

to publish a 30-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension or reinstatement of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, CMS is publishing this notice that summarizes the following proposed collection(s) of information for public comment:

1. *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection; *Title of Information Collection:* Summary of Benefits and Coverage and Uniform Glossary; *Use:* Section 2715 of the PHS Act directs the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the Department of Labor (DOL), and the Department of the Treasury (collectively, the Departments), in consultation with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) and a working group comprised of stakeholders, to “develop standards for use by a group health plan and a health insurance issuer in compiling and providing to applicants, enrollees, and policyholders and certificate holders a summary of benefits and coverage explanation that accurately describes the benefits and coverage under the applicable plan or coverage.” To implement these disclosure requirements, collection of information requests relate to the provision of the following: Summary of benefits and coverage, which includes coverage examples; a uniform glossary of health coverage and medical terms; and a notice of modifications. *Form Number:* CMS–10407 (OMB control number 0938–1146); *Frequency:* Annual; *Affected Public:* Private Sector—Business or other for-profits and Not-for-profit institutions; *Number of Respondents:* 126,500; *Number of Responses:* 41,153,858; *Total Annual Hours:* 322,411. (For policy questions regarding this collection, contact Heather Raeburn at 301–492–4224.)

Dated: February 18, 2015.

**William N. Parham, III,**

*Director, Paperwork Reduction Staff, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2015–03650 Filed 2–23–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4120–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

[OMB No.: 0970–0139]

#### Uniform Project Description (UPD) Project Narrative Format for Discretionary Grant Application Forms; Correction

**AGENCY:** Administration for Children and Families, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Administration for Children and Families published a document in the **Federal Register** of February 17, 2015, concerning a request for comments on a proposed information collection. The document contained an incorrect citation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Beach, Senior Grants Policy Specialist, Division of Grants Policy, Office of Administration, Administration for Children and Families, telephone (202) 401–1539.

*Correction:* In the **Federal Register** of February 17, 2015, in FR. Doc. 2015–03144, on page 8324, in the third column, correct the last sentence of the “Description” caption to read: “Guidance for the content of information requested in the Uniform Project Description is based in 45 CFR 75.203 and Appendix I to 45 CFR part 75.”

**Christopher Beach,**

*Senior Grants Policy Specialist, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2015–03627 Filed 2–23–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA–2015–D–0235]

#### Evaluating the Effectiveness of New Animal Drugs for the Reduction of Pathogenic Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Cattle; Draft Guidance for Industry; Availability

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry (GFI #229) entitled “Evaluating the Effectiveness of New Animal Drugs for the Reduction of Pathogenic Shiga

Toxin-Producing *E. coli* in Cattle.” The purpose of this document is to provide recommendations to industry relating to study design and describe criteria the Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) thinks are the most appropriate for the evaluation of the effectiveness of new animal drugs that are intended to reduce pathogenic Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) in cattle.

**DATES:** Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the Agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit either electronic or written comments on the draft guidance by April 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written requests for single copies of the guidance to the Communications Staff (HFV–12), Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 7519 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your requests. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the draft guidance document.

Submit electronic comments on the draft guidance to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joshua R. Hayes, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–133), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 240–402–0651, [Joshua.hayes@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:Joshua.hayes@fda.hhs.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry (GFI #229) entitled “Evaluating the Effectiveness of New Animal Drugs for the Reduction of Pathogenic Shiga Toxin-Producing *E. coli* in Cattle.” This draft guidance provides recommendations to industry relating to study design and describes criteria CVM thinks are the most appropriate for the evaluation of the effectiveness of new animal drugs that are intended to reduce pathogenic STEC in cattle. It discusses general considerations regarding the development of protocols, study conduct, animal welfare, substantial evidence of effectiveness, experimental parameters, nutritional content of experimental diets, and the assessment of drug concentrations in experimental diets. It also discusses the studies and analyses CVM recommends for sponsors