

Stevenson, Alabama; (2) Bill Hall, Stevenson, Alabama; (3) Gena Hall Blackmon, Stevenson, Alabama; (4) Revocable Trust for Julia Ann Harris Hale, Holbert Leon Hale, Jr., and Julia Ann Harris Hale, as Trustees; all of Newnan, Georgia; (5) Revocable Trust for Holbert Leon Hale, Jr., Holbert Leon Hale, Jr., and Julia Ann Harris Hale, as Trustees, all of Newnan, Georgia; (6) Mona Hale Peterman, Raleigh, North Carolina; (7) Benjamin Peterman, Raleigh, North Carolina; (8) Matthew Peterman, Raleigh, North Carolina; (9) Jennifer Hale Dickerson, Mount Pleasant, South Carolina; (10) Stephen Dickerson, Mount Pleasant, South Carolina; and (11) Patricia Searels, Austin, Texas; to retain 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of First Bancshares of Stevenson, Inc., and its subsidiary, First Southern State Bank, both of Stevenson, Alabama.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 29, 2015.

Michael J. Lewandowski,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2015-02078 Filed 2-2-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 141 0108]

Cerberus Institutional Partners V, L.P., AB Acquisition LLC, and Safeway Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 26, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/albertsonssafewayconsent> online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Albertson’s and Safeway Inc.,—Consent Agreement; File No. 141 0108” on your comment and file your comment online at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/albertsonssafewayconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on

paper, write “Albertson’s and Safeway Inc.,—Consent Agreement; File No. 141 0108” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC-5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexis Gilman, Bureau of Competition, (202-326-2579) or Dan Ducore, Bureau of Competition, (202-326-2526), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for January 27, 2015), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtm>.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before February 26, 2015. Write “Albertson’s and Safeway Inc.,—Consent Agreement; File No. 141 0108” on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals’ home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, like anyone’s Social Security number, date of birth, driver’s license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card

number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, like medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential,” as discussed in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you have to follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).¹ Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel, in his or her sole discretion, grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/albertsonssafewayconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/#!home>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write “Albertson’s and Safeway Inc.,—Consent Agreement; File No. 141 0108” on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC-5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Visit the Commission Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this Notice and the news release describing it. The

¹ In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before February 26, 2015. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

I. Introduction and Background

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted for public comment, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Consent Order") from Cerberus Institutional Partners V, L.P. ("Cerberus"), its wholly owned subsidiary, AB Acquisition, LLC ("Albertson's"), and Safeway Inc. ("Safeway") (collectively, the "Respondents"). On March 6, 2014, Albertson's and Safeway entered into a merger agreement whereby Albertson's agreed to purchase 100% of the equity of Safeway for approximately \$9.2 billion (the "Acquisition"). The purpose of the proposed Consent Order is to remedy the anticompetitive effects that otherwise would result from the Acquisition. Under the terms of the proposed Consent Order, Respondents are required to divest 168 stores and related assets in 130 local supermarket geographic markets (collectively, the "relevant markets") in eight states to four Commission-approved buyers. The divestitures must be completed within a time-period ranging from 60 to 150 days following the date of the Acquisition. Finally, the Commission and Respondents have agreed to an Order to Maintain Assets that requires Respondents to operate and maintain each divestiture store in the normal course of business, through the date the store is ultimately divested to a buyer.

The proposed Consent Order has been placed on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission again will review the proposed Consent Order and any comments received, and decide whether it should withdraw the Consent Order, modify the Consent Order, or make it final.

The Commission's Complaint alleges that the Acquisition, if consummated, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade

Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by removing an actual, direct, and substantial supermarket competitor in the 130 local supermarket geographic markets. The elimination of this competition would result in significant competitive harm; specifically the Acquisition will allow the combined entity to increase prices above competitive levels, unilaterally or by coordinating with remaining market participants. Similarly, absent a remedy, there is significant risk that the merged firm may decrease quality and service aspects of their stores below competitive levels. The proposed Consent Order would remedy the alleged violations by requiring divestitures to replace competition that otherwise would be lost in the relevant markets because of the Acquisition.

