMP) Amendment and a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the White River Field Office (WRFO) and by this notice is announcing its availability.

DATES: The BLM planning regulations state that any person who meets the conditions as described in the regulations may protest the BLM's Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS. A person who meets the conditions and files a protest must file the protest within 30 days of the date that the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability for this project in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the WRFO Oil and Gas Development Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS have been sent to affected Federal, State and local government agencies, and interested parties. The Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS is also available on the Internet at: http://www.blm.gov/ co/st/en/fo/wrfo.html, and at locations listed under the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section.

All protests must be in writing and mailed to one of the following addresses:

Regular mail:	Overnight mail:
BLM Director (210), Attention: Protest Coordinator, WO–210, P.O. Box 71383, Washington, DC 20024–1383.	BLM Director (210), Attention: Protest Coordinator, WO–210, 20 M Street SE., Room 2134LM, Washington, DC 20003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Heather Sauls, Planning and Environmental Coordinator; telephone 970–878–3855; White River Field Office, 220 East Market Street, Meeker, CO 81641; email *hsauls@blm.gov*. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM prepared the WRFO Oil and Gas Development Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS to evaluate and amend the current management decisions for oil and gas resources within the WRFO planning area. The current management decisions for oil and gas resources are described in the White River Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan (RMP), approved July 1, 1997, as amended (1997 WRFO RMP).

The Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS addresses public lands and resources managed by the WRFO. The WRFO planning area includes approximately 2.7 million acres of BLM, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, State, and private lands located in northwestern Colorado, primarily in Rio Blanco County, with additional tracts located in Moffat and Garfield counties. Within the WRFO planning area, the BLM administers approximately 1.5 million surface acres and 2.2 million acres of Federal subsurface mineral estate. Surface management decisions made as a result of this planning effort will apply only to the BLM-administered lands in the WRFO planning area. The BLM decided to update the 1997 WRFO RMP because the 2007 Reasonable Foreseeable Development Scenario identified substantial changes in the way oil and gas development is expected to proceed in the planning area compared to what was considered in the 1997 WRFO RMP. Changes include an increase in the number of wells to be drilled, a transition from single well pads to multi-well pads, and a shift in the location of development to the Mesaverde Play Area.

The purpose of the WRFO Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS is to provide effective management direction for public lands administered by the WRFO based on an analysis of oil and gas exploration and development activities in excess of levels evaluated in the 1997 WRFO RMP. During the development of the RMP Amendment, the BLM reviewed the decisions contained in the 1997 WRFO RMP. None of the alternatives analyzed for this amendment considered the creation of new special designations or changes to areas currently open or closed to oil and gas leasing in the 1997 WRFO approved RMP because this amendment is entirely focused on addressing oil and gas development.

The Draft RMP Amendment/EIS evaluated four alternatives in detail including, the No Action Alternative (Alternative A) and three action alternatives (Alternatives B, C and D). Based on the impacts analysis and public comment on the Draft RMP Amendment, the BLM selected various parts of Alternatives A, B, C and D to develop the Proposed RMP Amendment (Alternative E) in the Final EIS.

The Proposed RMP Amendment, Alternative E, considers impacts and management actions associated with potential development of 15,040 wells on 1,100 well pads with an associated surface disturbance of 13,200 acres. Alternative E incorporates the managed development approach from Alternatives B and C. The Proposed RMP Amendment includes the Dinosaur Trail Master Leasing Plan in the northwest corner of the field office and a plan for phased leasing within that area.

The Proposed RMP Amendment also provides management direction for more than 300,000 acres of inventoried lands with wilderness characteristics; these areas would be managed at one of three levels depending upon whether or not wilderness characteristics were the primary management focus in an area.

Because this planning effort is an amendment and not a full RMP revision, changes in management were limited to only those decisions related to oil and gas development. However, because oil and gas development has the potential to impact other resources, the BLM developed management actions designed to reduce impacts to a variety of resources, including air and water quality, soils, vegetation, wildlife habitat, special status plant habitat, wild horses, cultural resources, paleontological resources, visual resources, forestry and woodlands, livestock grazing, minerals, recreation, travel management, realty, and special designations.

