Center, 15 Burnett Court, Durango, CO 81301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gina Jones, Southwest District NEPA Coordinator; telephone 970–240–5381; address 2465 South Townsend Avenue, Montrose, CO 81401; email *gmjones@blm.gov*. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The RMP provides management for 503,589 acres of BLM land in southwest Colorado. The RMP describes the actions to meet desired resource conditions for upland and riparian vegetation; fish and wildlife habitat; water resources; air quality; cultural, paleontological and visual resources; as well as livestock grazing; mineral and alternative energy; and recreation.

The BLM and the U.S. Forest Service initiated scoping for the RMP in 1999. The agencies sought public input via meetings and interviews, including an intensive year of facilitated public meetings in 2005, in order to develop the Draft Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP)/ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The Draft was published for a 90-day public comment period in December 2007. Based on public comments, the agencies identified the need to prepare a Supplement to the Draft EIS to consider the Reasonable Foreseeable Development potential of oil and gas in the Gothic Shale Gas Play, which was published in August 2011. The preferred alternative for the Draft LRMP was carried forward into the Proposed LRMP/Final EIS, which was published in September 2013, initiating the protest period and Governor's Consistency Review. During the protest period for the Proposed LRMP, the BLM received 14 valid protest submissions. The BLM granted one protest in part and dismissed the remaining protests. The BLM granted in part one protest regarding 15 potential Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) that BLM determined met both the relevance and importance criteria, but were not analyzed in the range of alternatives in the Draft EIS due to a procedural error. The BLM will evaluate these areas, as well as the two existing ACECs that will continue to be designated as ACECs in the Approved RMP, in a future plan

amendment. The decisions in the Approved RMP will protect these areas from impairment of their identified relevant and important values.

As a result of the Governor's Consistency Review, the BLM modified its direction to maintain minimum instream flow levels for the benefit of fisheries from a standard to a guideline. The BLM has also made minor editorial modifications to the Approved RMP to provide further clarification of some of the decisions.

The ROD also serves as the BLM's decision to adopt the U.S. Forest Service oil and gas leasing decision for Federal mineral estate administered by the San Juan National Forest. The U.S. Forest Service outlined its decision in the San Juan National Forest's September 2013 Record of Decision, Oil and Gas Leasing Availability. The BLM concurs with the selection of Alternative B as described in the U.S. Forest Service Record of Decision. In the Approved RMP, the BLM also designates routes for mechanized travel in the Phil's World and Mud Springs portion of the planning area that were analyzed in the 2008 Cortez-Mancos Travel Management Plan Environmental Assessment (CO-800-2006-090-EA). These route designations are implementation decisions and are appealable under 43 CFR part 4. These decisions are contained in Section 2.13 of the Approved RMP. Any party adversely affected by the proposed route designations may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability pursuant to 43 CFR part 4, subpart E. The appeal should state the specific route(s), as identified in Appendix A of the Approved RMP, for which the decision is being appealed. The appeal must be filed with the Tres Rios Field Manager at the above listed address. Please consult the appropriate regulations (43 CFR part 4, subpart E) for further appeal requirements.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10.

Ruth Welch,

 $BLM\ Colorado\ State\ Director.$ [FR Doc. 2015–04075 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02054000, 15XR0687NA, RX.18527901.3000000]

Central Valley Project Improvement Act Water Management Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,

Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation has made available to the public the Water Management Plans for 10 entities. For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public an opportunity to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations.

DATES: Submit written comments on the preliminary determinations on or before March 30, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Ms. Angela Anderson, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP–410, Sacramento, California 95825; or email at *aanderson@usbr.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Anderson at the email address above or 916–978–5215 (TDD 978–5608).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). Each of the 10 entities listed below has developed a Plan that has been evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- City of Fairfield
- City of Vacaville
- City of Vallejo
- Glide Water District
- Kanawha Water District
- San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors: Consisting of Central California Irrigation District, Columbia Canal Company, Firebaugh Canal Water District, and San Luis Canal Company
- Solano County Water Agency
- Suisun-Solano Water Authority
- West Stanislaus Irrigation District
- City of West Sacramento

We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (i.e., draft)

determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall "develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by Section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must be developed "with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." These criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare a Plan that contains the following information:

- 1. Description of the District;
- 2. Inventory of Water Resources;
- 3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors;
 - 4. BMPs for Urban Contractors;
 - 5. Plan Implementation;
 - 6. Exemption Process;
 - 7. Regional Criteria; and
 - 8. Five-Year Revisions.

Reclamation evaluates Plans based on these criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP–410, Sacramento, California 95825. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Anderson.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Richard J. Woodley,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2015–03950 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–458 and 731– TA–1154 (Review)]

Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From China: Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-vear reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the existing antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to a U.S. industry producing refrigeration shelving and a U.S. industry producing oven racks within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on August 1, 2014 (79 FR 44862) and determined on November 4, 2014 that it would conduct expedited reviews (79 FR 69525, November 21, 2014).

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 24, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4520 (February 2015), entitled Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–458 and 731–TA–1154 (Review).

Issued: February 24, 2015. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–04114 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-924]

Certain Light Reflectors and Components, Packaging, and Related Advertising Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review Initial Determinations Granting Motions To Terminate the Investigation as to the Remaining Respondents; Termination of the Investigation in Its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review: (1) An initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 17) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on January 22, 2015, granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Sinowell (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. and Sinohydro Ltd. (collectively, "Sinowell"), based on a settlement agreement; and (2) an ID (Order No. 18) issued by the ALJ on January 27, 2015, granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents based on withdrawal of the amended complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 12, 2014, based on a complaint filed on June 20, 2014, amended on July 11, 2014, and supplemented on July 18, 2014, on behalf of Sunlight Supply, Inc. of Vancouver, Washington and IP Holdings, LLC of Vancouver, Washington (collectively, "Sunlight"). 79 FR 47156 (Aug. 12, 2014). The amended complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain light reflectors and components, packaging, and related advertising thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,641,367; D634,469; D644,185; D545,485; and by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 3,871,765; and

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).