

Commodity	Parts per million
Papaya <sup>1</sup> .....	1.5

<sup>1</sup> There are no U.S. registrations as of January 20, 2015.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2015-06106 Filed 3-17-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2014-0610; FRL-9924-47-Region 4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Region 4 States; 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 Nitrogen Dioxide Prevention of Significant Deterioration Infrastructure Plans

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving portions of submissions from Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee for inclusion into each State's implementation plan. This action pertains to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) infrastructure requirements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The CAA requires that each state adopt and submit a state implementation plan (SIP) for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of each NAAQS promulgated by EPA. These plans are commonly referred to as "infrastructure" SIPs. Specifically, EPA is approving the portions of the submissions from Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee that relate to the infrastructure SIP prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) requirements. All other applicable infrastructure requirements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS associated with these States are being addressed in separate rulemakings.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on April 17, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R04-OAR-

2014-0610. All documents in the docket are listed on the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section (formerly Regulatory Development Section), Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Lakeman, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-9043. Mr. Lakeman can be reached via electronic mail at [lakeman.sean@epa.gov](mailto:lakeman.sean@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

By statute, SIPs meeting the requirements of sections 110(a)(1) and (2) are to be submitted by states within three years after promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS to provide for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the new or revised NAAQS. EPA has historically referred to these SIP submissions made for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of CAA sections 110(a)(1) and 110(a)(2) as "infrastructure SIP" submissions. Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) require states to address basic SIP elements such as for monitoring, basic program requirements and legal authority that are designed to assure attainment and maintenance of the newly established or revised NAAQS. More specifically, section 110(a)(1) provides the procedural and timing requirements for SIPs. Section 110(a)(2) lists specific elements that states must meet for the "infrastructure" SIP requirements

related to a newly established or revised NAAQS. The contents of an infrastructure SIP submission may vary depending upon the data and analytical tools available to the state, as well as the provisions already contained in the state's implementation plan at the time in which the state develops and submits the submission for a new or revised NAAQS.

Through this action, EPA is approving the PSD requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) (hereafter "PSD Elements") for various infrastructure SIP submissions from the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee. As described further below, for some of these states, EPA is approving the PSD Elements in the infrastructure SIP submissions for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS; whereas for other states, EPA is only approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions for a subset of these NAAQS. All other applicable infrastructure requirements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS associated with these States are being addressed in separate rulemakings.

##### a. 2008 Lead NAAQS

For the 2008 Lead NAAQS, EPA is only approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions from Alabama (received November 4, 2011), Florida (received October 14, 2011), Georgia (received May 14, 2012), Kentucky (received July 17, 2012), Mississippi (received November 17, 2011), and South Carolina (received September 20, 2011). EPA notes that the Agency approved the PSD Elements of Tennessee's 2008 Lead infrastructure SIP submission on August 12, 2013 (78 FR 48806).

##### b. 2008 Ozone NAAQS

For the 2008 Ozone NAAQS, EPA is only approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions from Alabama (received August 20, 2012), Georgia (received March 6, 2012), Mississippi (received May 29, 2012; and resubmitted July 26, 2012), and South Carolina (received on July 17, 2012). EPA notes that the Agency approved the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS for Kentucky on March 7, 2013 (78 FR 14691) and November 3, 2014 (79 FR 65143), and Tennessee on March 6, 2013 (78 FR 14450) and January 9, 2014 (79 FR 1593).

### c. 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS

For the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, EPA is approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions from Alabama (received April 23, 2013), Florida (received January 22, 2013), Georgia (received March 25, 2013), Kentucky (received April 26, 2013), Mississippi (received February 28, 2013), South Carolina (received April 30, 2014), and Tennessee (received March 13, 2014).

EPA is acting upon the PSD Elements portions of SIP submissions that address the infrastructure requirements of CAA sections 110(a)(1) and 110(a)(2) for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS for various states in Region 4. Section 110(a)(2) includes a list of specific elements that “[e]ach such plan” submission must address. EPA’s review of infrastructure SIP submissions with respect to the PSD program requirements in sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II), and 110(a)(2)(J) focuses upon the structural PSD program requirements contained in part C and EPA’s PSD regulations. Structural PSD program requirements include provisions necessary for the PSD program to address all regulated sources and new source review (NSR) pollutants, including GHGs.