Copies of the WRFO Oil and Gas Development Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS are available for public inspection at the Web site listed under the **ADDRESSES** section, and at the following locations:

• White River Field Office, 220 East Market Street, Meeker, CO 81641

- Little Snake Field Office, 455 Emerson Street, Craig, CO 81625
- Northwest District Office, 2815 H Road, Grand Junction, CO 81506

• Colorado River Valley Field Office, 2300 River Frontage Road, Silt, CO 81652

• BLM Colorado State Office, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, CO 80215

• Kremmling Field Office, 2103 East Park Avenue, Kremmling, CO 80459

• Libraries in the following Colorado locations: Rifle, Meeker, Rangely, and Craig.

Instructions for filing a protest with the Director of the BLM regarding the Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS may be found in the "Dear Reader" Letter of the Proposed RMP Amendment/Final EIS and at 43 CFR 1610.5–2. Emailed protests will not be accepted as valid protests unless the protesting party also provides the original letter by either regular or overnight mail postmarked by the close of the protest period. Under these conditions, the BLM will consider the emailed protest as an advance copy and it will receive full consideration. If you wish to provide the BLM with such advance notification, please direct emails to *protest@blm.gov*. All protests, including the follow-up letter to emails, must be in writing and mailed to the appropriate address as set forth in the ADDRESSES section above.

Before including your phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your protest to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2, 43 CFR 1610.5.

Ruth Welch,

BLM Colorado State Director. [FR Doc. 2015–07013 Filed 3–26–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4130–JB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 704–TA–1 and 734–TA– 1 (Review)]

Sugar from Mexico; Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to sections 704(h) and 734(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671c(h) and 1673c(h)) ("the Act"), that agreements the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") has entered into with Mexican exporters of sugar and the government of Mexico suspending antidumping and countervailing duty investigations concerning sugar from Mexico eliminate completely the injurious effect of subject imports.²

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective January 8, 2015, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission by Imperial Sugar Company ("Imperial"), Sugar Land, Texas and AmCane Sugar LLC ("AmCane"), Taylor, Michigan. The Commission determined that Imperial and AmCane are interested parties who were parties to the underlying investigations at the time the petitions were filed, and consequently are appropriate petitioning parties. Notice of the scheduling of these reviews and of a public oral presentation to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on January 26, 2015 (80 FR 3977). The oral presentation was held in Washington, DC, on February 19, 2015, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission completed and filed its determination in these reviews on

March 24, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4523 (April 2015), entitled Sugar From Mexico: Investigation Nos. 704–TA–1 and 734–TA–1 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 24, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2015–07071 Filed 3–26–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Importer of Controlled Substances Application: HOSPIRA

ACTION: Notice of application.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class, and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a) on or before April 27, 2015. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43 on or before April 27, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODW, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. Request for hearings should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: Hearing Clerk/LJ, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Attorney General has delegated his authority under the Controlled Substances Act to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), 28 CFR 0.100(b). Authority to exercise all necessary functions with respect to the promulgation and implementation of 21 CFR part 1301, incident to the registration of manufacturers, distributors, dispensers, importers, and exporters of controlled substances (other than final orders in connection with suspension, denial, or revocation of registration) has been redelegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the DEA Office of Diversion Control ("Deputy Assistant Administrator") pursuant to section 7 of 28 CFR pt. 0, subpt. R, App.

In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a), this is notice that on October 31, 2014, Hospira, 1776 North Centennial Drive, McPherson, Kansas 67460–1247, applied to be registered as an importer of remifentanil (9739), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import remifentanil for use in dosage form manufacturing.

Dated: March 20, 2015.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator. [FR Doc. 2015–06969 Filed 3–26–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Importer of Controlled Substances Application: Meda Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

ACTION: Notice of application.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class, and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a) on or before April 27, 2015. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43 on or before April 27, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODXL, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. Request for hearings should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: Hearing Clerk/LJ, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Attorney General has delegated his authority under the Controlled Substances Act to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), 28 CFR 0.100(b). Authority to exercise all necessary functions with respect to the promulgation and implementation of 21 CFR part 1301, incident to the registration of manufacturers, distributors, dispensers, importers, and exporters of controlled substances (other than final orders in connection with suspension, denial, or revocation of registration) has been redelegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the DEA Office of **Diversion Control ("Deputy Assistant** Administrator") pursuant to section 7 of 28 CFR part 0, appendix of subpart R.

In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a), this is notice that on December 5, 2014, Meda

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² All six Commissioners voted in the affirmative.