

the Exchange would permit all OFPs the ability to aggregate for purposes of the rebates if certain OFPs chose to operate under separate entities.<sup>78</sup>

Given the robust competition for volume among options markets, many of which offer the same products, implementing programs to attract order flow similar to the ones being proposed in this filing, are consistent with the above-mentioned goals of the Act. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment.

*C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>79</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4<sup>80</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)<sup>81</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

<sup>78</sup> See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69132 (March 13, 2013), 78 FR 16898, 16902-16903 (March 19, 2013) (SR-NASDAQ-2013-041) (justifying allowing affiliates to aggregate their volume to receive rebates because all Participants on the exchange have the ability to aggregate if certain Participants chose to operate under separate entities).

<sup>79</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>80</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>81</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2015-04 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2015-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEMKT-2015-04, and should be submitted on or before February 13, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>82</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-01072 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**Military Reservist Economic Injury Disaster Loans Interest Rate for Second Quarter FY 2015**

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations 13—Business Credit and Assistance § 123.512, the following interest rate is effective for Military Reservist Economic Injury Disaster Loans approved on or after January 20, 2015.

Military Reservist Loan Program—4.000%.

Dated: January 15, 2015.

**James E. Rivera,**  
*Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2015-01192 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

**SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION**

[Docket No. SSA-2015-0001]

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Request and Comment Request**

The Social Security Administration (SSA) publishes a list of information collection packages requiring clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with Public Law 104-13, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, effective October 1, 1995. This notice includes revisions and extensions of OMB-approved information collections.

SSA is soliciting comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate; the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility, and clarity; and ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Mail, email, or fax your comments and recommendations on the information collection(s) to the OMB Desk Officer and SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following addresses or fax numbers. (OMB) Office of Management and Budget, Attn: Desk Officer for SSA, Fax: 202-395-6974, Email address: [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov).

<sup>82</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

(SSA) Social Security Administration, OLCA, Attn: Reports Clearance Director, 3100 West High Rise, 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21235, Fax: 410-966-2830, Email address: *OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov*

Or you may submit your comments online through *www.regulations.gov*, referencing Docket ID Number [SSA-2015-0001].

I. The information collections below are pending at SSA. SSA will submit them to OMB within 60 days from the

date of this notice. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than March 24, 2015. Individuals can obtain copies of the collection instruments by writing to the above email address.

1. *Waiver of Right to Appear—Disability Hearing—20 CFR 404.913–404.914, 404.916(b)(5), 416.1413–416.1414, 416.1416(b)(5)—0960-0534.* Claimants for Social Security disability payments or their representatives can use Form SSA-773-U4 to officially waive their right to appear at a disability

hearing. The disability hearing officer uses the signed form as a basis for not holding a hearing, and for preparing a written decision on the claimant's request for disability payments based solely on the evidence of record. The respondents are claimants for disability payments under Title II and Title XVI of the Social Security Act, or their representatives, who wish to waive their right to appear at a disability hearing.

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
SSA-773-U4 .....	200	1	3	10

2. *Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI (PROMISE) Evaluation—0960-0799. Background.*

The Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI (PROMISE) demonstration pursues positive outcomes for children with disabilities who receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and their families by reducing dependency on SSI. The Department of Education (ED) awarded six cooperative agreements to states to improve the provision and coordination of services and support for children with disabilities who receive SSI and their families to achieve improved education and employment outcomes. ED awarded PROMISE funds to five single-state projects, and to one six-state consortium.<sup>1</sup> With support from ED, the Department of Labor (DOL), and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), SSA is evaluating the six PROMISE projects. SSA contracted with Mathematica Policy Research to conduct the evaluation.

Under PROMISE, targeted outcomes for youth include an enhanced sense of self-determination; achievement of secondary and post-secondary educational credentials; an attainment of early work experiences culminating with competitive employment in an integrated setting; and long-term reduction in reliance on SSI. Outcomes of interest for families include heightened expectations for and support of the long-term self-sufficiency of their youth; parent or guardian attainment of education and training credentials; and increases in earnings and total income. To achieve these outcomes, we expect the PROMISE projects to make better

use of existing resources by improving service coordination among multiple state and local agencies and programs.

ED, SSA, DOL, and HHS intend the PROMISE projects to address key limitations in the existing service system for youth with disabilities. By intervening early in the lives of these young people, at ages 14–16, the projects engage the youth and their families well before critical decisions regarding the age 18 redetermination are upon them. We expect the required partnerships among the various state and Federal agencies that serve youth with disabilities to result in improved integration of services and fewer dropped handoffs as youth move from one agency to another. By requiring the programs to engage and serve families and provide youth with paid work experiences, the initiative is mandating the adoption of critical best practices in promoting the independence of youth with disabilities.

**Project Description**

SSA is requesting clearance for the collection of data needed to implement and evaluate PROMISE. The evaluation provides empirical evidence on the impact of the intervention for youth and their families in several critical areas, including: (1) Improved educational attainment; (2) increased employment skills, experience, and earnings; and (3) long-term reduction in use of public benefits. We base the PROMISE evaluation on a rigorous design that entails the random assignment of approximately 2,000 youth in each of the six projects to treatment or control groups (12,000 total). The PROMISE projects provide enhanced services for youth in the treatment groups; whereas youth in the control groups are eligible

only for those services already available in their communities independent of the interventions.