The Respondents

AB Acquisition, LLC, owned by New York-based private equity firm Cerberus Capital Management, L.P., is the parent company of Albertson's LLC and New Albertson's, Inc. (together "Albertson's"). As of March 19, 2014, Albertson's LLC operated 630 supermarkets, primarily under its Albertson's banner. Presently, Albertson's stores are located in Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Louisiana, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Albertson's LLC also operates supermarkets in Texas under the Market Street, Amigos, and United Supermarkets banners. United Supermarkets is a traditional grocery store, while Market Street offers specialty and "whole-health" products, and Amigos has an international and Hispanic format. As of March 19, 2014, New Albertson's, Inc., owned and operated 445 supermarkets under the Jewel-Osco, ACME, Shaw's, and Star Market banners, dispersed throughout Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

As of December 2013, Safeway owned 1,332 supermarkets, making it one of the largest food and drug retailers in the United States. Stores are operated under the Safeway banner in Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, South Dakota, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming. Safeway also operates stores under the following banners: Pavilions, Pak 'n Save, and The Market in California; Randall's and Tom Thumb in Texas; Genuardi's in Pennsylvania; Vons in

California and Nevada; and Carr's in Alaska.

Retail Sale of Food and Other Grocery Products In Supermarkets

The Acquisition presents substantial antitrust concerns for the retail sale of food and other grocery products in supermarkets. Supermarkets are defined as traditional full-line retail grocery stores that sell, on a large-scale basis, food and non-food products that customers regularly consume at home—including, but not limited to, fresh meat, dairy products, frozen foods, beverages, bakery goods, dry groceries, detergents, and health and beauty products. This broad set of products and services provides a "one-stop shopping" experience for consumers by enabling them to shop in a single store for all of their food and grocery needs. The ability to offer consumers one-stop shopping is a critical differentiating factor between supermarkets and other food retailers.

The relevant product market includes supermarkets within "hypermarkets," such as Wal-Mart Supercenters. Hypermarkets also sell an array of products that would not be found in traditional supermarkets. However, hypermarkets, like conventional supermarkets, contain bakeries, delis, dairy, produce, fresh meat, and sufficient product offerings to enable customers to purchase all of their weekly grocery requirements in a single shopping visit.

Other types of retailers—such as hard discounters, limited assortment stores, natural and organic markets, ethnic specialty stores, and club stores—also sell food and grocery items. These types of retailers, however, are not in the relevant product market because they offer a more limited range of products and services than supermarkets and because they appeal to a distinct customer type. Shoppers typically do not view these other food and grocery retailers as adequate substitutes for supermarkets.² Further, although these other types of retailers offer some competition, supermarkets do not view them as providing as significant or close competition as traditional supermarkets. Thus, consistent with prior Commission precedent, these other types of retailers are excluded from the relevant product market.³

² Supermarket shoppers would be unlikely to switch to one of these other types of retailers in response to a small but significant increase in price or "SSNIP" by a hypothetical supermarket monopolist. See U.S. DOJ and FTC Horizontal Merger Guidelines § 4.1.1 (2010).

³ See, e.g., Bi-Lo Holdings, LLC/Delhaize America, LLC, Docket C-4440 (February 25, 2014); AB Acquisition, LLC, Docket C-4424 (December 23,

The relevant geographic markets in which to analyze the effects of the Acquisition are areas that range from a two- to ten-mile radius around each of the Respondents' supermarkets, depending on factors such as population density, traffic patterns, and unique characteristics of each market. Where the Respondents' supermarkets are located in rural, isolated areas, the relevant geographic areas are larger than areas where the Respondents' supermarkets are located in more densely populated suburban areas. A hypothetical monopolist of the retail sale of food and grocery products in supermarkets in each relevant area could profitably impose a small but significant non-transitory increase in price.