On November 13, 2014, EPA published a proposed rulemaking to approve the portions of the above-described infrastructure SIP submissions from Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee to address the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) of the CAA. See 79 FR 67398. Comments on the proposed rulemaking were due on or before December 15, 2014. No adverse comments were received. EPA’s November 13, 2014, proposed rulemaking contains more detailed information regarding Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee submissions to address the PSD permitting requirements being approved today, and the rationale for this final action.

### II. What are states required to address under Sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (Prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) related to PSD?

Section 110(a)(2)(C) has three components that must be addressed in infrastructure SIP submissions: Enforcement, state-wide regulation of new and modified minor sources and minor modifications of major sources; and PSD permitting of major sources and major modifications in areas

designated attainment or unclassifiable for the subject NAAQS as required by CAA title I part C (*i.e.*, the major source PSD program).

Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) has two components; 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) and 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II). Each of these components have two subparts resulting in four distinct components, commonly referred to as “prongs,” that must be addressed in infrastructure SIP submissions. The first two prongs, which are codified in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), are provisions that prohibit any source or other type of emissions activity in one state from contributing significantly to nonattainment of the NAAQS in another state (“prong 1”), and interfering with maintenance of the NAAQS in another state (“prong 2”). The third and fourth prongs, which are codified in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II), are provisions that prohibit emissions activity in one state interfering with measures required to prevent significant deterioration of air quality in another state (“prong 3”), or to protect visibility in another state (“prong 4”).

Section 110(a)(2)(J) has four components that must be addressed in infrastructure SIP submissions: (1) Consultation with government officials, (2) public notification, (3) prevention of significant deterioration, and (4) visibility protection.

With respect to the PSD Elements of these sections, EPA interprets the CAA to require each state to make, for each new or revised NAAQS, an infrastructure SIP submission that demonstrates that the air agency has a complete PSD permitting program meeting the current requirements for all regulated NSR pollutants.

See EPA’s November 13, 2014, proposed rulemaking at 79 FR 67398 for more detailed information on EPA’s analysis of how the Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee infrastructure SIP submissions meet the requirements of the PSD Elements for the NAAQS for which they were submitted. As mentioned above, EPA did not receive any adverse comments on the November 13, 2014, proposed rulemaking. As such and based on EPA’s analysis, the Agency has made the determination that:

- Alabama’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS;
- Florida’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS;

- Georgia’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with the PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone, and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS;

- Kentucky’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with the PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS;

- Mississippi’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with the PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone, and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS;

- South Carolina’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with the infrastructure SIP PSD Elements for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone, and 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS; and

- Tennessee’s SIP and practices are adequate and comply with the infrastructure SIP PSD Elements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

### III. Final Action

As described above, EPA is approving the portions of the above-described infrastructure SIP submissions from Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee to address the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) of the CAA. As described above, for some of these states, EPA is approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions for the 2008 Lead, 2008 Ozone and 2010 Nitrogen NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS; whereas for other states, EPA is only approving the PSD Elements of the infrastructure SIP submissions for a subset of these NAAQS. EPA is approving these portions of these submissions because they are consistent with section 110 of the CAA.

EPA also notes that, at present, the Agency has preliminarily determined that the Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee SIPs are sufficient to satisfy the PSD permitting requirements portion of section 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II), prong 3 and 110(a)(2)(J) with respect to GHGs because the PSD permitting program previously-approved by EPA into the SIP continues to require that PSD permits (otherwise required based on emissions of pollutants other than GHGs) contain limitations on GHG emissions based on the application of Best Available Control Technology. Although the approved Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee PSD permitting programs may currently contain provisions that are no longer necessary in light of the Supreme Court’s *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. Environmental Protection Agency*

decision, these previous approvals do not render the infrastructure SIP submission inadequate to satisfy sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J). The SIPs contain the necessary PSD requirements at this time, and the application of those requirements is not impeded by the presence of other previously-approved provisions regarding the permitting of sources of GHGs that EPA does not consider necessary at this time in light of the Supreme Court decision. Accordingly, the Supreme Court decision does not affect EPA's approval of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina and Tennessee's infrastructure SIPs as to the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J).

#### IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. *See* 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or

safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

With the exception of South Carolina, the SIPs involved in this action are not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law." With respect to this action as it relates to South Carolina, EPA notes that the Catawba Indian Nation Reservation is located within South Carolina and pursuant to the Catawba Indian Claims Settlement Act, S.C. Code Ann. 27-16-120, "all state and local environmental laws and regulations apply to the Catawba Indian Nation and Reservation and are fully enforceable by all relevant state and local agencies and authorities." Thus, the South Carolina SIP applies to the Catawba Reservation, however, because this action is not approving any specific rule into the South Carolina SIP, but rather proposing that the State's already approved SIP meets certain CAA requirements, EPA has determined that there are no substantial direct effects on the Catawba Indian Nation. EPA has also determined that these revisions will not impose any substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a

report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 18, 2015. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* section 307(b)(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 6, 2015.

**Heather McTeer Toney,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart B—Alabama

- 2. Section 52.50(e), is amended by adding three new entries for "110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS", "110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS", and "110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS" at the end of the table to read as follows:

#### § 52.50 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*  
(e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED ALABAMA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	Alabama .....	11/4/2011	3/18/2015 <b>Federal Register</b> citation	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.	Alabama .....	8/20/2012	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	Alabama .....	4/23/2013	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart K—Florida**

■ 3. Section 52.520(e), is amended by adding two new entries for “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for

the 2008 Lead NAAQS” and “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.520 Identification of plan.**  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 (e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED FLORIDA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Provision	State effective date	EPA Approval date	Federal Register notice	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	10/14/2011	3/18/2015	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	1/22/2013	3/18/2015	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart L—Georgia**

■ 4. Section 52.570(e), is amended by adding three new entries for “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS”, “110(a)(1) and

(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS”, and “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.570 Identification of plan.**  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 (e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED GEORGIA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	Georgia .....	5/14/2012	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.	Georgia .....	3/6/2012	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

EPA-APPROVED GEORGIA NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS—Continued

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	Georgia .....	3/25/2013	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart S—Kentucky**

2008 Lead NAAQS” and “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.920 Identification of plan.**  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 (e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED KENTUCKY NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanations
* 110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	* Kentucky .....	* 7/17/2012	* 3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	* Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	Kentucky .....	4/26/2013	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart Z—Mississippi**

(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS”, and “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.1270 Identification of plan.**  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 (e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED MISSISSIPPI NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
* 110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	* Mississippi .....	* 11/17/2011	* 3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	* Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.	Mississippi .....	5/29/2012 and amended on 7/26/2012	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	Mississippi .....	2/28/2013	3/18/2015 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart PP—South Carolina**

(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS”, and “110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.2120 Identification of plan.**  
 \* \* \* \* \*  
 (e) \* \* \*

Provision	State effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead NAAQS.	9/20/2011	3/18/2015	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.	7/17/2012	3/18/2015	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	4/30/2014	3/18/2015	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

**Subpart RR—Tennessee**

■ 8. Section 52.2220(e), is amended by adding a new entry for “110(a)(1) and

(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS” at the end of the table to read as follows:

**§ 52.2220 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(e) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED TENNESSEE NON-REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanation
110(a)(1) and (2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 NO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS.	Tennessee	3/13/2014	3/18/2015	Addressing the PSD permitting requirements of sections 110(a)(2)(C), 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3) and 110(a)(2)(J) only.

[FR Doc. 2015–06112 Filed 3–17–15; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 180**

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2014–0847; FRL–9923–63]

**2-Propenoic acid, polymer With ethenyl acetate, ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate and ethyl 2-propenoate; Tolerance Exemption**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with ethenyl acetate, ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate and ethyl 2-propenoate; when used as an inert ingredient in a pesticide chemical formulation. BASF Corporation submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of 2-Propenoic acid, polymer with ethenyl acetate, ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-

propenoate and ethyl 2-propenoate on food or feed commodities.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective March 18, 2015. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before May 18, 2015, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2014–0874, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan Lewis, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington,

DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: [RDfRNNotices@epa.gov](mailto:RDfRNNotices@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. General Information**

*A. Does this action apply to me?*

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

*B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?*

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Government Publishing Office’s e-CFR site at [http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab\\_02.tpl](http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab_02.tpl).