

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–512 and 731–TA–1248 (Final)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) and (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China, provided for in subheadings 7213.91, 7213.99, 7227.20, and 7227.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be to be subsidized by the government of China, and to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”).²

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective January 31, 2014, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by ArcelorMittal USA LLC, Chicago, Illinois; Charter Steel, Saukville, Wisconsin; Evraz Pueblo, Pueblo, Colorado; Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc., Tampa, Florida; Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., Dallas, Texas; and Nucor Corporation, Charlotte, North Carolina. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the

Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2014 (79 FR 56827). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on November 12, 2014, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission completed and filed its determination in these investigations on January 2, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4509 (January 2015), entitled *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–512 and 731–TA–1248 (Final)*.

Issued: January 2, 2015.

By order of the Commission.

Jennifer Rohrbach,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2015–00039 Filed 1–7–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Criminal Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure has been canceled: Criminal Rules Hearing, January 30, 2015, in Nashville, Tennessee. Announcements for this meeting were previously published in 79 FR 48250 and 79 FR 72702.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan C. Rose, Secretary and Chief Rules Officer, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502–1820.

Dated: January 5, 2015.

Jonathan C. Rose,

Secretary and Chief Rules Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–00103 Filed 1–7–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On January 2, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree in *United States and the State of*

Arkansas v. The City of Fort Smith, Arkansas, Civil Action No. 14–cv–02266–PKH in the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas. Notice is hereby given that, for a period of 30 days, the United States will receive public comments on the proposed Consent Decree.

The United States and the State filed an amended complaint against Fort Smith on the same date. The amended complaint alleges that Fort Smith discharged untreated wastewater from Fort Smith’s sanitary sewer collection system to waters of the United States and the State on numerous occasions, and that Fort Smith failed to comply with certain terms and conditions of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits, in violation of Sections 301 and 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311 and 1342.

Under the settlement, Fort Smith will implement various injunctive measures to achieve full compliance with the Clean Water Act and eliminate sanitary system overflows. The injunctive measures to be undertaken by Fort Smith include conducting a comprehensive inspection of its collection system for condition defects, increasing capacity of sewer lines, where needed, repairing, rehabilitating or replacing sewer lines with significant defects, upgrading of pump stations, and developing and implementing a “capacity, management, operation and maintenance system” to further reduce the incidence of sanitary sewer overflows. The estimated cost of implementing these measures, over an anticipated 12 year period, is approximately \$205,000,000 in current dollars. Fort Smith will also implement a Supplemental Environmental Project aimed at assisting qualified low income residential property owners to repair or replace defective private service lines which connection to its collection system, valued at \$400,000. Fort Smith will also pay a civil penalty of to the United States of \$300,000.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and the State of Arkansas v. The City of Fort Smith, Arkansas*, (Civil Action No. 14–cv–02266), D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–08677. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Additionally, the Commission finds that: (1) Imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China, and (2) imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from China.