The 130 geographic markets in which to analyze the effects of the Acquisition are local areas in and around: (1) Anthem, Arizona; (2) Carefree, Arizona; (3) Flagstaff, Arizona; (4) Lake Havasu, Arizona; (5) Prescott, Arizona; (6) Prescott Valley, Arizona; (7) Scottsdale, Arizona; (8) Tucson (Eastern), Arizona; (9) Tucson (Southwest), Arizona; (10) Alpine, California; (11) Arroyo Grande/Grover Beach, California; (12) Atascadero, California; (13) Bakersfield, California; (14) Burbank, California; (15) Calabasas, California; (16) Camarillo, California; (17) Carlsbad (North), California; (18) Carlsbad (South), California; (19) Carpinteria, California; (20) Cheviot Hills/Culver City, California; (21) Chino Hills, California; (22) Coronado, California; (23) Diamond Bar, California; (24) El Cajon, California; (25) Hermosa Beach, California; (26) Imperial Beach, California; (27) La Jolla, California; (28) La Mesa, California; (29) Ladera Ranch, California; (30) Laguna Beach, California; (31) Laguna Niguel, California; (32) Lakewood, California; (33) Lemon Grove, California; (34) Lomita, California; (35) Lompoc, California; (36) Mira Mesa (North), California; (37) Mira Mesa (South), California; (38) Mission Viejo/Laguna Hills, California; (39) Mission Viejo (North), California; (40) Morro Bay,

2013); Konkinlijke Ahold N.V./Safeway Inc., Docket C-4367 (August 17, 2012); Shaw's/Star Markets, Docket C-3934 (June 28, 1999); Kroger/Fred Meyer, Docket C-3917 (January 10, 2000); Albertson's/American Stores, Docket C-3986 (June 22, 1999); Ahold/Giant, Docket C-3861 (April 5, 1999); Albertson's/Buttrey, Docket C-3838 (December 8, 1998); Jitney-Jungle Stores of America, Inc., Docket C-3784 (January 30, 1998). *But see* Wal-Mart/Supermercados Amigo, Docket C-4066 (November 21, 2002) (the Commission's complaint alleged that in Puerto Rico, club stores should be included in a product market that included supermarkets because club stores in Puerto Rico enabled consumers to purchase substantially all of their weekly food and grocery requirements in a single shopping visit).

California; (41) National City, California; (42) Newbury, California; (43) Newport, California; (44) Oxnard, California; (45) Palm Desert/Rancho Mirage, California; (46) Palmdale, California; (47) Paso Robles, California; (48) Poway, California; (49) Rancho Cucamonga/Upland, California; (50) Rancho Santa Margarita, California; (51) San Diego (Clairemont), California; (52) San Diego (Hillcrest/University Heights), California; (53) San Diego (Tierrasanta), California; (54) San Luis Obispo, California; (55) San Marcos, California; (56) San Pedro, California; (57) Santa Barbara, California; (58) Santa Barbara/Goleta, California; (59) Santa Clarita, California; (60) Santa Monica, California; (61) Santee, California; (62) Simi Valley, California; (63) Solana Beach, California; (64) Thousand Oaks, California; (65) Tujunga, California; (66) Tustin (Central), California; (67) Tustin/Irvine, California; (68) Ventura, California; (69) Westlake Village, California; (70) Yorba Linda, California; (71) Butte, Montana; (72) Deer Lodge, Montana; (73) Missoula, Montana; (74) Boulder City, Nevada; (75) Henderson, (East), Nevada; (76) Henderson (Southwest), Nevada; (77) Summerlin, Nevada; (78) Ashland, Oregon; (79) Baker County, Oregon; (80) Bend, Oregon; (81) Eugene, Oregon; (82) Grants Pass, Oregon; (83) Happy Valley/Clackamas, Oregon; (84) Keizer, Oregon; (85) Klamath Falls, Oregon; (86) Lake Oswego, Oregon; (87) Milwaukie, Oregon; (88) Sherwood, Oregon; (89) Springfield, Oregon; (90) Tigard, Oregon; (91) West Linn, Oregon; (92) Colleyville, Texas; (93) Dallas (Far North), Texas; (94) Dallas (Farmers/Branch/North Dallas), Texas; (95) Dallas (University Park/Highland Park), Texas; (96) Dallas (University Park/Northeast), Texas; (97) McKinney, Texas; (98) Plano, Texas; (99) Roanoke, Texas; (100) Rowlett, Texas; (101) Bremerton, Washington; (102) Burien, Washington; (103) Everett, Washington; (104) Federal Way, Washington; (105) Gig Harbor, Washington; (106) Lake Forest Park, Washington; (107) Lake Stevens, Washington; (108) Lakewood, Washington; (109) Liberty Lake, Washington; (110) Milton, Washington; (111) Monroe, Washington; (112) Oak Harbor, Washington; (113) Olympia (East), Washington; (114) Port Angeles, Washington; (115) Port Orchard, Washington; (116) Puyallup, Washington; (117) Renton (East Hill-Meridian), Washington; (118) Renton (New Castle), Washington; (119) Sammamish, Washington; (120) Shoreline, Washington; (121) Silverdale, Washington; (122) Snohomish,

