

administrative costs means those indirect costs for administration incurred by the Gulf Coast States, coastal political subdivisions, and coastal zone parishes that are allocable to activities authorized under the Act. Administrative costs may include costs for general management functions, general ledger accounting, budgeting, human resource services, general procurement services, and general legal services. Administrative costs do not include indirect costs that are identified specifically with, or readily assignable to: (1) Facilities; (2) Eligible projects, programs, or planning activities; or (3) Activities relating to grant applications, awards, audit requirements, or post-award management, including payments and collections.

C. Reporting

Recipients will be required to submit financial and performance (technical) reports (also known as progress reports). All financial reports shall be submitted to Office of Finance and Budget, Chief Financial Officer/Director of Administration, RESTORE in accordance with the award conditions. Electronic submission of financial reports is preferred via email to sep-financialrpts@restorethegulf.gov. Performance reports should be submitted to the Deputy Director/Director of Programs. Performance reports must include the status of a SEP that meets the requirements listed in this announcement, specifically, that it is acceptable to the applicable Gulf Coast State and is approved by the Council Chairperson. Electronic submission of performance reports is preferred via email to sep-performancerpts@restorethegulf.gov. All reports will be submitted on a basis determined by the results of an applicant's risk assessment. In any event, reports will be submitted no less frequently than annually, and no more frequently than quarterly, unless more frequent reporting is deemed necessary. Reports must be submitted no later than 30 days following the end of each period, if reporting is less than annually, from the start date of the award. The comprehensive final report is due 90 days after the award expiration.

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 includes a requirement for awardees of applicable Federal grants to report information about first-tier sub-awards and executive compensation under Federal assistance awards issued in FY 2011 or later. All awardees of applicable grants and cooperative agreements are required to report to the Federal Sub-

award Reporting System (FSRS) available at www.FSRS.gov on all sub-awards over \$25,000.

VII. Agency Contacts

The contact for questions about this announcement is Mary Pleffner. Her email contact information is email: mary.pleffner@restorethegulf.gov; telephone number: 813-995-2025; and mailing address is Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Counsel Office, 500 Poydras Street, Suite 1117, New Orleans, LA 70130.

VIII. Other Information

A. New Program

This is an announcement for a new Federal grant program authorized by the Oil Spill Restoration Impact Allocation Component of the Resources and Ecosystems Sustainability, Tourist Opportunities, and Revived Economies of the Gulf Coast States Act of 2012 (RESTORE Act), specifically, 33 U.S.C. 1321(t)(3), and 40 CFR part 1800, RESTORE Council's RESTORE Act Spill Impact Component Planning Allocation (79 FR 49690, August 22, 2014).

B. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Council adopts the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) as 5 U.S.C. 552. This statute sets forth rules for the Council regarding making requested materials, information, and records publicly available under the FOIA. Applications submitted in response to this announcement may be subject to requests for release under the FOIA. In the event that an application contains information or data that the applicant deems to be confidential commercial information which is exempt from disclosure under FOIA, that information should be identified, bracketed, and marked as Privileged, Confidential, Commercial or Financial Information. Based on these markings, the confidentiality of the contents of those pages will be protected to the extent permitted by law.

C. Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards

On December 26, 2013, OMB published final guidance titled *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (OMB Uniform Guidance) (<https://www.Federalregister.gov/articles/2013/12/26/2013-30465/uniform-administrative-requirements-cost-principles-and-audit-requirements-for-Federal-awards>), which streamlines the language from eight existing OMB circulars, including Cost Principles (OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, A-122)

and administrative requirements (OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110), into one consolidated set of guidance applicable to Federal assistance awards. The OMB Uniform Guidance applies to awards made by the RESTORE Council. Applicants should familiarize themselves with the OMB Uniform Guidance. Additional information on the substance of and transition to the OMB Uniform Guidance may be found at <https://cfo.gov/cofar/>.

Will D. Spoon,

Program Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2014-30566 Filed 12-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: Census Employment Inquiry.

OMB Control Number: 0607-0139.

Form Number(s): BC-170A, BC-170B, BC-170D.

Type of Request: Extension of Previously Approved Collection.

Number of Respondents: 65,000.

Average Hours per Response: 15 minutes.

Burden Hours: 16,250.

Needs and Uses: The Census Bureau proposed using a revised employment form, however based upon program needs we have decided not to use the revised form at this time. We will use the existing form for our recruitment needs in 2015 and explore revising the employment inquiry form in the future.

Job applicants complete the BC-170 (A, B, and D) before, or at the time, they are tested. Selecting officials will review the information shown on the form and determine the applicant's employment suitability. Failure to collect this information could result in the hiring of unsuitable and/or unqualified workers.

