

Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software that you purchase to prepare for collecting information and monitoring, sampling, and testing equipment, and record-storage facilities. Generally, your estimates should not include equipment or services purchased (i) before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Federal Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our ICR submission for OMB approval, including appropriate adjustments to the estimated burden. We will provide a copy of the ICR to you, free of charge, upon request. We also will post the ICR at http://www.onrr.gov/Laws_R_D/FRNotices/ICR0103.htm.

Public Comment Policy: ONRR will post all comments, including names and addresses of respondents at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Before including Personally Identifiable Information (PII), such as your address, phone number, email address, or other personal information in your comment(s), you should be aware that your entire comment (including PII) may be made available to the public at any time. While you may ask us, in your comment, to withhold PII from public view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 3, 2015.

Gregory J. Gould,
Director, Office of Natural Resources Revenue.

[FR Doc. 2015-05854 Filed 3-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4335-30-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-893]

Certain Flash Memory Chips and Products Containing the Same Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based Upon Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to

review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 78) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting a joint motion by complainant and respondents to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 9, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Spansion, LLC of Sunnyvale, California ("Spansion"). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain flash memory chips and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,900,124; 7,018,922; 6,369,416; 7,151,027; 6,459,625; and 6,731,536. *See* 78 Fed. Reg. 55095 (Sept. 9, 2013). The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Macronix International Co, Ltd., of Hsin-chu, Taiwan; Macronix America, Inc., of Milpitas, California; Macronix Asia Limited of Kanagawa Pref., Japan; Macronix (Hong Kong) Co., Ltd., of Sha Tin, N.T., Hong Kong; Acer Inc. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Acer America Corporation of San Jose, California; ASUSTek Computer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; Asus Computer International of Fremont, California; Belkin International, Inc., of Playa Vista, California; D-Link Corporation of Taipei City, Taiwan; D-Link System, Inc., of Fountain Valley, California; Netgear Inc., San Jose, California; Nintendo Co., Ltd., of Kyoto, Japan; and Nintendo of

America, Inc., of Redmond, Washington (collectively, "Respondents").

On January 29, 2015, Spansion and Respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon the execution of a settlement agreement. On February 9, 2015, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of termination. No other responses to the motion were filed.

On February 11, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the settlement agreement complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)) and that terminating Respondents from the investigation would not be contrary to the public interest. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 10, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-05812 Filed 3-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-948]

Certain Toy Figurines and Toy Sets Containing the Same

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 6, 2015, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of LEGO A/S of Denmark; LEGO System A/S of Denmark; and LEGO Systems, Inc. of Enfield, Connecticut.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on February 18, 2015 and March 10, 2015. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toy figurines and