Washington; (123) Tacoma (Eastside), Washington; (124) Tacoma (Spanaway), Washington; (125) Walla Walla, Washington; (126) Wenatchee, Washington; (127) Woodinville, Washington; (128) Casper, Wyoming; (129) Laramie, Wyoming; and (130) Sheridan, Wyoming.

Each of the relevant geographic markets is highly concentrated and the Acquisition would significantly increase market concentration and eliminate substantial direct competition between two significant supermarket operators. The post-Acquisition HHI levels in the relevant markets vary from 2,562 to 10,000 points, and the HHI deltas vary from 225 to 5,000 points. Under the 2010 Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines ("Merger Guidelines"), an acquisition that results in an HHI in excess of 2,500 points and increases the HHI by more than 200 points is presumed anticompetitive. Thus, the presumptions of illegality and anticompetitive effects are easily met, and often far exceeded, in the relevant geographic markets at issue.

The relevant markets are also highly concentrated in terms of the number of remaining market participants post-Acquisition. Of the 130 geographic markets, the acquisition will result in a merger-to-monopoly in 13 markets and a merger-to-duopoly in 42 markets. In the remaining markets, the Acquisition will reduce the number of market participants from four to three in 43 markets, five to four in 27 markets, and six to five in five markets.⁴

The anticompetitive implications of such significant increases in market concentration are reinforced by substantial evidence demonstrating that Albertson's and Safeway are close and vigorous competitors in terms of price, format, service, product offerings, promotional activity, and location in each of the relevant geographic markets. Absent relief, the Acquisition would eliminate significant head-to-head competition between Albertson's and Safeway and would increase the ability and incentive of Albertson's to raise prices unilaterally post-Acquisition. The Acquisition would also decrease incentives to compete on non-price factors, such as service levels, convenience, and quality. Lastly, the high levels of concentration also increase the likelihood of competitive harm through coordinated interaction in markets in which Albertson's will face only one other traditional supermarket competitor post-Acquisition. Given the transparency of pricing and promotional

⁴ See Exhibit A.

practices among supermarkets and that supermarkets “price check” competitors in the ordinary course of business, the Acquisition increases the possibility that Albertson’s and its remaining competitor could simply follow each other’s price increases post-Acquisition.

New entry or expansion in the relevant markets is unlikely to deter or counteract the anticompetitive effects of the Acquisition. Moreover, even if a prospective entrant existed, the entrant must secure a viable location, obtain the necessary permits and governmental approvals, build its retail establishment or renovate an existing building, and open to customers before it could begin operating and serve as a relevant competitive constraint. As a result, new entry sufficient to achieve a significant market impact and act as a competitive constraint is unlikely to occur in a timely manner.

The Proposed Consent Order

The proposed remedy, which requires the divestiture of Albertson’s or Safeway supermarkets in the relevant markets to four Commission-approved up-front buyers (the “proposed buyers”) will restore fully the competition that otherwise would be eliminated in these markets as a result of the Acquisition. Specifically, Respondents have agreed to divest:

- 146 stores and related assets in Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington to Haggen, Inc. (“Haggen”);
- Two stores in Washington to Supervalu, Inc. (“Supervalu”);
- 12 stores and related assets in Texas to Associated Wholesale Grocers (“AWG”); and
- Eight stores and related assets in Montana and Wyoming to Associated Food Stores (“Associated”).