Information quality is an integral part of the pre-dissemination review of the information disseminated by the Census Bureau (fully described in the Census Bureau's Information Quality Guidelines). Information quality is also integral to the information collections conducted by the Census Bureau and is incorporated into the clearance process required by the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Affected Public: Applicants for temporary jobs in office and field positions.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory to apply for temporary positions.

Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 23 a and c.

This information collection request may be viewed at www.reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395-5806.

Dated: December 24, 2014.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-30627 Filed 12-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-132-2014]

Approval of Subzone Status, 5.11, Inc., Modesto and Lathrop, California

On November 6, 2014, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Port of Stockton, California, grantee of FTZ 231, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 231, on behalf of 5.11, Inc., in Modesto and Lathrop, California.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (79 FR 67413, 11/13/2014). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR Sec. 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 231B is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 231's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: December 23, 2014.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-30719 Filed 12-30-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-967]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013. These final results cover 52 companies for which an administrative review was initiated, and for which this administrative review was not rescinded in the *Preliminary Results*.¹ For these final results, the Department examined two mandatory respondents and one voluntary respondent for which this review was initiated. The first mandatory respondent is Guangzhou Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd. and Jangho Curtain Wall Hong Kong Ltd. (collectively "Jangho"); the second mandatory respondent is a single entity that the Department continues to find is comprised of Guang Ya Aluminum Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Guang Ya"), Foshan Guangcheng Aluminum Co., Ltd. ("Guangcheng"), Kong Ah International Co., Ltd. ("Kong Ah"), and Guang Ya Aluminum Industries (Hong Kong) Ltd. ("Guang Ya HK") (collectively "Guang Ya Group"), Guangdong Zhongya Aluminum Co., Ltd. ("Zhongya"), Zhongya Shaped Aluminum (HK) Holding Ltd. ("Shaped Aluminum"), and Karlton Aluminum Co., Ltd. ("Karlton") (collectively "Zhongya"), and Foshan Nanhai Xinya Aluminum & Stainless Steel Product Co., Ltd. ("Xinya") (collectively "Guang Ya Group/Zhongya/Xinya").

The Department finds for these final results that Jangho and the Guang Ya Group/Zhongya/Xinya entity failed to demonstrate that they were eligible for separate rates and thus are part of the PRC-wide entity. For Kromet International, Inc. ("Kromet"), a voluntary respondent in this review, the

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 38924 (June 28, 2013) ("Initiation Notice"); see also *Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission, in Part; 2012/2013*, 79 FR 36003 (June 25, 2014) ("Preliminary Results").

Department finds that Kromet did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the POR.

Furthermore, the Department finds that 19 of the companies under review (including Kromet) have established their eligibility for a separate rate. Additionally, we determine that four companies, Hong Kong Gree Electric Appliances Sales Limited ("Gree"), Jiuyuan Co., Ltd. ("Jiuyuan"), Shenzhen Hudson Technology Development Co., Ltd. ("Shenzhen Hudson"), and Skyline Exhibit Systems (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ("Skyline") had no shipments. The Department finds that the remaining companies under review either failed to establish their eligibility for a separate rate or were not responsive, and, therefore, these companies are part of the PRC-wide entity.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 31, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Terpstra or Paul Stolz, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965 or (202) 482-4474, respectively.

Background

On June 25, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review. At that time, we invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.² We granted parties an extension of time to submit case and rebuttal briefs.³

On August 8, 2014 we received case briefs from the Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee ("Petitioner");⁴ Zhongya; Skyline; Jangho; tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ("tenKsolar"); Permasteelisa South China Factory and Permasteelisa Hong Kong Ltd. (collectively, "Permasteelisa"); Taishan City Kam Kiu Aluminium Extrusion Co. Ltd., and Kam Kiu Aluminium Products Sdn. Bhd. (collectively "Kam Kiu"). On

² See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 36006.

³ See "Second Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Granting an Extension of Time for Parties to Provide Case Briefs and Rebuttal Case Briefs," dated July 7, 2014 and "Second Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Granting an Extension of Time for Parties to Provide Rebuttal Briefs," dated August 12, 2014.

⁴ The individual members of the Committee are Aerolite Extrusion Company; Alexandria Extrusion Company; Benada Aluminum of Florida, Inc.; William L. Bonnell Company, Inc.; Frontier Aluminum Corporation; Futural Industries Corporation; Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc.; Kaiser Aluminum Corporation; Profile Extrusion Company; Sapa Extrusions, Inc.; and Western Extrusions Corporation.