

November 25, 2014, respectively, Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi timely withdrew their respective requests for a review.<sup>3</sup>

#### Partial Rescission of the 2013–2014 Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The Department initiated the instant review on August 29, 2014.<sup>4</sup> Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi withdrew their requests for a review on October 10, 2014 and November 25, 2014, respectively, which is within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested an administrative review of these particular companies. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, in part, with respect to Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi. The instant review will continue with respect to Andalini, Delverde, La Molisana, and Rummo.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Dalla Costa and Pasta Lensi, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or

countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in the amount of antidumping duties assessed.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 23, 2015.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2015–01586 Filed 1–27–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–905]

#### Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On July 25, 2014, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the *Federal Register* the *Preliminary Results* of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber (“PSF”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).<sup>1</sup> We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results.

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013* (July 25, 2014) (“*Preliminary Results*”) and accompanying Decision Memorandum.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Hampton, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0116.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 23, 2014, DAK Americas LLC (“Petitioner”) filed comments regarding ministerial errors.<sup>2</sup> On July 25, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>3</sup> On October 21, 2014, Petitioner and Takayasu Industrial (Jiangyin) Co., Ltd. (“Takayasu”) submitted case briefs. On October 28, 2014, Petitioner and Takayasu submitted rebuttal briefs. On October 30, 2014, the Department fully extended the final results to January 21, 2015.<sup>4</sup> On November 5, 2014, Takayasu submitted a redacted rebuttal brief per the Department's request.

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain polyester staple fiber. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) numbers 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order, which is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (“I&D Memo”) is dispositive.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See Sixth Administrative Review of Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China—Preliminary Results Clerical Error Allegation, dated July 23, 2014. The Department notes that “Polyester Staple Fiberfill” is a misidentification of the proceeding. The Department has corrected this reference throughout this document to the name: “Polyester Staple Fiber.”

<sup>3</sup> See *Preliminary Results*.

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Steven Hampton, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, dated October 30, 2014.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012–2013 Administrative Review issued concurrently with this notice for a complete description of the Scope of the Order (“I&D Memo”).

<sup>3</sup> See Letter from Dalla Costa to the Department, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Review Request for Administrative Review of Dalla Costa Alimentare SRL,” dated October 10, 2014; Letter from Pasta Lensi to the Department, “Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated November 25, 2014.

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation Notice*.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in these reviews are addressed in the I&D Memo. A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an appendix. The I&D Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS").<sup>6</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed I&D Memo and the electronic versions of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the I&D Memo, the Department has made the following changes to the margin calculation:

- We revised the calculation of surrogate financial ratios using the financial statements of Angtai Co., Ltd. and Compass Corporation Co., Ltd.<sup>7</sup>
- We based the surrogate value for PET Flakes on Thai import statistics recorded in Global Trade Atlas using the product-specific subcategory under the Thai Harmonized Tariff Schedule.<sup>8</sup>
- We included all of the direct materials that Takayasu reported as market-economy purchases and the total freight cost of these purchases in the margin calculation.<sup>9</sup>
- We revised the inland freight calculation to apply the surrogate value for export movement to the distances reported under foreign inland freight.<sup>10</sup>
- We deducted market-economy brokerage and handling expenses from the calculation of total international movement charges.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>6</sup> On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). The Web site location was changed from <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> to <http://access.trade.gov>. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014).

<sup>7</sup> See I&D Memo, at Comment 1.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 2.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 8A.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 8B.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 8C.

- We revised the calculation of surrogate freight costs by applying the corrected distances capped at the distance to the closest port.<sup>12</sup>
- We amended the formula to calculate freight expenses.<sup>13</sup>
- We calculated international freight movement charges using route-specific freight quotes from MAERSK.<sup>14</sup>
- We added a VAT variable to the U.S. Sales dataset to allow the program to automatically calculate VAT tax deductions using the Department's default methodology.<sup>15</sup>

### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period June 1, 2012, through May 31, 2013:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Takayasu Industrial (Jiangyin) Co., Ltd .....	42.36

### Assessment

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).<sup>16</sup> Where the Department calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>17</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect

the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>18</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>19</sup>

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by the company individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-Wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-Wide rate.<sup>20</sup>

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporter listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, *i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-Wide rate of 44.30 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. The deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 9A.

<sup>13</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 9B.

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 9C.

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*, at Comment 9D.

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>20</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 21, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix I—Issues and Decision Memorandum

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- Summary
- Background
- Scope of the Order
- Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Surrogate Financial Ratios
  - Comment 2: Surrogate Value for PET Chips & PET Flakes
  - Comment 3: Surrogate Value for Truck Freight
  - Comment 4: Surrogate Value for Brokerage & Handling
  - Comment 5: Surrogate Value for Labor
  - Comment 6: Value Added Tax
  - Comment 7: Appropriate Comparison Method
  - Comment 8: Ministerial Errors
    - A. Direct Materials
    - B. Foreign Inland Freight
    - C. U.S. Brokerage and Handling
  - Comment 9: Programming Errors
    - A. Freight Charges—Raw Materials
    - B. Domestic Movement
    - C. International Movement

D. VAT Offset  
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015–01601 Filed 1–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–552–801]

#### Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets ("fish fillets") from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"). The period of review is August 1, 2013, through January 31, 2014. The review covers two companies that are producers and exporters of subject merchandise, Nam Phuong Seafood Co., Ltd. ("Nam Phuong") and NTACO Corporation ("NTACO"). The Department preliminarily determines that Nam Phuong's and NTACO's sales to the United States were not *bona fide* and is preliminarily rescinding these new shipper reviews. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 28, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Renkey (Nam Phuong) or Steven Hampton (NTACO), AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2312 or (202) 482–0116, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 3, 2014, the Department published notice of initiation of new shipper reviews of fish fillets from Vietnam for the period August 1, 2013, through January 31, 2014.<sup>1</sup> On August 20, 2014, the Department partially extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results by 60 days.<sup>2</sup> On

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews; 2013–2014*, 79 FR 18666 (April 3, 2014).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty

October 29, 2014, the Department fully extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results by an additional 60 days.<sup>3</sup> The revised deadline for the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews is January 20, 2015.<sup>4</sup>

##### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species *Pangasius Bocourti*, *Pangasius Hypophthalmus* (also known as *Pangasius Pangasius*) and *Pangasius Micronemus*. These products are classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.29.6033, 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 0305.59.4000, 1604.19.2000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3000, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4000, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5000, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").<sup>5</sup> Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.<sup>6</sup>

Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Steven Hampton, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews of Nam Phuong Seafood Co., Ltd. and NTACO Corporation, dated August 20, 2014.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Steven Hampton, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations regarding Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Full Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews of Nam Phuong Seafood Co., Ltd. and NTACO Corporation, dated October 29, 2014.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> Until July 1, 2004, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6030 (Frozen Catfish Fillets), 0304.20.6096 (Frozen Fish Fillets, NESOI), 0304.20.6043 (Frozen Freshwater Fish Fillets) and 0304.20.6057 (Frozen Sole Fillets). Until February 1, 2007, these products were classifiable under HTSUS 0304.20.6033 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius*, including basa and tra). On March 2, 2011, the Department added two HTSUS numbers at the request of U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"): 1604.19.2000 and 1604.19.3000. On January 30, 2012, the Department added eight HTSUS numbers at the request of CBP: 0304.62.0020, 0305.59.0000, 1604.19.2100, 1604.19.3100, 1604.19.4100, 1604.19.5100, 1604.19.6100 and 1604.19.8100.

<sup>6</sup> See "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam" from Gary