The proposed buyers appear to be highly suitable purchasers and are well positioned to enter the relevant geographic markets and prevent the increase in market concentration and likely competitive harm that otherwise

would have resulted from the Acquisition. The supermarkets currently owned by any of the proposed buyers are all located outside the relevant geographic markets in which they are purchasing divested stores.

Haggen is a regional supermarket chain with 18 supermarkets in Washington and Oregon. Haggen will purchase all but two of the divested stores in Washington, because Haggen already operates stores in those two geographic markets. Supervalu will purchase the two stores in Washington that Haggen is not purchasing. Supervalu is a wholesale distributor that also operates 190 corporate-owned supermarkets and previously owned these two Washington stores. AWG is a member-owned cooperative grocery wholesaler supplying nearly 3,000 supermarkets in 33 states. Although AWG does not currently own or operate any supermarkets, AWG has owned and operated corporate-owned supermarkets in the past. Finally, Associated is a member-owned cooperative grocery wholesaler that supplies and operates retail supermarkets. Associated’s members operate approximately 424 grocery stores in ten states, and the cooperative, through a subsidiary, owns and operates 43 corporate-owned supermarkets located in Utah and Nevada. It is expected that AWG will assign its operating rights in the 12 Texas stores it is acquiring to RLS Supermarkets, LLC (d/b/a Minyard Food Stores) and that Associated will assign its rights in the eight Montana and Wyoming stores it is acquiring to Missoula Fresh Market LLC, Ridley’s Family Markets, Inc., and Stokes Inc.

The Proposed Consent Order requires Respondents to divest: (a) The Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington assets to Haggen within 150 days from the date of the Acquisition; (b) the two stores in Washington to Supervalu within 100 days of the date of the Acquisition; (c) the Texas assets to AWG within 60 days of the date of

the Acquisition; and (d) the Montana and Wyoming assets to Associated within 60 days of the date of the Acquisition. If, at the time before the Proposed Consent Order is made final, the Commission determines that any of the proposed buyers are not acceptable buyers, Respondents must immediately rescind the divestiture(s) and divest the assets to a different buyer that receives the Commission’s prior approval.

The proposed Consent Order contains additional provisions designed to ensure the adequacy of the proposed relief. For example, Respondents have agreed to an Order to Maintain Assets that will be issued at the time the Proposed Consent Order is accepted for public comment. The Order to Maintain Assets requires Albertson’s and Safeway to operate and maintain each divestiture store in the normal course of business, through the date the store is ultimately divested to a buyer. Since the divestiture schedule runs for an extended period of time (potentially up to 150 days following the Acquisition date), the Proposed Consent Order appoints Richard King as a Monitor to oversee the Respondents’ compliance with the requirements of the Proposed Consent Order and Order to Maintain Assets. Mr. King has the experience and skill-set to be an effective Monitor, no identifiable conflicts, and sufficient time to dedicate to this matter through its conclusion. Lastly, for a period of ten years, Albertson’s is required to give the Commission prior notice of plans to acquire any interest in a supermarket that has operated or is operating in the counties included in the relevant markets.

* * *

The sole purpose of this Analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Order. This Analysis does not constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Order, nor does it modify its terms in any way.

EXHIBIT A

Area number	City	State	Merger result	HHI (pre)	HHI (post)	Delta	Divested store(s)
1	Anthem	AZ	4 to 3	2768	3423	655	SFY 1726.
2	Carefree	AZ	5 to 4	2298	2976	678	ALB 979.
3	Flagstaff	AZ	5 to 4	2744	3365	621	ALB 967.
4	Lake Havasu	AZ	4 to 3	2609	3401	792	ALB 1027.
5	Prescott	AZ	4 to 3	2675	3405	730	ALB 953.
6	Prescott Valley	AZ	4 to 3	2828	3340	512	ALB 965.
7	Scottsdale	AZ	3 to 2	3797	5001	1204	ALB 983.
8	Tucson (Eastern)	AZ	4 to 3	3341	4130	789	SFY 234 & 2611.
9	Tucson (Southwest)	AZ	5 to 4	2018	2909	891	ALB 972.
10	Alpine	CA	3 to 2	3857	5002	1145	SFY 2333.
11	Arroyo Grande/Grover Beach	CA	3 to 2	3690	6864	3174	ALB 6304.
12	Atascadero	CA	3 to 2	3456	6242	2786	ALB 6390.