The evaluation assesses the effect of PROMISE services on educational attainment, employment, earnings, and reduced receipt of disability payments. The three components of this evaluation include:

- The process analysis, which documents program models, assesses the relationships among the partner organizations, documents whether the grantees implemented the programs as planned, identifies features of the programs that may account for their impacts on youth and families, and identifies lessons for future programs with similar objectives.
- The impact analysis, which determines whether youth and families in the treatment groups receive more services than their counterparts in the control groups. It also determines whether treatment group members have better results than control group members with respect to the targeted outcomes noted above.
- The cost-benefit analysis, which assesses whether the benefits of PROMISE, including increases in employment and reductions in benefit receipt, are large enough to justify its costs. We conduct this assessment from a range of perspectives, including those of the participants, state and Federal governments, SSA, and society as a whole.

SSA planned several data collection efforts for the evaluation. These include: (1) Follow-up interviews with youth and their parent or guardian 18 months and 5 years after enrollment; (2) phone and in-person interviews with local program administrators, program supervisors, and service delivery staff at

<sup>1</sup> The six-state consortium project goes by the name Achieving Success by Promoting Readiness for Education and Employment (ASPIRE) rather than by PROMISE.

two points in time over the course of the demonstration; (3) two rounds of focus groups with participating youth in the treatment group; (4) two rounds of focus groups with parents or guardians of

participating youth; and (5) collection of administrative data.

At this time, SSA requests clearance for the 18-month survey interviews. SSA will request clearance for the 5-year survey interviews in a future

submission. The respondents are the youth participants in the PROMISE program, and the parents or guardians of the youth participants.

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

**TIME BURDEN ON RESPONDENTS**

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
<b>2014: Interviews and Focus Group Discussions</b>				
Staff Interviews with Administrators or Directors .....	75	1	66	83
Staff Interviews with PROMISE Project Staff .....	145	1	66	160
Youth Focus Groups—Non-participants .....	320	1	5	27
Youth Focus Groups—Participants .....	80	1	100	133
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Non-participants .....	320	1	5	27
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Participants .....	80	1	100	133
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>563</b>
<b>2015: 18 Month Survey Interviews</b>				
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent .....	850	1	41	581
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth .....	850	1	30	425
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>1,700</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>1,006</b>
<b>2016: Interviews and Focus Group Discussions</b>				
Staff Interviews with Administrators or Directors .....	75	1	66	83
Staff Interviews with PROMISE Project Staff .....	145	1	66	160
Youth Focus Groups—Non-participants .....	320	1	5	27
Youth Focus Groups—Participants .....	80	1	100	133
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Non-participants .....	320	1	5	27
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Participants .....	80	1	100	133
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent .....	5,100	1	41	3,485
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth .....	5,100	1	30	2,550
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>11,220</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>6,598</b>
<b>2017: 18 Month Survey Interviews</b>				
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent .....	4,250	1	41	2,904
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth .....	4,250	1	30	2,125
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>8,500</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>5,029</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>				
<b>Grand Total .....</b>	<b>22,440</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>13,196</b>

**COST BURDEN FOR RESPONDENTS**

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
<b>2014: Annual Cost to Respondents</b>					
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Non-Participants .....	320	1	5	\$7.38	\$196.01
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Participants .....	80	1	100	7.38	984.20
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>1,180.21</b>
<b>2016: Annual Cost to Respondents</b>					
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Non-Participants .....	320	1	5	7.38	196.01
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Participants .....	80	1	100	7.38	984.20

COST BURDEN FOR RESPONDENTS—Continued

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
Total .....	400	.....	.....	.....	1,180.21
<b>Grand Total Cost Estimate</b>					
Grand Total .....	800	.....	.....	.....	2,360.42

II. SSA submitted the information collections below to OMB for clearance. Your comments regarding the information collections would be most useful if OMB and SSA receive them 30 days from the date of this publication. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than

February 23, 2015. Individuals can obtain copies of the OMB clearance package by writing to *OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov*.  
 1. *Modified Benefit Formula Questionnaire—Foreign Pension—0960-0561*. SSA uses Form SSA-308 to determine exactly how much (if any) of a foreign pension may be used to reduce

the amount of Title II Social Security retirement or disability benefits under the modified benefit formula. The respondents are applicants for Title II Social Security retirement or disability benefits who have foreign pensions.  
 Type of Request: Extension of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
SSA-308 .....	13,452	1	10	2,242
Phone Interview .....	1,666	1	60	1,666
Totals .....	15,118	.....	.....	3,908

2. *Filing Claims Under the Federal Tort Claims Act—20 CFR 429.101-429.110—0960-0667*. The Federal Tort Claims Act is the legal mechanism for compensating persons injured by negligent or wrongful acts that occur during the performance of official duties by Federal employees. In accordance with the law, SSA accepts monetary

claims filed under the Federal Tort Claims Act for damages against the United States, loss of property, personal injury, or death resulting from an SSA employee's wrongful act or omission. The regulation sections cleared under this information collection request require claimants to provide information SSA can use to investigate

and determine whether to make an award, compromise, or settlement under the Federal Tort Claims Act. The respondents are individuals or entities making a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act.  
 Type of Request: Extension of an OMB-approved information collection.

CFR section	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
429.102; 429.103 <sup>1</sup> .....	1	.....	.....	1
429.104(a) .....	11	1	5	1
429.104(b) .....	43	1	5	4
429.104(c) .....	1	1	5	0
429.106(b) .....	6	1	10	1
Totals .....	62	.....	.....	7

<sup>1</sup> The 1 hour represents a placeholder burden. We are not reporting a burden for this collection because respondents complete OMB-approved Form SF-95.

Dated: January 20, 2015.  
**Faye Lipsky,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. 2015-01129 Filed 1-22-15; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4191-02-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**  
**[Public Notice 9010]**  
**60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Evacuee Manifest and Promissory Note**  
**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State is seeking Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are requesting comments on this collection from all interested individuals and organizations. The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public