EXHIBIT A—Continued

Area number	City	State	Merger result	HHI (pre)	HHI (post)	Delta	Divested store(s)
13	Bakersfield	CA	6 to 5	1923	2562	639	ALB 6323, 6325 & 6379.
14	Burbank	CA	3 to 2	4199	5011	812	ALB 6315.
15	Calabasas	CA	3 to 2	3400	5415	2015	SFY 2031.
16	Camarillo	CA	5 to 4	2950	4215	1265	ALB 6385.
17	Carlsbad (North)	CA	4 to 3	2977	3888	911	ALB 6701.
18	Carlsbad (South)	CA	5 to 4	2209	3210	1001	ALB 6720.
19	Carpinteria	CA	2 to 1	5012	10,000	4988	SFY 2425.
20	Cheviot Hills/Culver City	CA	4 to 3	2394	3914	1520	ALB 6168 & 6169.
21	Chino Hills	CA	4 to 3	3596	4047	451	SFY 2597.
22	Coronado Island	CA	2 to 1	5025	10,000	4975	ALB 6747.
23	Diamond Bar	CA	3 to 2	4466	5231	765	SFY 2062.
24	El Cajon	CA	4 to 3	2983	3597	614	ALB 6771.
25	Hermosa Beach	CA	5 to 4	2752	4371	1619	ALB 6127, 6138, 6153 & 6189.
26	Imperial Beach	CA	2 to 1	5869	10,000	4131	ALB 6228.
27	La Jolla	CA	3 to 2	5505	7083	1578	ALB 6788.
28	La Mesa	CA	3 to 2	3382	5997	2615	SFY 2064 & 2137.
29	Ladera Ranch	CA	2 to 1	5081	10,000	4919	SFY 2703.
30	Laguna Beach	CA	3 to 2	3335	5799	2464	ALB 6575.
31	Laguna Niguel	CA	4 to 3	3190	3883	693	SFY 1676.
32	Lakewood	CA	6 to 5	2073	2581	508	ALB 6154.
33	Lemon Grove	CA	3 to 2	3581	6059	2478	SFY 2365.
34	Lomita	CA	3 to 2	3695	5040	1345	ALB 6107.
35	Lompoc	CA	4 to 3	2566	3713	1147	ALB 6339.
36	Mira Mesa (North)	CA	5 to 4	2412	3808	1396	ALB 6742 & 6772.
37	Mira Mesa (South)	CA	2 to 1	6904	10,000	3096	ALB 6770.
38	Mission Viejo/Laguna Hills	CA	4 to 3	3157	3784	627	ALB 6517.
39	Mission Viejo (North)	CA	3 to 2	3933	5012	1079	SFY 1670.
40	Morro Bay	CA	5 to 4	2965	4056	1091	SFY 2312.
41	National City	CA	3 to 2	3748	5013	1265	SFY 2006, 2336 & 3063.
42	Newbury Park	CA	3 to 2	3629	5833	2204	SFY 1793.
43	Newport Beach	CA	5 to 4	3160	3811	651	ALB 6504.
44	Oxnard	CA	4 to 3	2939	3375	436	ALB 6217.
45	Palm Desert/Rancho Mirage	CA	6 to 5	2196	3094	898	SFY 2383 & 3218.
46	Palmdale	CA	4 to 3	3056	4039	983	ALB 6329.
47	Paso Robles	CA	4 to 3	2851	5427	2576	SFY 2317.
48	Poway	CA	4 to 3	2540	3526	986	ALB 6741 & 6763.
49	Rancho Cucamonga/Upland	CA	4 to 3	3266	4118	852	ALB 6523 & 6589.
50	Rancho Santa Margarita	CA	4 to 3	2628	4300	1672	ALB 6521.
51	San Diego (Clairemont)	CA	3 to 2	4066	6374	2308	ALB 6781.
52	San Diego (Hillcrest/University Heights).	CA	3 to 2	4436	6571	2135	ALB 6714 & 6715.
53	San Diego, CA (Tierrasanta)	CA	2 to 1	5586	10,000	4414	ALB 6760.
54	San Luis Obispo	CA	4 to 3	2896	5306	2410	ALB 6372 & 6409.
55	San Marcos	CA	3 to 2	5991	6282	291	SFY 2174.
56	San Pedro	CA	3 to 2	3518	6442	2924	ALB 6160 & 6164.
57	Santa Barbara	CA	4 to 3	2741	3462	721	ALB 6351 & 6352.
58	Santa Barbara/Goleta	CA	3 to 2	3909	7469	3560	SFY 2048 & 2691.
59	Santa Clarita	CA	4 to 3	2646	3732	1086	SFY 1669 & 1961.
60	Santa Monica	CA	4 to 3	3293	4879	1586	ALB 6162.
61	Santee	CA	3 to 2	3477	6133	2656	ALB 6727.
62	Simi Valley	CA	5 to 4	3633	7101	3468	ALB 6317 & 6363; SFY 2163.
63	Solana Beach	CA	3 to 2	3830	6188	2358	ALB 6702.
64	Thousand Oaks	CA	3 to 2	4057	6047	1990	ALB 6369.
65	Tujunga	CA	3 to 2	3688	3969	281	ALB 6397.
66	Tustin (central)	CA	4 to 3	3474	4348	874	SFY 2146 & 2324.
67	Tustin/Irvine	CA	4 to 3	3939	4485	546	SFY 2822.
68	Ventura	CA	4 to 3	2732	3550	818	ALB 6318.
69	Westlake Village	CA	5 to 4	1955	3563	1608	ALB 6388.
70	Yorba Linda	CA	4 to 3	2803	4588	1785	ALB 6510.
71	Butte	MT	3 to 2	4701	5189	488	ALB 2007.
72	Deer Lodge	MT	2 to 1	5000	10,000	5000	SFY 3256.
73	Missoula	MT	4 to 3	3107	4063	956	SFY 1573 & 2619.
74	Boulder City	NV	2 to 1	5051	10,000	4949	SFY 2391.
75	Henderson (East)	NV	4 to 3	2705	3356	651	ALB 6014 & 6019.
76	Henderson (Southwest)	NV	3 to 2	3653	5042	1389	ALB 6028.
77	Summerlin	NV	4 to 3	3107	4367	1260	SFY 1688, 2392 & 2395.
78	Ashland	OR	2 to 1	5013	10,000	4987	SFY 4292.
79	Baker County	OR	2 to 1	5102	10,000	4898	ALB 261.
80	Bend	OR	6 to 5	2632	3824	1192	ALB 587 & 588.
81	Eugene	OR	5 to 4	2392	3414	1022	ALB 507 & 568.
82	Grants Pass	OR	4 to 3	2769	3537	768	ALB 501 & 537.

EXHIBIT A—Continued

Area number	City	State	Merger result	HHI (pre)	HHI (post)	Delta	Divested store(s)
83	Happy Valley/Clackamas	OR	2 to 1	5006	10,000	4994	ALB 503.
84	Keizer	OR	5 to 4	2852	3367	515	ALB 562.
85	Klamath Falls	OR	5 to 4	2511	2917	406	SFY 1766 & 4395.
86	Lake Oswego	OR	4 to 3	3176	5604	2428	ALB 521.
87	Milwaukie	OR	3 to 2	5729	6082	353	ALB 566.
88	Sherwood	OR	3 to 2	3989	5028	1039	ALB 579.
89	Springfield	OR	3 to 2	4400	5197	797	SFY 311.
90	Tigard	OR	5 to 4	2261	2984	723	ALB 559, 565 & 576.
91	West Linn	OR	3 to 2	3611	6268	2657	ALB 506.
92	Colleyville	TX	5 to 4	2686	3465	779	SFY 3555 & 3576.
93	Dallas (Far North)	TX	5 to 4	2413	2891	478	ALB 4140.
94	Dallas (Farmers Branch/North Dallas)	TX	4 to 3	3746	5175	1429	ALB 4182.
95	Dallas (University Park/Highland Park)	TX	4 to 3	2755	4261	1506	ALB 4134 & 4168.
96	Dallas (University Park/Northeast Dallas)	TX	5 to 4	2345	3065	720	ALB 4132 & 4297.
97	McKinney	TX	5 to 4	2692	3613	921	SFY 3573.
98	Plano	TX	4 to 3	3105	3541	436	SFY 2568.
99	Roanoke	TX	3 to 2	4680	5351	671	ALB 4149.
100	Rowlett	TX	3 to 2	3386	5450	2064	ALB 4197.
101	Bremerton	WA	4 to 3	2721	3399	678	ALB 443.
102	Burien	WA	5 to 4	1979	4489	2510	ALB 411 & 473.
103	Everett	WA	5 to 4	2301	2586	285	SFY 517.
104	Federal Way	WA	5 to 4	2312	2709	397	ALB 496.
105	Gig Harbor	WA	3 to 2	3396	5235	1839	SFY 2949.
106	Lake Forest Park	WA	5 to 4	3889	4352	463	ALB 425.
107	Lake Stevens	WA	5 to 4	2646	3455	809	ALB 477.
108	Lakewood	WA	5 to 4	2333	3170	837	ALB 465.
109	Liberty Lake	WA	3 to 2	3483	5090	1607	SFY 1741.
110	Milton	WA	3 to 2	3960	5010	1050	ALB 472.
111	Monroe	WA	4 to 3	2911	3352	441	ALB 476.
112	Oak Harbor	WA	3 to 2	4296	6446	2150	SFY 3518.
113	Olympia (East)	WA	6 to 5	2205	2566	361	ALB 415.
114	Port Angeles	WA	3 to 2	3773	5588	1815	ALB 404.
115	Port Orchard	WA	4 to 3	2747	3362	615	SFY 1082.
116	Puyallup	WA	3 to 2	4160	5072	912	ALB 468.
117	Renton (East Hill-Meridian)	WA	4 to 3	3304	3719	415	ALB 470.
118	Renton (New Castle)	WA	4 to 3	4417	5274	857	SFY 1468.
119	Sammamish	WA	2 to 1	5761	10,000	4239	ALB 403.
120	Shoreline	WA	4 to 3	3792	4017	225	SFY 442.
121	Silverdale	WA	4 to 3	2845	3516	671	ALB 492.
122	Snohomish	WA	2 to 1	5595	10,000	4405	ALB 401.
123	Tacoma (Eastside)	WA	4 to 3	3260	3727	467	ALB 498.
124	Tacoma (Spanaway)	WA	5 to 4	2707	3360	653	SFY 551.
125	Walla Walla	WA	5 to 4	2624	3417	793	ALB 225.
126	Wenatchee	WA	3 to 2	3744	5047	1303	ALB 244.
127	Woodinville	WA	3 to 2	3568	5192	1624	ALB 459.
128	Casper	WY	4 to 3	3816	4353	537	SFY 433 & 2468.
129	Laramie	WY	3 to 2	3793	5000	1207	ALB 2063.
130	Sheridan	WY	3 to 2	4802	5421	619	SFY 2664.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-01971 Filed 2-2-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice—CECANF–2015–01; Docket No. 2015–0004; Sequence No. 1]

Commission To Eliminate Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities; Announcement of Meeting

AGENCY: Commission To Eliminate Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission to Eliminate Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities

(CECANF), a Federal Advisory Committee established by the Protect Our Kids Act of 2012, Public Law 112–275, will hold a meeting open to the public on Thursday, February 26, 2015 and Friday, February 27, 2015 in Portland, Oregon.

DATES: The meetings will be held on Thursday, February 26, 2015, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and Friday, February 27, 2015 from 8:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Pacific Standard Time.

ADDRESSES: CECANF will convene its meeting at the Marriott City Center, 520 SW Broadway, Portland, Oregon 97205.